Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

André Schmidt, Maximilian Reinighaus

October 8, 2020

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 1 / 21

- 34

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

- Addition of a freely selectable magnetic field $\vec{B}(\vec{x})$
- ▶ Implementation of Lorentz force (with $\hat{u} = \frac{\vec{v}}{|\vec{v}|}$)

$$\vec{F}_{L} = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = q \cdot (\vec{v}(t) \times \vec{B}(\vec{x}))$$
(1)
$$\vec{p} = \int q \cdot (\hat{u} \times \vec{B}(\vec{x})) dx$$
(2)

Particle movement changes

- 34

Most important issues for the particle propagation:

- ► Time efficient, simple
- Accuracy of the new particle position $\vec{x_1}$
- Absolute value of the momentum is not changed by Lorentz force $|\vec{p}_0| = |\vec{p}_1|$

- 34

Leap Frog Algorithm



Figure: Schematic sketch of the function of the algorithm

- Direction \vec{u} gets updated after a half step
- **•** The particle moves on the direct path S to the endpoint $\vec{x_1}$

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 4 / 21

Leap Frog Algorithm



Figure: Schematic sketch of the function of the Algorithm

$$\vec{x}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \vec{x}_0 + \frac{\Delta I}{2}\hat{u}_0 \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{u}_{1} = \hat{u}_{0} + \Delta I \frac{q}{|\vec{p}|} \left(\hat{u}_{0} \times \vec{B}(\vec{x}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \right)$$
(4)
$$\vec{x}_{1} = \vec{x}_{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\Delta I}{2} \hat{u}_{1}$$
(5)

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 5 / 21

Leap Frog Algorithm



Figure: Schematic sketch of the function of the Algorithm

- \vec{B} is only used on a few points along the way
 - $\rightarrow \vec{B}$ shall not change much between those points
- other algorithms are more complicated and not so much more accurate

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

Steplength ΔI

- the steplength is not predetermined
- number of steps is the most important factor for efficiency
- use the largest possible steplength
 - \rightarrow the distance to the next event

3

- possible events are for example: interaction, decay, energy loss of 1%, geometric limitation, angular deflection of 0.1 rad
- distance to every event is calculated in every step
- the event with the shortest distance happens

Changes of the distance calculation after adding the magnetic field:

- For interactions and decays the calculation stays the same
- Calculations more complex for geometric limitations example: boundary of an atmospheric layer
- ► A limitation is added for angular deflection of 0.1 rad

Steplength - All particles



Figure: Comparison of proposed geometric and displacement events to the actual lengths used

red: distance to the next event (shortest distance) blue: proposed distance to the next atmospheric layer green: proposed distance after which the particle is deflected by 0.1 rad

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 10 / 21

Steplength - Protons/Neutrons



Figure: Comparison of proposed geometric and displacement events to the actual lengths used

red: distance to the next event (shortest distance) blue: proposed distance to the next atmospheric layer green: proposed distance after which the particle is deflected by 0.1 rad

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 11 / 21

Steplength - Pions



Figure: Comparison of proposed geometric and displacement events to the actual lengths used

red: distance to the next event (shortest distance) blue: proposed distance to the next atmospheric layer green: proposed distance after which the particle is deflected by 0.1 rad

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 12 / 21

Steplength - Muons



Figure: Comparison of proposed geometric and displacement events to the actual lengths used

red: distance to the next event (shortest distance) blue: proposed distance to the next atmospheric layer green: proposed distance after which the particle was deflected by 0.1 rad

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 13 / 21

Accuracy

Accuracy test

- initial velocity perpendicular to magnetic field
- homogeneous magnetic field
- circular motion with a gyroradius r_g of 1

• steplength $\Delta I = 0.01$

- 34

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Accuracy



Figure: Accuracy test with a steplength of 0.01

algorithm is stable

max. error of 0.13%

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 15 / 21

э

(a) < (a) < (b) < (b)

Accuracy



Figure: max. errors of the accuracy test for different steplengths

error linear dependent on the steplength
average steplength gyroradius ~ 7 · 10⁻⁴

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 16 / 21

Momentum

- absolute momentum and energy shall be preserved
- momentum increases, because $|\vec{u_1}| > 1$
 - \rightarrow particles with superluminal velocity could occur
- trivial solution:

$$ec{p_1} = ec{p_0} ec{\cdot} rac{ec{u_1}}{ec{u_1}}$$
 (6)

continuous processes, such as energy loss, are applied afterwards

Steplength - All particles



Figure: Event types, that are causing the actual length

distance max: energy loss, observation plane, ...

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 18 / 21

Steplength - Pions



Figure: Event types, that are causing the actual length

distance max: energy loss, observation plane, ...

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 19 / 21

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ

Steplength - Muons



Figure: Event types, that are causing the actual length

distance max: energy loss, observation plane, ...

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 20 / 21

Thanks for listening. Are there any questions or suggestions?



Figure: Air shower with ca. 200 times the earth magnetic field in y-direction

André Schmidt

Magnetic field in CORSIKA8

upwli@student.kit.edu 21 / 21