Auger HEAT – Composition and Cherenkov rich events

Extension of X_{max} measurement with Fluorescence Telescopes down to 10¹⁷ eV, *and further*

Julian Rautenberg for the Pierre Auger Collaboration Bergische Universität Wuppertal





| bmb+f

Großgeräte der physikalischen Grundlagenforschung



Auger-HEAT Composition and Cherenkov rich events J. Rautenberg, BUW, 21.09.2016



1

Auger HEAT – Motivation

Low-energetic shower:

- · develop earlier in the atmosphere and
- have less dE/dx

To lower E-threshold for Fluorescence Telescopes the field of view needs:

- higher and
- closer

High Elevation Auger Telescopes

- Tilt 3 FDs to 30 60 degree
- Co-located with FD Coihueco
- Overlooking low-energy area (AMIGA, AERA)
- Downward mode for cross-calibration











Auger HEAT – Motivation

Low-energetic shower:

- · develop earlier in the atmosphere and
- have less dE/dx

To lower E-threshold for Fluorescence Telescopes the field of view needs:

- higher and
- closer

High Elevation Auger Telescopes

- Tilt 3 FDs to 30 60 degree
- Co-located with FD Coihueco
- Overlooking low-energy area (AMIGA, AERA)
- Downward mode for cross-calibration









Auger HEAT – Motivation

Low-energetic shower:

- · develop earlier in the atmosphere and
- have less dE/dx

To lower E-threshold for Fluorescence Telescopes the field of view needs:

- higher and
- closer

High Elevation Auger Telescopes

- Tilt 3 FDs to 30 60 degree
- Co-located with FD Coihueco
- Overlooking low-energy area (AMIGA, AERA)
- Downward mode for cross-calibration









Auger HEAT – Shower Reconstruction

FD reconstruction:

- Fit shower-detector plane (SDP)
- Time-fit of axis within SDP
- Use geometry to correct dE/dx for attenuation

HECO reconstruction:

• Combine HEAT & Coihueco to one virtual eye











Auger HEAT – Data Analysis

Data:

Phys.Rev. D90 12 (2014)

- Co 12.2004 12.2012
- HEAT 06.2010 08.2012

Co – HEAT (downward) cross-check shows good description of data by MC

Data-Selection:

- Similar to standard FD analysis
- FOV restricted to un-biased shower-geometry (X_{low} X_{up}) (large drop in acceptance for HEAT)







6

Auger HEAT – X_{max} moments

7 g/cm² difference in <X_{max}> between HEAT/Co (withing uncor. sys.) Good agreement in $\sigma(X_{max})$







Auger HEAT – Combined X_{max} moments

Slope of X_{max} expected for constant composition is ~60 g cm⁻² / decade *Below* 10^{18.3} eV too steep \rightarrow composition becoming *lighter Above* 10^{18.3} eV too shallow \rightarrow composition becoming *havier* $\sigma(X_{max})$ about constant up to ~10^{18.3} eV, where it starts to drop off









Auger HEAT – Combined X_{max} moments

Determing In A moments:

$$\langle X_{\max} \rangle = \langle X_{\max} \rangle_p + f_E \langle \ln A \rangle$$

 $\sigma^2(X_{\max}) = \langle \sigma_{sh}^2 \rangle + f_E^2 \sigma^2(\ln A)$







Auger HEAT – ... and further

Idea:

- use showers with additional Cherenkov-light component
- Lower energetic showers detectable \rightarrow lower E-threshold
- Shower geometry pointing towards the telescope

But:

- Very time-contracted shower are harder to reconstruct
- Only few showers hit a surface detector station

Solution:

- Profile constrained geometry fit
- For given χ_0 time-fit is just a linear regression:

$$\boldsymbol{t_i} = t_0 + rac{R_p}{c} an\left(rac{\chi_0 - \chi_i}{2}
ight)$$

- Combined χ^2 gives optimal reconstruction





Extreme example with short light-trace in 7 PMTs giving only 8 time-bins. Good agreement for reconstructed profile (red) for MC (blue). Analysis ongoing – fast light-spot requires optimization of reconstruction.











11

Auger HEAT – PCGF by TALE

Telescope Array Low Enery (TALE) extension

PoS(ICRC2015)422

PCGF as approch towards an imaging air Cherenkov telescope (IACT)

Spectrum starting at 10^{15.6} eV, but exposure depends on composition assumption







Auger HEAT – Summary

Pierre Auger Observatory – HEAT extension for low energy fluorescence observation down to 10¹⁷ eV with regular analysis.

Potential for below 10¹⁶ eV with Cherenkov rich events.

Overlap with KASCADE-Grande / IceTop etc. for conclusive picture





Auger HEAT – Systematics

Systematic uncertainties on the resolution dominated:

- by detector at low energies (low light level) and
- by attenuation-correction at high energies (larger distance) Systematic uncertainty on scale more flat.







