Shedding light on exclusive *B* decays

M. Beneke (TU München)

KhodjamirianFest Siegen, October 28, 2021

Systematic treatment of electromagnetic corrections with the tools of factorization and effective theory

MB, Bobeth, Szafron, 1708.09152, 1908.07011 $[B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-]$ MB, Böer, Toelstede, Vos, 2008.10615 $[B \rightarrow \pi K,$ charmless] MB, Böer, Finauri, Vos, 2107.03819 [$B \to D_{(s)}^{(*)+}L^-$, colour-allowed + semi=leptonic] MB, Böer, Toelstede, Vos, 2108.05589 + in preparation [LCDAs of light and heavy mesons]

M. Beneke (TU München), [QED effects in](#page-36-0) *B* decays Siegen, October 28, 2021 1

Motivation: Theory

- Precision: Traditionally focus on hadronic uncertainties. Time to look at QED. QED effects violate isospin symmetry and can cause large "lepton-flavour violating" logarithms, $\log m_\ell$.
- Photons couple weakly to strongly interacting quarks \rightarrow probe of hadronic physics, requires factorization theorems, which mostly don't exist yet.
- Photons have long-range interactions with the charged particles in the initial/final state \rightarrow QED factorization is more complicated than QCD factorization.

Motivation: Theory

- Precision: Traditionally focus on hadronic uncertainties. Time to look at QED. QED effects violate isospin symmetry and can cause large "lepton-flavour violating" logarithms, $\log m_\ell$.
- Photons couple weakly to strongly interacting quarks \rightarrow probe of hadronic physics, requires factorization theorems, which mostly don't exist yet.
- Photons have long-range interactions with the charged particles in the initial/final state \rightarrow OED factorization is more complicated than OCD factorization.

Observables

IR finite observable is

$$
\Gamma_{\text{phys}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(B \to f + n\gamma, \sum_{n} E_{\gamma, n} < \Delta E)
$$
\n
$$
\equiv \omega(\Delta E) \times \Gamma_{\text{non-rad.}}(B \to f)
$$

Signal window $|m_B - m_f| < \Delta$ $\implies \Delta E = \Delta$ Assume $\Delta \ll \Lambda_{\text{OCD}} \sim$ size of hadrons Large ln ∆*E*.

Ultrasoft photons and the point-like approximation

Universal soft radiative amplitude

$$
A^{i\to f+\gamma}(p_j,k) = A^{i\to f}(p_j) \times \sum_{j=\text{legs}} \frac{-eQ_j p_j^{\mu}}{\eta_j p_j \cdot k + i\epsilon}
$$

 \overline{k}

The amplitude implies that the charged particles (B-meson, pion, lepton, ...) are treated as point-like. Exponentiates for the decay rate, but the virtual correction is UV divergent in the soft limit. Cut-off Λ.

$$
\Gamma = \Gamma_{\text{tree}}^{i \to f} \times \left(\frac{2\Delta E}{\Lambda}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \sum_{i,j} Q_i Q_j f(\beta_{ij})}
$$

Ultrasoft photons and the point-like approximation

Universal soft radiative amplitude

$$
A^{i\to f+\gamma}(p_j,k) = A^{i\to f}(p_j) \times \sum_{j=\text{legs}} \frac{-eQ_j p_j^{\mu}}{\eta_j p_j \cdot k + i\epsilon}
$$

 \overline{k}

The amplitude implies that the charged particles (B-meson, pion, lepton, ...) are treated as point-like. Exponentiates for the decay rate, but the virtual correction is UV divergent in the soft limit. Cut-off Λ.

$$
\Gamma = \Gamma_{\text{tree}}^{i \to f} \times \left(\frac{2\Delta E}{\Lambda}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \sum_{i,j} Q_i Q_j f(\beta_{ij})}
$$

What is Λ ?

Ultrasoft photons and the point-like approximation

Universal soft radiative amplitude

A i→*f*+γ (*pj*, *k*) = *A i*→*f* (*pj*) × X *j*=legs −*eQjp* µ *j* η*jp^j* · *k* + *i*

 \boldsymbol{k}

The amplitude implies that the charged particles (B-meson, pion, lepton, ...) are treated as point-like. Exponentiates for the decay rate, but the virtual correction is UV divergent in the soft limit. Cut-off Λ.

$$
\Gamma = \Gamma_{\text{tree}}^{i \to f} \times \left(\frac{2\Delta E}{\Lambda}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \sum_{i,j} Q_i Q_j f(\beta_{ij})}
$$

What is **Λ?**

- Present treatment of QED effects sets $\Lambda = m_B$ (e.g. using a theory of point-like mesons)
- Experimental analyses uses the PHOTOS Monte Carlo [Golonka, Was, 2005], which in addition neglects radiation from charged initial state particles.

However, the derivation implies that $\Lambda \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \sim$ size of the hadron (B-meson). Otherwise virtual corrections resolve the structure of the hadron and higher-multipole couplings are unsuppressed.

Scales and Effective Field theories (EFTs)

Multiple scales: m_W , m_b , $\sqrt{m_b \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}$, Λ_{QCD} , m_μ , ΔE

Scales and Effective Field theories (EFTs)

Multiple scales: m_W , m_b , $\sqrt{m_b \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}$, Λ_{QCD} , m_μ , ΔE

Short-distance QED at $\mu \gtrsim m_b$ can be included in the usual weak effective Lagrangian (extended Fermi theory) + renormalization group.

Scales and Effective Field theories (EFTs)

Multiple scales: m_W , m_b , $\sqrt{m_b \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}$, Λ_{QCD} , m_μ , ΔE

Short-distance QED at $\mu \gtrsim m_b$ can be included in the usual weak effective Lagrangian (extended Fermi theory) + renormalization group.

Far IR (ultrasoft scale) described by theory of point-like hadrons.

Goal: Theory for QED corrections between the scales m_b and Λ_{OCD} (structure-dependent effects).

 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

1708.09152, 1908.07011, with C. Bobeth and R. Szafron

M. Beneke (TU München), [QED effects in](#page-0-0) *B* decays Siegen, October 28, 2021 5

Some facts about $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$

"Instantaneous", "non-radiative" branching fraction

$$
Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} f_{B_s}^2 \tau_{B_s} m_{B_s}^3 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{m_{B_s}^2}}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \left| \frac{2m_\mu}{m_{B_s}} (C_{10} - C'_{10}) + (C_P - C'_P) \right|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{m_{B_s}^2} \right) |C_S - C'_S|^2 \right\}
$$

Some facts about $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$

"Instantaneous", "non-radiative" branching fraction

$$
Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} f_{B_s}^2 \tau_{B_s} m_{B_s}^3 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^2}{m_{B_s}^2}}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \left| \frac{2m_{\mu}}{m_{B_s}} (C_{10} - C'_{10}) + (C_P - C'_P) \right|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^2}{m_{B_s}^2} \right) |C_S - C'_S|^2 \right\}
$$

- Long-distance OCD effects are very simple. Local annihilation. Only $\langle 0|\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 b|\bar{B}_q(p)\rangle = i f_{B_q} p^{\mu}$ Task for lattice QCD (1.5% [Aoki et al. 1607.00299], 0.5% [FNAL/MILC 1712.09262]).
- Only the operator Q_{10} from the weak effective Lagrangian enters.
- No scalar lepton current $\bar{\ell}\ell$, only $\bar{\ell}\gamma_5\ell \Longrightarrow$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Delta \Gamma}^{\lambda} = 1 \qquad \mathcal{C}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{S}_{\lambda} = 0
$$

Some facts about $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$

"Instantaneous", "non-radiative" branching fraction

$$
Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} f_{B_s}^2 \tau_{B_s} m_{B_s}^3 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{m_{B_s}^2}}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \left| \frac{2m_\mu}{m_{B_s}} (C_{10} - C'_{10}) + (C_P - C'_P) \right|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{m_{B_s}^2} \right) |C_S - C'_S|^2 \right\}
$$

- Long-distance OCD effects are very simple. Local annihilation. Only $\langle 0|\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 b|\bar{B}_q(p)\rangle = i f_{B_q} p^{\mu}$ Task for lattice QCD (1.5% [Aoki et al. 1607.00299], 0.5% [FNAL/MILC 1712.09262]).
- Only the operator Q_{10} from the weak effective Lagrangian enters.
- No scalar lepton current $\bar{\ell}\ell$, only $\bar{\ell}\gamma_5\ell \Longrightarrow$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Delta \Gamma}^{\lambda} = 1 \qquad \mathcal{C}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{S}_{\lambda} = 0
$$

None of these are exactly true in the presence of electromagnetic corrections

Interpretation of the *mB*/Λ-enhanced correction

Local annihilation and helicity flip.

$$
\langle 0| \int d^4x \, T \{ j_{\text{QED}}(x), \mathcal{L}_{\Delta B=1}(0) \} | \bar{B}_q \rangle
$$

Helicity-flip and annihilation delocalized by a hard-collinear distance

The virtual photon probes the *B* meson structure. Annihilation/helicity-suppression is "smeared out" over light-like distance $1/\sqrt{m_B\Lambda}$ [\rightarrow B-LCDA]. Still short-distance.

Logarithms are not the standard soft logarithms, but due to hard-collinear, collinear and soft regions, including final-state soft lepton exchange.

All orders, EFT, summation of logarithms

Back-to-back energetic lepton pair

Collinear (lepton $n+p_{\ell}$ large) and anti-collinear (anti-lepton $n-p_{\ell}$ large) modes

- Modes in the EFT classified by virtuality and rapidity
- Matching QCD+QED \rightarrow SCET_I \rightarrow SCET_{II}

M. Beneke (TU München), OED effects in *B* decays Siegen, October 28, 2021 8

$SCET_{II}$ factorization and soft rearrangement

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{A}\chi}^{B1}(v,t) = \overline{q}_s(vn_-)Y(vn_-,0)\frac{\rlap{/n}{\rlap{/}{n_-}}}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}P_Lh_v(0)\left[Y_+^{\dagger}Y_-\right](0)\left[\bar{\ell}_c(0)(2\mathcal{A}_{c\perp}(m_+)P_R)\ell_{\bar{c}}(0)\right] = \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_s\otimes\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_c\otimes\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{c}}$

- *s*, *c*, \bar{c} do not interact in SCET_{II}. Sectors are factorized. Anomalous dimension should be separately well defined.
- But the anomalous dimension of the soft graphs is IR divergent.

$SCET_{II}$ factorization and soft rearrangement

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{A}\chi}^{B1}(v,t) = \overline{q}_s(vn_-)Y(vn_-,0)\frac{\rlap{/n}{\rlap{/}{n_-}}}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}P_Lh_v(0)\left[Y_+^{\dagger}Y_-\right](0)\left[\bar{\ell}_c(0)(2\mathcal{A}_{c\perp}(m_+)P_R)\ell_{\bar{c}}(0)\right] = \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_s\otimes\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_c\otimes\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{\bar{c}}$

- *s*, *c*, \bar{c} do not interact in SCET_{II}. Sectors are factorized. Anomalous dimension should be separately well defined.
- But the anomalous dimension of the soft graphs is IR divergent.

 0 -*Y* † ⁺ *Y*[−] (0) 0 ≡ *R*+*R*[−]

• Soft rearrangement $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_s \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_c \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_c = \frac{\mathcal{J}_s}{R + R}$ $\frac{S}{R_+R_-} \otimes R_+\mathcal{J}_c \otimes R_-\mathcal{J}_{\overline{c}}$

Soft matrix element defines a generalized B-LCDA

Structure of the final result

Amplitude [evolved to μ_c]

$$
iA_9 = e^{\delta_{\xi}(\mu_b, \mu_c)} T_+(\mu_c) \times \int_0^1 du \, e^{\delta_q(\mu_b, \mu_{hc})} 2H_9(u; \mu_b) \int_0^\infty d\omega \, U_s^{\text{QED}}(\mu_{hc}, \mu_s; \omega) m_{B_q} F_{B_q}(\mu_{hc}) \phi_+(\omega; \mu_{hc})
$$

$$
\times \left[J_m(u; \omega; \mu_{hc}) + \int_0^1 dw \, J_A(u; \omega, w; \mu_{hc}) \left(M_A(w; \mu_c) - \frac{Q \, \epsilon \overline{w}}{\beta_{0, \text{em}}} \ln \eta_{\text{em}} \right) \right]
$$

$$
\equiv e^{\delta_{\xi}(\mu_b, \mu_c)} \times A_9 \left[\overline{u}_c (1 + \gamma_5) v_{\overline{c}} \right]
$$

– defines the non-radiative amplitude A_9 . QED+QCD Logs between m_b and μ_c summed.

Decay rate [including ultrasoft photon radiation]

$$
\Gamma[B_q \to \mu^+ \mu^-](\Delta E) = \frac{m_{Bq}}{8\pi} \beta_\mu \left(|A_{10} + A_9 + A_7|^2 + \beta_\mu^2 |A_9 + A_7|^2 \right) \times \underbrace{\left| e^{S_\ell(\mu_b, \mu_c)} \right|^2 S(\nu_\ell, \nu_{\overline{\ell}}, \Delta E)}_{\text{non-radiative rate}}
$$
\n
$$
= \Gamma^{(0)}[B_q \to \mu^+ \mu^-] \left(\frac{2\Delta E}{m_{Bq}} \right)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{\pi}} \left(1 + \ln \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{m_{Bq}^2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
S(\nu_\ell, \nu_{\overline{\ell}}, \Delta E) = \sum_{\nu} |\langle X_s | S_{\nu_\ell}^\dagger(0) S_{\nu_{\overline{\ell}}}(0) |0\rangle|^2 \theta (\Delta E - E_{X_s}) \qquad \text{Ulfrasoft function}
$$

Xs

Can sum leading logs, and calculate all QED effects between scale *m^b* and a few times Λ_{QCD} .

BUT: matching of $SCET_{II}$ to the ultrasoft theory of point-like hadrons at a scale $\mu_c \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ must be done non-perturbatively.

Hadronic B two-body decays $(B \to \pi K \dots, D^+L^-, \dots)$

2008.10615 (charmless) and 2107.03819 (heavy-light + semi-leptonic), with P. Böer, G. Finauri, J. Toelstede and K. Vos

Charmless decays, $B \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ vs. $\mu^+ \mu^-$

- Same kinematics, charges, composite pions instead of elementary leptons. QED effects similar, identical for ultrasoft photons.
- But QCD dynamics is very different.

Charmless decays, $B \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ vs. $\mu^+ \mu^-$

- Same kinematics, charges, composite pions instead of elementary leptons. QED effects similar, identical for ultrasoft photons.
- But QCD dynamics is very different.

"QCD factorization" $[BBNS, 1999-2001]$, later understood and formulated as a $SCET_{II}$ problem:

QCD
\n
$$
\langle M_1 M_2 | Q_i | \bar{B} \rangle = \underbrace{F^{BM_1}(0)}_{\text{form factor}} \int_0^1 du \, T_i^I(u) \phi_{M_2}(u)
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_0^1 dz du \, H_i^{\text{II}}(z, u) \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^1 dv \, J(\omega, u, v) \underbrace{\phi_B(\omega) \phi_{M_1}(v) \phi_{M_2}(u)}_{\text{LCDAs}}
$$

M. Beneke (TU München), [QED effects in](#page-0-0) *B* decays Siegen, October 28, 2021 13

Including virtual QED effects into the factorization theorem

SCET_I operators

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{I}}(t) = \left[\bar{\chi}_{\bar{C}}(m_{-})\mu_{-} \gamma_{5} \chi_{\bar{C}}\right] \left[\bar{\chi}_{C} h_{v}\right]
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{II}}(t,s) = \underbrace{\left[\bar{\chi}_{\bar{C}}(m_{-})\mu_{-} \gamma_{5} \chi_{\bar{C}}\right]}_{M_{2}} \underbrace{\left[\bar{\chi}_{C} \mathcal{A}_{C,\perp}(sn_{+})h_{v}\right]}_{B \to M_{1}}
$$

QCD factorization formula

$$
\langle M_1 M_2 | Q_i | \bar{B} \rangle = F^{BM_1}(0) \int_0^1 du \, T_i^{I, \text{QCD}}(u) f_{M_2} \phi_{M_2}(u)
$$

+
$$
\int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^1 du dv \, T_i^{II, \text{QCD}}(z, u) f_B \phi_B(\omega) f_{M_1} \phi_{M_1}(v) f_{M_2} \phi_{M_2}(u)
$$

Including virtual QED effects into the factorization theorem

SCET_I operators

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{I}}(t) = \left[\bar{\chi}_{\bar{C}}(m_{-})\sharp_{-\gamma 5} \chi_{\bar{C}}\right] \left[\bar{\chi}_{C} \mathbf{S}_{n_{+}}^{\dagger(\mathcal{Q}_{M_{2}})} h_{\nu}\right]
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{II}}(t,s) = \left[\bar{\chi}_{\bar{C}}(m_{-})\sharp_{-\gamma 5} \chi_{\bar{C}}\right] \left[\bar{\chi}_{C} \mathcal{A}_{C,\perp}(sn_{+}) \mathbf{S}_{n_{+}}^{\dagger(\mathcal{Q}_{M_{2}})} h_{\nu}\right]
$$

$$
S_{n\pm}^{(q)} = \exp\left\{-iQ_q e \int_0^\infty ds \, n_\pm A_s(s n_\pm)\right\}
$$

QCD + QED factorization formula

$$
\langle M_1 M_2 | Q_i | \bar{B} \rangle_{\text{Inon-rad.}} = \mathcal{F}_{Q_2}^{BM_1}(0) \int_0^1 du \, T_{i,Q_2}^{I, \text{QCD} + \text{QED}}(u) f_{M_2} \Phi_{M_2}(u)
$$

+
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_0^1 du dv \, T_{i,\otimes}^{II, \text{QCD} + \text{QED}}(z, u) f_B \Phi_{B,\otimes}(\omega) f_{M_1} \Phi_{M_1}(v) f_{M_2} \Phi_{M_2}(u)
$$

M. Beneke (TU München), [QED effects in](#page-0-0) *B* decays Siegen, October 28, 2021 14

Including virtual QED effects into the factorization theorem

SCET_I operators

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{I}}(t) = \left[\bar{\chi}_{\bar{C}}(m_{-})\sharp_{-\gamma 5} \chi_{\bar{C}}\right] \left[\bar{\chi}_{C} \mathbf{S}_{n_{+}}^{\dagger(\mathcal{Q}_{M_{2}})} h_{\nu}\right]
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{II}}(t,s) = \left[\bar{\chi}_{\bar{C}}(m_{-})\sharp_{-\gamma 5} \chi_{\bar{C}}\right] \left[\bar{\chi}_{C} \mathcal{A}_{C,\perp}(sn_{+}) \mathbf{S}_{n_{+}}^{\dagger(\mathcal{Q}_{M_{2}})} h_{\nu}\right]
$$

$$
S_{n\pm}^{(q)} = \exp\left\{-iQ_q e \int_0^\infty ds \, n_\pm A_s(s n_\pm)\right\}
$$

QCD + QED factorization formula

$$
\langle M_1 M_2 | Q_i | \bar{B} \rangle_{\text{non-rad.}} = \mathcal{F}_{Q_2}^{BM_1}(0) \int_0^1 du \, T_{i,Q_2}^{1,\text{QCD}+\text{QED}}(u) f_{M_2} \Phi_{M_2}(u) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_0^1 du dv \, T_{i,Q}^{\text{II},\text{QCD}+\text{QED}}(z,u) f_B \Phi_{B,\otimes}(\omega) f_{M_1} \Phi_{M_1}(v) f_{M_2} \Phi_{M_2}(u)
$$

- Formula retains its form, but the hadronic matrix elements are generalized. They become process-dependent through the directions and charges of the *other* particles.
- Computation of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\rm em})$ corrections to the h and hc short-distance coefficient (all poles cancel).

Example of a hard-scattering kernel

Same generalization for colour-allowed decays to *D*⁺*L*[−]. Hard scattering kernel

$$
H_{-}^{(1)} = -Q_d^2 \left\{ \frac{L_b^2}{2} + L_b \left(\frac{5}{2} - 2 \ln(u(1-z)) \right) + h(u(1-z)) + \frac{\pi^2}{12} + 7 \right\}
$$

\n
$$
-Q_u^2 \left\{ \frac{L_c^2}{2} + L_c \left(\frac{5}{2} + 2\pi i - 2 \ln\left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right) \right) + h\left(\bar{u}\left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{12} + 7 \right\}
$$

\n
$$
+ Q_d Q_u \left\{ \frac{L_b^2}{2} + \frac{L_c^2}{2} - 6L_\nu + 2L_b \left(2 - \ln(\bar{u}(1-z)) \right) \right\}
$$

\n
$$
-2L_c \left(1 - i\pi + \ln\left(u\frac{1-z}{z}\right) \right) + g\left(\bar{u}(1-z) \right) + g\left(u\left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 12 \right\}
$$

\n
$$
+ Q_d Q_u f(z),
$$

\n
$$
H_+^{(1)} = -Q_d^2 \sqrt{z} w(u(1-z)) - Q_u^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} w\left(\bar{u}\left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) \right) - Q_d Q_u \sqrt{z} \frac{\ln z}{1-z},
$$

\n
$$
z \equiv \frac{m_c^2}{m_b^2}, \qquad L_c \equiv \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_c^2} = L_b - \ln z, \qquad L_\nu \equiv \ln \frac{\nu^2}{m_b^2},
$$

\n
$$
h(s) \equiv \ln^2 s - 2 \ln s + \frac{s \ln s}{1-s} - 2\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{s-1}{s}\right),
$$

\n
$$
f(z) \equiv \left(1 - \frac{1+z}{1-z} \ln z \right) L_b + \frac{\ln z}{1-z} \left(\frac{1}{2} (1+z) \ln z - 2 - z \right), \dots
$$

Enlightened LCDAs

2108.05589 (light mesons) + in preparation (heavy mesons), with P. Böer, J. Toelstede and K. K. Vos

LCDA of a charged pion in $QCD \times QED$

$$
\langle \pi^-(p) | R_c^{(Q_M)} (\bar{d}W^{(d)})(m_+) \frac{N_+}{2} (1 - \gamma_5)(W^{\dagger(u)} u)(0) | 0 \rangle = \frac{in_+ p}{2} \int_0^1 du \, e^{iu(n_+ p)t} f_M \Phi_M(u; \mu)
$$

QED part of the Wilson lines does not combine to a finite-distance Wilson line. Renormalization/evolution kernel for the (anti-)collinear operator well-defined after soft rearrangement

$$
\Gamma(u, v; \mu) = -\frac{\alpha_{\text{em}} Q_M}{\pi} \delta(u - v) \left(Q_M \left(\ln \frac{\mu}{2E} + \frac{3}{4} \right) - Q_d \ln u + Q_u \ln \bar{u} \right) \n- \left(\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} + \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{\pi} Q_u Q_d \right) \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{v - u} \right) \frac{u}{v} \theta(v - u) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{u - v} \right) \frac{1 - u}{1 - v} \theta(u - v) \right]_+ \n+ \left(\sum_{u = 0}^{v - v} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{th}} Q_u} \frac{u}{v} \right) \left(\sum_{u = 0}^{v - v} \frac{u}{v
$$

- The cusp anomalous dimension, endpoint logarithms $\ln u$, $\ln(1 u)$ and energy dependence are a remnant of the soft physics.
- Gegenbauer polynomials are no longer eigenfunctions, asymptotic behaviour $\Phi_{\pi}(u,\mu) \stackrel{\mu \to \infty}{\rightarrow} 6u(1-u)$ no longer holds. QED evolution is asymmetric and endpoint behaviour changes from linear.

Endpoint behaviour and numerical QED effect

Endpoint behaviour $u \rightarrow 0$ governed by the an asymptotic kernel, which has the same properties as the kernel for the *B*-meson LCDA! RGE kills itself at high-scales by developing too singular behaviour.

Endpoint behaviour and numerical QED effect

Endpoint behaviour $u \rightarrow 0$ governed by the an asymptotic kernel, which has the same properties as the kernel for the *B*-meson LCDA! RGE kills itself at high-scales by developing too singular behaviour.

Not relevant for real value of α_{em} .

Expand in Gegenbauer polynomials, which, however, are not eigenfunctions and mix.

Example:

$$
\langle \bar{u}^{-1} \rangle_{M^{-}} (\mu) = \int_0^1 \frac{du}{1 - u} \Phi_{M^{-}}(u; \mu) = 3Z_{\ell}(\mu) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{M^{-}}(\mu)
$$

$$
\left\langle {\bar u}^{-1} \right\rangle_{\pi-} \text{ (5.3 GeV)} = 0.9997 \vert ^{\rm QED}_{\rm point \, charge} \text{ (3.285$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$} \vert _{\rm LL}-0.020 \vert _{\rm NLL} + 0.017 \vert ^{\rm QED}_{\rm path \, of} \text{ (5.285$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$} \vert _{\rm LL}-0.022 \vert _{\rm NLL} + 0.017 \vert ^{\rm QED}_{\rm path \, of} \text{ (5.285$^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$} \vert _{\rm LL}-0.022 \vert _{\rm NLL} + 0.042 \vert ^{\rm QED}_{\rm pathonic} \text{)}
$$

(Initial value: 3.42 at $\mu = 1$ GeV.

QED effects of similar size as NLL evolution for the inverse moments.

B-LCDA alias soft function for $B \to M_1M_2$ in QCD×QED

$$
\frac{1}{R_c^{(Q_{M_1})} R_{\bar{c}}^{(Q_{M_2})}} \langle 0 | \bar{q}_s^{(q)}(m_-) [m_-, 0]^{(q)} \, \rlap{\,/}u_{-\gamma_5 h_v(0) S_{n_+}^{\dagger (Q_{M_2})} (0) S_{n_-}^{\dagger (Q_{M_1})} (0) |\bar{B}_v\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= i F_{\text{stat}}(\mu) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \, e^{-i\omega t} \, \Phi_{B, \otimes}(\omega, \mu)
$$

- Four different soft functions depending on final state charges $00, -0, 0-, +-$.
- Not really LCDAs, but soft functions: contain electromagnetic final-state rescattering.
- Different support in $\omega = n_-\cdot l$ than in QCD where $(\omega \in [0,\infty[).$

If $Q_{M_2} \neq 0$, the out-going meson with $n - q = m_B \rightarrow \infty$ can *absorb* soft photons of arbitrary $n_$ · *l*.

B-"LCDA" has support $\omega \in]-\infty, \infty[$

B-LCDA alias soft function for $B \to M_1M_2$ in QCD×QED (II)

Anomalous dimension kernel for $B \to M_1^+ M_2^-$. (\ge) (\lt) means $\omega > 0$ ($\omega < 0$)

$$
\Gamma_{+-}^{\geq}(\omega, \omega', \mu) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)C_F}{\pi} \left[\left(\ln \frac{\mu}{\omega - i0} - \frac{5}{4} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega') - F^{\geq}(\omega, \omega') \right] \n+ \frac{\alpha_{em}(\mu)}{\pi} \left[\left((Q_d^2 - 2Q_dQ_{M_2}) \ln \frac{\mu}{\omega - i0} + i\pi Q_uQ_{M_2} - \frac{5}{4}Q_d^2 \right) \delta(\omega - \omega') \n- Q_d^2 F^{\geq}(\omega, \omega') + Q_dQ_{M_2}G^{\geq}(\omega, \omega')
$$

$$
\Gamma_{+-}^{<}(\omega,\omega',\mu) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)C_F}{\pi} \left[\left(\ln \frac{\mu}{\omega - i0} - \frac{5}{4} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega') - G^{<}(\omega, \omega') \right] \n+ \frac{\alpha_{em}(\mu)}{\pi} \left[\left((Q_d^2 - 2Q_dQ_{M_2}) \ln \frac{\mu}{\omega - i0} + i\pi Q_uQ_{M_2} - \frac{5}{4}Q_d^2 \right) \delta(\omega - \omega') \n- Q_d^2 G^{<}(\omega, \omega') + Q_dQ_{M_2}F^{<}(\omega, \omega') \right]
$$

The distribution F^{\leq} in the QED correction generates support for $\omega < 0$ even if the initial condition $\Phi_B(\omega')$ has support only for $\omega' > 0$.

$$
F^{>}(\omega, \omega') = \omega \left[\frac{\theta(\omega' - \omega)}{\omega'(\omega' - \omega)} \right]_{+} + \left[\frac{\theta(\omega - \omega')}{\omega - \omega'} \right]_{\oplus}
$$

$$
F^{<}(\omega, \omega') = \omega \left[\frac{\theta(\omega - \omega')}{\omega'(\omega - \omega')} \right]_{+} + \left[\frac{\theta(\omega' - \omega)}{\omega' - \omega} \right]_{\ominus}
$$

M. Beneke (TU München), [QED effects in](#page-0-0) *B* decays Siegen, October 28, 2021 20

Inverse moments relevant to leading-power factorization theorems

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda_B(\mu)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega - i0} \phi_B(\omega, \mu) \qquad \frac{\sigma_n(\mu)}{\lambda_B(\mu)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega - i0} \ln^n \left(\frac{\mu_0}{\omega - i0} \right) \phi_B(\omega, \mu)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}\lambda_B^{-1}(\mu) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \left[-\sigma_1(\mu) + \ln\frac{\mu_0}{\mu} + \frac{5}{4} \right] \lambda_B^{-1}(\mu)
$$

$$
+ \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\pi} \left[(Q_d^2 - 2Q_dQ_{M_2}) \left(-\sigma_1(\mu) + \ln\frac{\mu_0}{\mu} \right) + \frac{5}{4}Q_d^2 - i\pi Q_uQ_{M_2} \right] \lambda_B^{-1}(\mu)
$$

$$
\frac{d\sigma_1}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F + \alpha_{\text{em}}Q_u^2}{\pi} \left[-\sigma_2 + \sigma_1^2 \right] - \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}2Q_dQ_M}{\pi} \left[-\sigma_2 + \sigma_1^2 \right]
$$

$$
\frac{d\sigma_2}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F + \alpha_{\text{em}}Q_u^2}{\pi} \left[-\sigma_3 + \sigma_1\sigma_2 + 4\zeta_3 \right] - \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}2Q_dQ_M}{\pi} \left[-\sigma_3 + \sigma_1\sigma_2 + 2\zeta_3 \right]
$$

- *i*0-prescription arises from the hard-collinear propagators in the jet function that supplies the $1/\omega$.
- Hierarchy of coupled equations as in QCD. Otherwise numerical solution of the evolution equation.

Size of QED effects

2009.10615 (π*K*) and 2107.03819 (colour-allowed *DL*), with P.Böer, G. Finauri, J. Toelstede and K.K. Vos

Numerical estimate of QED effects for π*K* and *D* +*L* [−] final states

Up to now virtual corrections to the non-radiative amplitude. Add (ultra)soft photon radiation.

- Electroweak scale to m_B : QED corrections to Wilson coefficients included
- *m_B* to μ_c : $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{em})$ corrections to short-distance kernels included. QED effects in form factors and LCDA not included.
- Ultrasoft photon radiation included (same formalism as for $\mu^+ \mu^-$ with $m_\mu \to m_\pi, m_K$)

$$
U(M_1M_2) = \left(\frac{2\Delta E}{m_B}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha_{\rm cm}}{\pi}} \left(\mathcal{Q}_B^2 + \mathcal{Q}_{M_1}^2 \left[1 + \ln \frac{m_{M_1}^2}{m_B^2}\right] + \mathcal{Q}_{M_2}^2 \left[1 + \ln \frac{m_{M_2}^2}{m_B^2}\right]\right)
$$
\n(M₁, M₂ light mesons)

$$
U(\pi^+ K^-) = 0.914
$$

\n
$$
U(\pi^0 K^-) = U(K^- \pi^0) = 0.976
$$

\n
$$
U(\pi^- \bar{K}^0) = 0.954
$$
 [for $\Delta E = 60$ MeV]
\n
$$
U(\bar{K}^0 \pi^0) = 1
$$

\n
$$
U(D^+ K^-) = 0.960
$$

\n
$$
U(D^+ \pi^-) = 0.938
$$

Isospin-protected ratios / sum rules for the πK final states

Consider ratios / sums where some QCD uncertainties drop out.

[MB, Neubert, 2003] $R_L = \frac{2Br(\pi^0 K^0) + 2Br(\pi^0 K^-)}{Br(\pi^- K^0) + Br(\pi^+ K^-)} = R_L^{\text{QCD}} \cos \gamma \text{Re } \delta_E + \delta_U$

$$
R_L^{\text{QCD}} - 1 \approx (1 \pm 2)\% \qquad \delta_E \approx 0.1\% \qquad \delta_U = 5.8\%
$$

QED correction larger than QCD and QCD uncertainty, but short-distance QED negligible.

[Gronau, Rosner, 2006]

$$
\Delta(\pi K) \equiv A_{\rm CP}(\pi^+ K^-) + \frac{\Gamma(\pi^- \bar{K}^0)}{\Gamma(\pi^+ K^-)} A_{\rm CP}(\pi^- \bar{K}^0) - \frac{2\Gamma(\pi^0 K^-)}{\Gamma(\pi^+ K^-)} A_{\rm CP}(\pi^0 K^-)
$$

$$
-\frac{2\Gamma(\pi^0 \bar{K}^0)}{\Gamma(\pi^+ K^-)} A_{\rm CP}(\pi^0 \bar{K}^0) \equiv \Delta(\pi K)^{\rm QCD} + \delta \Delta(\pi K)
$$

$$
\Delta(\pi K)^{\rm QCD} = (0.5 \pm 1.1)\% \qquad \delta_\Delta(\pi K) \approx -0.4\%
$$

QED correction of similar size but small.

M. Beneke (TU München), OED effects in *B* decays Siegen, October 28, 2021 24

Numerical estimate of QED effects for $D^{(*)+}L^-$ final states

$$
R_L^{(0),(*)}(\Delta E) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}_d \to D^{(*)+}L^-)(\Delta E)}{d\Gamma^{(0)}(\bar{B}_d \to D^{(*)+} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\ell)/dq^2}\Big|_{q^2 = m_L^2}
$$

$$
R_L^{(*)}(\Delta E) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}_d \to D^{(*)+}L^-)(\Delta E)}{d\Gamma(\bar{B}_d \to D^{(*)+} \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\ell)(\Delta E)/dq^2}\Big|_{q^2 = m_L^2}
$$

- Short-distance QED effects $\approx -1\%$, ultrasoft up to $\approx -7\%$ for pions, depending on the semi-leptonic normalization.
- Not large enough to explain the apparent amplitude deficit of -15% [Bordone et al., 2020], but highlights the importance of proper treatment of ultrasoft radiation effects.

$R_L^{(*)}$	LO	OCD NNLO	$+\delta_{\rm OED}$	$+\delta_{\text{U}}(\delta_{\text{U}}^{(0)})$
R_{π}	0.969 ± 0.021	$1.078^{+0.045}_{-0.042}$	$1.069_{-0.041}^{+0.045}$	$1.074_{-0.043}^{+0.046}$ (1.003 $_{-0.039}^{+0.042}$)
R^*	0.962 ± 0.021	$1.069_{-0.041}^{+0.045}$	$1.059_{-0.041}^{+0.045}$	$1.065_{-0.042}^{+0.047} (0.996_{-0.039}^{+0.043})$
$R_K \cdot 10^2$	$7.47 + 0.07$	$8.28_{-0.26}^{+0.27}$	$8.21_{-0.26}^{+0.27}$	$8.44^{+0.29}_{-0.28}$ (7.88 $^{+0.26}_{-0.25}$)
$R^*_K \cdot 10^2$	$6.81 + 0.16$	$7.54_{-0.99}^{+0.31}$	$7.47^{+0.30}_{-0.29}$	$7.68^{+0.32}_{-0.30}$ (7.19 ^{+0.29})

Table 3: Theoretical predictions for $R_L^{(*)}$ expressed in GeV² at LO, NNLO QCD and subsequently adding $\delta_{\rm OED}$ given in (82) and the ultrasoft effects $\delta_{\rm U}$ (or in brackets $\delta_{\rm U}^{(0)}$). The last column presents our final results.