



Background investigations at the KATRIN experiment using a transverse energy filter

Dominic Hinz

9th KSETA Plenary Workshop 2022 (14-16 March 2022)



www.kit.edu

Outline

- KATRIN: Experimental overview
 - MAC-E-filter principle
- Present background model
- **p**assive **T**ransverse **E**nergy **F**ilter (pTEF)
 - What is that?
 - Why is its use of interest?
- Transmission studies with Kassiopeia
- Measurement configurations
- Preliminary results
- Summary





KATRIN experiment



MAC-E-filter principle







Transmission condition of background electrons

• Beta electrons:
$$\theta_{\max} = \arcsin\left(\sqrt{\frac{B_S}{B_{\max}}}\right)$$

Background electrons:
$$\mu = \frac{E_t}{B}$$
 conserved
 $\mu_{\text{init}} = \frac{E_{\text{init}} \cdot \sin(\theta_{\text{init}})^2}{B_{\text{init}}} \equiv \frac{E_{\text{final}} \cdot \sin(\theta_{\text{final}})^2}{B_{\text{final}}} = \mu_{\text{final}}$
 $\theta_{\text{final}} = \arcsin\left(\underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{E_i}{E_f} \cdot \frac{B_f}{B_i} \cdot \sin^2(\theta_i)}}_{\leq 1}\right) \rightarrow E_t \leq \frac{B_i}{B_f} \cdot E_f$



If the condition is not fullfilled electrons get reflected at the pinch magnet and magnetically trapped



Present background model



- Many sources excluded: Penning discharges, external radioactivity, cosmic muons, ...
- Remaining: Radon-induced, detector background and Rydberg background
 - Rydberg background: Neutral particle mediated background highest contribution to total budget

Present background model: Rydberg background hypothesis

- Intrinsic radioactive contamination of ²¹⁰Pb
 - Half-life of 22 years
 - Resulting α -decay ²¹⁰Po \rightarrow ²⁰⁶Pb
 - Sputtering of atoms from vessel surface
- Neutral particles (atoms) as electron carrier
- Excited atoms: binding energy $E_{\text{bind}} \propto \frac{1}{n^2}$
 - High n: very low energy
 - Black-body radiation photons ~ 70 meV
 - Enough energy to ionise
- Background electrons with small meV energies
 - Guided to detector by electro-magnetic field
 - No distinguishability of background and beta electrons at detector



What is meant by a pTEF? What was our aim?

- passive Transverse Energy Filter
 - Micro-structured unit
 - Blocking of electrons which interact with the filter
 - Not useful for neutrino mass measurements
 - Background investigations only





- What is the aim of investigations with a pTEF?
 - Proof of concept for such a type of filtering device
 - Future silicon or scintilliating aTEF concepts
 - Probing the Rydberg background scenario
 - Polar angular distribution
 - Transmission as a function of *U*⁰ and *B*-field
 - \rightarrow Energy of background electrons
 - Are there eV electrons? (deduced by former PhD students)

pTEF installation

- Gold plate with microscale honeycomb structure
 - Side length 100µm
 - Wall thickness 8µm
 - Depth 250µm
 - Open-area-ratio (OAR) 91.4%
- Specific holding structure mounted directly on FPD wafer flange
- Distance to FPD ~11cm
 - pTEF placed at center of detector magnet
 - homogeneous, well-defined field B_{det}
 - Smallest impact of possible misalignment of pTEF with respect to magnetic field lines
- Measurement phase Dec '21 to Jan '22







Transmission studies with Kassiopeia

- Honeycomb structure implemented in Kassiopeia
- Simulation of electrons with varying polar angle θ relative to \vec{B}
 - Determine final positions of track: a) front surface, b) within hexagons or c) behind
 - Different electron energies (12.1, 18.6 and 34.1 keV)
- Final polar angle at pTEF/detector θ_{final} as function of of B_i , B_f , E_i and E_f :
 - Using the invariance of the magnetic moment

•
$$\theta_{\text{final}} = \arcsin\left(\sqrt{\frac{E_{\text{i}}}{E_{\text{f}}} \cdot \frac{B_{\text{f}}}{B_{\text{i}}} \cdot \sin^2(\theta_{\text{i}})}\right)$$

- Transmission ξ through pTEF
 - Nearly linear with θ_{final}
 - $\xi = 0$ for $\theta > 43^\circ$
 - $\xi < 1 \text{ due to OAR}$
 - Most important quantity for transmission analysis







Measurement configurations

•
$$\theta_{\text{final}} = \arcsin\left(\sqrt{\frac{E_{\text{i}}}{E_{\text{f}}} \cdot \frac{B_{\text{f}}}{B_{\text{i}}} \cdot \sin^2(\theta_{\text{i}})}\right)$$

- Investigation of background for different electromagnetic fields
 - 2.7, 5.0, 8.0, 12.0, 17.0 G with non-centered potential
 - Beneficial for background investigation
 - Maximal rate: nearly whole flux tube mapped
 - Larger magnetic field \rightarrow smaller flux tube volume
 - Variation of *B*_i
 - 5.0G central potential (NAP) and standard SAP
 - Retarding potential U_0 : -12.1kV, -18.6 kV and -34.1 kV
 - Variation of $E_{\rm f}$
- Further special measurements





Results: Measured background fraction

- Value of interest: transmitted background fraction $\psi = \frac{R_{behind pTEF}}{R_{before pTEF}}$
- directly depends on θ_{final} which is connected to $\overline{B_i}$ and U_0 via E_f
- Background fraction increases
 - with magnetic field
 - (with high voltage)
 - SAP compatible to 8G to 12G fields
- Measured transmission significantly smaller than in original Rydberg hypothesis
 - meV-scale electrons would be transmitted by 70 – 85 % !!
 - ψ ~ 35% 60%
 - Do we have higher energetic electrons ?
 - If yes, where do they come from ?
 - If no, what diminishes the transmission ?



Results: Ringwise background fraction







- Combine pixel in rings for radial effects:
 - Different generation mechanism of electrons
- Statistical variations on the ringwise representation
- Compatible with flat transmission over whole flux tube
 - ► This does not reflect the radial background rate dependence!
 - Hint to at least 2 electron generation mechanisms
 - Classical Rydberg meV electrons
 - Others: higher energetic to explain the measured background fraction



Results: Background fraction over magnetic field

- Combine transmission probability ξ with θ_{final} to perform a fit with transverse energy as parameter
- $\psi(E_t; c) = \xi(\theta_{\text{final}}(B_i, B_f, E_t, E_f)) + c$ • $\theta_{\text{final}} = \arcsin\left(\sqrt{\frac{E_t}{E_f} \cdot \frac{B_f}{B_i}}\right)$ • $E_t = E_i \cdot \sin^2(\theta_i)$
- $B_{\rm f} = 2.5 \, {\rm T} \, {\rm (fix)}$
- B_i = ring-wise fields from simulation







Results: Background fraction over magnetic field

- Fit delivers transverse energy of ~450 meV
 - Isotropic directions: mean($\sin^2(\theta_i)$) ≈ 0.66
 - Initial Energy: ~680 meV
- velocity of generated electrons may not be isotropically distributed
 - If electrons start in direction of atom
 - mean(sin²(θ_i)) ≈ 0.80
 - → initial energy: ~560 meV
 - Depends on model & simulations
- Data also compatible with smaller transverse energies ~100 meV with offset to lower transmission
 - Mechanism of reduction needs to be investigated
 - Maybe backscattering from detector explains this observation
 - Combination of low and higher energetic e⁻
- Several hundred meV are also not described by <u>classical Rydberg model</u>







Summary

- Analysis of the pTEF Campaign is still ongoing
 - Detector background and alignment is taken into account
 - Alignment problematic since pTEF itself hinders investigation directly
 - -12.1 & -34.1 kV measurements and simulation work in progress
- Fit of data at -18.6 kV reveals best fit electrons with $E_t \sim 450$ meV
 - Fit $\psi(E_t; c)$ is applicable to describe the data
 - Consider different than isotropic directions
- Data also compatible with electrons of ~100 meV with reduced transmission due to unknown reason
 - Backscattering effects as possible candidate
- Extension on Rydberg background model
 - How can higher energetic electrons be generated in agreement with our observations?
 - Electromagnetic fields, pressure, temperature, ...
 - Doppler effect due to atoms motion
 - Beyond H-atom like description of ionisation by BBR
 - Excited oxygen atoms
 - Doubly-excited states
 - Autoionisation

Thank you for your attention









Institute for Astroparticle Physics (IAP)

Some dependencies of transmission probability



Reduced background due to smaller flux tube volume

Magnetic and electric inhomogeneities



pTEF



Alignement problematic

- Misalignment can be seen in pixelwise rate distribution.
- No misalignment each pixel per Ring sees same rate (step-like)
- In pTEF Campaign misalignment hidden due to pTEF
- Compare to KNM Background data
 - Sinusodial effect on rate on pixel in ring
 - Due to lateral shifts of detector on the mm-range
- For FPD/pTEF pixel selection
 - Strong differences on rate per ring observable
 - Down to 80% of rate on pTEF pixels in ring
 - Need to correct that, based on former measurements





Polar angle distributions of starting positions (5.0G asym.)

Isotropic directions

• \rightarrow mean(sin²(θ_i)) \approx 0.66

- From Rydberg paths simulation
- Atoms starting from surface crossing sensitive fluxtube
- Mean polar angle within ring volume
- \rightarrow mean(sin²(θ_i)) \approx 0.80

