

# The cyclic symmetries in the representations of unitary discrete subgroups

Darius Jurčiukonis<sup>(1)</sup>, and Luís Lavoura<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Vilnius University, Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astronomy  
*darius.jurciukonis@tfai.vu.lt*

<sup>(2)</sup> Universidade de Lisboa, Instituto Superior Técnico, CFTP  
*balio@cftp.tecnico.ulisboa.pt*

based on [e-Print: 2210.12133](#)

November 8, 2022

# Introduction

- The **lightest Dark Matter** (DM) particle must be (almost) **stable** on cosmological timescales.
- If it is stable, then there is an unbroken cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  symmetry such that standard matter is invariant under  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  while DM is not; **the  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  charge different from 1 of the lightest DM particle prevents it from decaying to standard matter**, which has  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  charge 1.
- The simplest possibility consists in  $G$  being a discrete group of order  $O$  that is isomorphic to the direct product  $\mathbb{Z}_N \times G'$ , where  $G'$  is a group of order  $O/N$ . Standard matter must be placed in the **trivial representation** of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  while **DM** is placed in **non-trivial representations** of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  [[e-Print: 1412.5600](#)], [[e-Print: 1911.05515](#)],...
- However, also discrete groups  $G$  that cannot be written as the direct product of a cyclic group and a smaller group may have a non-trivial  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  center. If  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  remains unbroken when  $G$  is broken, and if there are particles with  **$\mathbb{Z}_N$  charge different from 1**, then those particles play the role of **DM**, while the particles with  **$\mathbb{Z}_N$  value 1** are standard matter [[e-Print: 1205.3442](#)], [[e-Print: 2204.12517](#)],...

# SU(3)

The defining representation of  $SU(3)$  consists of the  $3 \times 3$  unitary matrices with determinant 1 and includes the matrix

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix} = \omega \times \mathbb{1}_3, \quad (1)$$

where  $\omega = \exp(2i\pi/3)$ . The Abelian group

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{ \mathbb{1}_3, A_3, (A_3)^2 \}. \quad (2)$$

forms the center of  $SU(3)$  in the defining representation. The matrix  $A_3$  commutes with all the matrices in the defining representation of  $SU(3)$  and satisfies  $(A_3)^3 = \mathbb{1}_3$ . Therefore, in a  $D$ -dimensional irrep of  $SU(3)$

$$A_3 \mapsto \omega^{q_D} \times \mathbb{1}_D, \quad (3)$$

where  $q_D$  is an integer that depends on the irrep and may be either 0, 1, or 2 modulo 3.

# SU(3)

- Irreps with  $q_D = 0$  (like the octet and the decuplet) have  $A_3$  represented by  $\mathbb{1}_D$  and are **unfaithful representations** of  $SU(3)$ .
- Irreps with either  $q_D = 1$  (like the triplet) or  $q_D = 2$  (like the sextet and the anti-triplet) are **faithful**.
- The  $q_D$ -values help determine the tensor products of irreps of the subgroup. **This may be used to explain the stability of DM:**
  - if Nature had an internal symmetry that was a discrete subgroup of  $SU(3)$ , that contained the matrix  $A_3$  in its defining representation, and that stayed unbroken, then **standard matter would sit in irreps of that subgroup with  $q_D = 0$ ,**
  - while DM would be in irreps with either  $q_D = 1$  or  $q_D = 2$ ; **the lightest DM particle would then automatically be stable.**

## Motivation

- The defining representation of  $SU(D)$  consists of the  $D \times D$  unitary matrices with determinant 1. It is obvious that, in this representation, the center of  $SU(D)$  is formed by the  $D$  diagonal matrices

$$\Delta \times \mathbb{1}_D, \Delta^2 \times \mathbb{1}_D, \Delta^3 \times \mathbb{1}_D, \dots, \Delta^D \times \mathbb{1}_D = \mathbb{1}_D, \quad (4)$$

where  $\Delta = \exp(2i\pi/D)$ . Thus, the center of  $SU(D)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_D$  group.

- On the other hand, discrete subgroups of  $U(D)$  do not bear the constraint that the determinants of the matrices in their defining representations should be 1. As a consequence, if

$$\mathbb{Z}_t = \left\{ \theta \times \mathbb{1}_D, \theta^2 \times \mathbb{1}_D, \theta^3 \times \mathbb{1}_D, \dots, \theta^t \times \mathbb{1}_D = \mathbb{1}_D \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\theta = \exp(2i\pi/t)$ , is the center of a discrete subgroup of  $U(D)$ , then there appears to be a *priori* no restriction on  $t$ .

- Motivated by this observation that discrete subgroups of  $U(D)$  may in general have diverse centers, in our work we have surveyed many discrete groups in order to find out their centers and also which groups  $U(D)$  they are subgroups of.

# Procedure

- We have surveyed all the discrete groups of order  $O \leq 2000$  in the `SmallGroups` library, except the groups of order either 512, 1024, or 1536.
- We have discarded all the groups that are isomorphic to the direct product of a smaller (*i.e.* of lower order) group and a cyclic group.
- We have used `GAP` to find out all the irreps of each remaining group, and then to ascertain whether those irreps are faithful or not.
- We have discarded all the groups that do not have any faithful irreducible representation.
- We have thus obtained 87,349 non-isomorphic groups, that are all listed in our tables available at the site <https://github.com/jurciukonis/GAP-group-search>.

# Intersections

- There are relatively **few groups** that have firreps with **different dimensions**. For instance:
  - $A_5$  has firreps of dimensions **three, four, and five**.
  - On the other hand, the group  $\Sigma(36 \times 3)$ , that has SmallGroups identifier [108, 15], has irreps of dimensions **1, 3, and 4**, but the 1- and 4-dimensional irreps are unfaithful—**all the firreps have dimension 3**.
- We have found **2787** such discrete groups, out of the total **87,349** groups that we have surveyed.

## Example

- The discrete group  $GL(2, 3)$  has order 48 and SmallGroups identifier [48, 29].
- There is a faithful representation through  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrices:

$$a \mapsto \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} i\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{6}\omega \\ -\sqrt{6}\omega^2 & -i\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first two matrices have determinant 1 while the third one has determinant  $-1$ ; hence, we classify  $GL(2, 3)$  as a subgroup of  $U(2)$ , but it is not a subgroup of  $SU(2)$ .

- On the other hand, there is another faithful irrep of  $GL(2, 3)$ , through  $4 \times 4$  unitary matrices, all of them with determinant 1:

$$a \mapsto \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} -3\sqrt{3}i & 0 & 6i & -3\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 3\sqrt{3}i & 3\sqrt{2} & -6i \\ 6i & -3\sqrt{2} & i\sqrt{3} & -2\sqrt{6} \\ 3\sqrt{2} & -6i & 2\sqrt{6} & -i\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^2 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $b \mapsto \text{diag}(\omega, \omega^2, 1, 1)$ . Therefore, we classify  $GL(2, 3)$  as a subgroup of both  $U(2)$  and  $SU(4)$ , but  $GL(2, 3)$  earns these two classifications *through different irreps*.



## Computing time

- The scan over the SmallGroups library to find the firreps of all possible dimensions constituted a **computationally very expensive task**.
- Our computations with GAP took **about three months**.
- Most of the time was consumed in the **computation of the irreps** of the groups.
- For example, the computation for group [1320, 15], viz.  $SL(2, 11)$ , took about **320 CPUH** running on Intel Xeon CPU @ 1.60GHz or about **46 CPUH** in the newer Intel i9-10850K CPU @ 3.60GHz.
- Orders 768, 1280, and 1792 **have more than one million non-isomorphic groups** of each order and therefore require many CPUH to scan over all of them.

# Database

We have obtained 87,349 non-isomorphic groups, that are all listed in our tables available at the site <https://github.com/jurciukonis/GAP-group-search>.

Group order (O)	Group number	SmallGroups identifier	N	D	# of firreps in U(D)	# of firreps in SU(D)	Structure description
6	1	[6, 1]	1	2	1	0	S3
8	3	[8, 3]	2	2	1	0	D8
8	4	[8, 4]	2	2	1	1	Q8
10	1	[10, 1]	1	2	2	0	D10
12	1	[12, 1]	2	2	1	1	C3:C4
12	3	[12, 3]	1	3	1	1	A4
14	1	[14, 1]	1	2	3	0	D14
16	6	[16, 6]	4	2	2	0	C8:C2
16	7	[16, 7]	2	2	2	0	D16
16	8	[16, 8]	2	2	2	0	QD16
16	9	[16, 9]	2	2	2	2	Q16
16	13	[16, 13]	4	2	2	0	(C4xC2):C2
18	1	[18, 1]	1	2	3	0	D18
20	1	[20, 1]	2	2	2	2	C5:C4
20	3	[20, 3]	1	4	1	0	C5:C4
21	1	[21, 1]	1	3	2	2	C7:C3
22	1	[22, 1]	1	2	5	0	D22
24	1	[24, 1]	4	2	2	0	C3:C8
24	3	[24, 3]	2	2	3	1	SL(2,3)

# Database

The groups that have **faithful** irreducible representations of **more than one different dimensions**.

Group order	Group	SmallGroups	dimensions of a firreps (D):								Structure description
(O)	number	identifier	N	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
48	28	[48, 28]	2	U <sub>5</sub>		U <sub>5</sub>					C2.S4=SL(2,3).C2
48	29	[48, 29]	2	U		U <sub>5</sub>					GL(2,3)
60	5	[60, 5]	1		U <sub>5</sub>	U <sub>5</sub>	U <sub>5</sub>				A5
96	64	[96, 64]	1		UU <sub>5</sub>			U			((C4xC4):C3):C2
96	67	[96, 67]	4	U		U					SL(2,3):C4
96	192	[96, 192]	4	U		U <sub>5</sub>					((C4xC2):C2):C3):C2
120	5	[120, 5]	2	U <sub>5</sub>		U <sub>5</sub>		U <sub>5</sub>			SL(2,5)
120	34	[120, 34]	1			U	UU <sub>5</sub>	U			S5
150	5	[150, 5]	1		UU <sub>5</sub>			U			(C5xC5):S3
168	42	[168, 42]	1		U <sub>5</sub>			U <sub>5</sub>	U <sub>5</sub>	U <sub>5</sub>	PSL(3,2)
192	182	[192, 182]	2		U			U <sub>5</sub>			((C4xC4):C3):C4
192	187	[192, 187]	8	U		U					C8.S4=SL(2,3).C8
192	963	[192, 963]	8	U		U					((C8xC2):C2):C3):C2
192	987	[192, 987]	2			U <sub>5</sub>				U <sub>5</sub>	(SL(2,3):C4):C2
192	988	[192, 988]	2			U <sub>5</sub>				U <sub>5</sub>	((C2xC2xC2):(C2xC2)):C3):C2
192	989	[192, 989]	2			U <sub>5</sub>				U <sub>5</sub>	(SL(2,3):C4):C2
192	990	[192, 990]	2			U <sub>5</sub>				U <sub>5</sub>	((C2xQ8):C2):C3):C2

## Database

Number of discrete groups with center  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  that have at least one faithful irreducible representation of dimension  $D$

N	dimensions of a firreps (D):																																										Total
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20	21	22	23	24	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	36	40	42											
1	499	154	643	41	848	26	468	72	118	5	692	6	33	28	133	1	345	42	14	5	1	174	2	4	6	1	8	1	5	5	1	1							4382				
2	993	7	9174	5	1592	2	29382	14	205		4417		37	2	10587		153	135		1		507	1		8		8												57230				
3		173	1		487	1	2	458			131		9				346		6			14																1628					
4	493	5	5709	4	572	1	12734	3	62		722		7	1	489		14	14																					20830				
5				30							20				3																								53				
6	1	12	40		847		33	24			573						82																						9812				
7						7																																	7				
8	242	3	1636	2	172		931		13		55		2																										3056				
9		66			104	1	1	65			9																												246				
10			1	4					33																														38				
11										2																													2				
12	1	9	20		258			7			90																												385				
14						1																																	1				
15				1																																			1				
16	117	2	366	1	39		27		1																														553				
18	1	7	10		126																																		144				
20			1	3					7																														11				
24	1	8	6		49																																		64				
25				6																																			6				
27			25		10																																		35				
32	54	1	52		4																																	111					
36	1	6	4		21																																	32					
40				1																																			1				
48	1	3			10																																	14					
54	1	2			10																																	13					
64	19	1	2																																			22					
72	1	1																																				2					
81		5																																				5					
96	1	1																																				2					
108	1	1																																				2					
128	3																																					3					
144	1																																					1					
162	1																																					1					
Total	2432	492	17665	98	5149	39	43578	643	459	7	6689	6	79	43	11209	1	940	191	20	6	1	695	3	4	14	1	16	1	5	5	1	1				90493							

# Conclusions

e-Print: 2210.12133

- In this work we have pointed out that **Dark Matter may be stabilized by a  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  cyclic group** under which it has a **non-trivial charge** – contrary to standard matter.
- Then we have performed an extensive search for **the centers** of discrete groups that **cannot be written in the form  $\mathbb{Z}_N \times G'$**  and that **have faithful irreducible representations**. The following are our conclusions:
  - We have found groups with **centers  $\mathbb{Z}_N$**  for  $N \leq 162$ .
  - We have found groups with  $N = 2^p \times 3^q$  for *all* the integers  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $N \leq 162$ .
  - We have found groups with  $N = 2^p \times 5$  for  $0 \leq p \leq 3$ .
  - We have also found groups with  $N = 7$ ,  $N = 11$ ,  $N = 14$ ,  $N = 15$ , and  $N = 25$ .
  - The number  $N$  **always divides the order  $O$**  of the group. The integer  $O/N$  always has at least two prime factors.

**Thanks for your attention!**