The Weinberg 3HDM potential

Per Osland

University of Bergen

DISCRETE 2022

Baden-Baden, Nov 2022

Robin Plantey, Marius Solberg (both NTNU, Trondheim) Odd Magne Ogreid, Gui Rebelo, P.O.: 2208.13594, 2209.06499

Weinberg 3HDM potential (1976) in notation of Ivanov and Nishi:

 $V = V_2 + V_4$, with $V_4 = V_0 + V_{\rm ph}$,

Insensitive to relative phases of fields ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 : $V_2 = -[m_{11}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1) + m_{22}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2) + m_{33}(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)],$ $V_0 = \lambda_{11}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2 + \lambda_{12}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2) + \lambda_{13}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_1)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3) + \lambda_{22}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)^2 + \lambda_{23}(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_2)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3) + \lambda_{33}(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2 + \lambda_{12}(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_2)(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_1) + \lambda_{13}'(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_3)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1) + \lambda_{23}'(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_2),$

Sensitive to phases:

 $V_{\rm ph} = \lambda_1 (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_3)^2 + \lambda_2 (\phi_3^{\dagger} \phi_1)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \text{ h.c.}$

Weinberg:

Natural flavour conservation and CPV can be arranged by complex potential. Branco (1980) showed that this could also be achieved with a real potential (and complex vevs). Case studied

$$V_{2} = -[m_{11}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) + m_{22}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + m_{33}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})],$$

$$V_{0} = \lambda_{11}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + \lambda_{12}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{13}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3}) + \lambda_{22}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{23}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3}) + \lambda_{33}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})^{2} + \lambda_{12}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) + \lambda_{13}'(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) + \lambda_{23}'(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}),$$

 $V_{\rm ph} = \lambda_1 (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_3)^2 + \lambda_2 (\phi_3^{\dagger} \phi_1)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \text{ h.c.}$

Important element: $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -symmetry (only even powers of fields) $\phi_i \to -\phi_i$ for all three ϕ_i .

Observation 1:

CP violation (good for baryogenesis) generated by

 $V_{\rm ph} = \lambda_1 (\phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_3)^2 + \lambda_2 (\phi_3^{\dagger} \phi_1)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2)^2 + \text{ h.c.}$

Want CPV to be small, in view of SM-like Higgs boson at 125 GeV

Study limit:

 $V_{\rm ph} \to 0, \quad \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\} \to 0$

Observation 2: U(1) × U(1) × U(1) symmetry $V_{2} = -[m_{11}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) + m_{22}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + m_{33}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})],$ $V_{0} = \lambda_{11}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + \lambda_{12}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{13}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3}) + \lambda_{22}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{23}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3}) + \lambda_{33}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})^{2} + \lambda_{12}'(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) + \lambda_{13}'(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) + \lambda_{23}'(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}),$

Observation 2 cont:

 $V_2 + V_0$ is invariant under

 $\phi_1 \to e^{i\alpha_1}\phi_1, \quad \phi_2 \to e^{i\alpha_2}\phi_2, \quad \phi_3 \to e^{i\alpha_3}\phi_3$

Observation 3:

Actually, one U(1) factor is combined with the hypercharge, when the U(1) \times U(1) symmetry is broken by the vacuum, we are left with two Goldstone bosons

Conjecture:

With $V_{\rm ph} \neq 0$, or $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\} \neq 0$,

two light states with a significant CP-odd content?

Minimization

Notation:

 $c_x \equiv$

$$\phi_{i} = e^{i\theta_{i}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{i}^{+} \\ (v_{i} + \eta_{i} + i\chi_{i})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$
$$\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\} = \{v_{1}, v_{2} e^{i\theta_{2}}, v_{3} e^{i\theta_{3}}\}$$

Three minimization conditions with respect to moduli

$$m_{11} = \lambda_{11}v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}_{12}v_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}_{13}v_3^2 + \lambda_2c_{2\theta_3}v_3^2 + \lambda_3c_{2\theta_2}v_2^2,$$

$$m_{22} = \lambda_{22}v_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}_{12}v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}_{23}v_3^2 + \lambda_1c_{(2\theta_3 - 2\theta_2)}v_3^2 + \lambda_3c_{2\theta_2}v_1^2,$$

$$m_{33} = \lambda_{33}v_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}_{13}v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}_{23}v_2^2 + \lambda_1c_{(2\theta_3 - 2\theta_2)}v_2^2 + \lambda_2c_{2\theta_3}v_1^2,$$

$$\cos x$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{12} \equiv \lambda_{12} + \lambda'_{12}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{13} \equiv \lambda_{13} + \lambda'_{13}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{23} \equiv \lambda_{23} + \lambda'_{23}$$

Minimization

Minimize with respect to phases:

$$\lambda_1 v_3^2 \sin(2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3) + \lambda_3 v_1^2 \sin 2\theta_2 = 0, \lambda_1 v_2^2 \sin(2\theta_3 - 2\theta_2) + \lambda_2 v_1^2 \sin 2\theta_3 = 0.$$

Phases are related:

$$\lambda_3 v_2^2 \sin 2\theta_2 + \lambda_2 v_3^2 \sin 2\theta_3 = 0.$$

Relative sign of $\sin 2\theta_2$ and $\sin 2\theta_3$ is opposite of that of λ_2/λ_3 . Branco (1980)

$$\cos 2\theta_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{D_{23}D_{31}}{D_{12}^2} - \frac{D_{31}}{D_{23}} - \frac{D_{23}}{D_{31}} \right]$$

$$\cos 2\theta_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{D_{23}D_{12}}{D_{31}^2} - \frac{D_{12}}{D_{23}} - \frac{D_{23}}{D_{12}} \right]$$

$$D_{12} = \lambda_3 (v_1 v_2)^2, \quad D_{23} = \lambda_1 (v_2 v_3)^2, \quad D_{31} = \lambda_2 (v_3 v_1)^2$$

Minimization

Other (our) approach (retains sign information) Free input: $v_1, v_2, v_3, \theta_2, \theta_3$

$$\lambda_{2} = \frac{\lambda_{1}v_{2}^{2}\sin(2\theta_{2} - 2\theta_{3})}{v_{1}^{2}\sin 2\theta_{3}},$$
$$\lambda_{3} = -\frac{\lambda_{1}v_{3}^{2}\sin(2\theta_{2} - 2\theta_{3})}{v_{1}^{2}\sin 2\theta_{2}}.$$

Next: masses

Neutral sector (5×5) :

It is instructive to study the determinant:

$$D_{5\times5} = \frac{\lambda_1^2 \sin^2(2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3)}{v^2 v_1^4 (v_2^2 + v_3^2)^5 \sin^5 2\theta_2 \sin^5 2\theta_3} F(\theta_2, \theta_3, \ldots),$$

$$F(\theta_2, \theta_3, \ldots) = 64\lambda_1^3 v_2^6 v_3^{10} w^2 \sin^2 2\theta_2 \sin^8 2\theta_3 \tilde{F}_{2,8} + \lambda_1^2 v_2^4 v_3^8 \sin^3 2\theta_2 \sin^7 2\theta_3 \tilde{F}_{3,7} + \lambda_1 v_2^2 v_3^6 \sin^4 2\theta_2 \sin^6 2\theta_3 \tilde{F}_{4,6} + v_2^4 v_3^4 \sin^5 2\theta_2 \sin^5 2\theta_3 \tilde{F}_{5,5} + \{(\theta_2, v_2, \lambda_{22}, \bar{\lambda}_{12}) \leftrightarrow (\theta_3, v_3, \lambda_{33}, \bar{\lambda}_{13}))\}$$

Two powers of λ_1 for two light masses

where x denotes a term vanishing with λ_1

$$h_i = O_{ij} \varphi_j^{\rm HB} \qquad O \text{ only known numerically}$$

 $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < m_4 < m_5$

Which one is 125 GeV?

Neutral sector (5×5) :

general case	$\theta_2 = \theta_3$	simple case
$\mathcal{M}_{\rm neut}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X & 0 & 0 \\ X & X & X & 0 & x \\ X & X & X & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & 0 & x & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} y \\ y \\ y \\ y \end{vmatrix}$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} & & & & \\ P_{1}^{HB} \\ P_{2}^{HB} \\ P_{3}^{HB} \\ P_{3}^{$	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} X & X & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X & X & X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & X \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c cccc} \eta_1^{\mathrm{HB}} \\ \eta_2^{\mathrm{HB}} \\ \chi_3^{\mathrm{HB}} \\ \chi_1^{\mathrm{HB}} \\ \eta_3^{\mathrm{HB}} \\ \star \end{array} $

where \boldsymbol{x} denotes a term vanishing with λ_1 simple case: $\lambda_2 = \pm \lambda_3, \, \theta_2 = \mp \theta_3, \, v_2 = v_3, \, \lambda_{ii} = \lambda_{jj}, \, \bar{\lambda}_{ij} = \bar{\lambda}_{12}$

$$h_i = O_{ij} \varphi_j^{\rm HB} \qquad O \text{ only known numerically}$$

$$m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < m_4 < m_5$$

Which one is 125 GeV?

Gauge couplings

Cubic gauge-gauge-scalar part:

$$\mathcal{L}_{VVh} = \left(gm_W W^+_\mu W^{\mu-} + \frac{gm_Z}{2\cos\theta_W} Z_\mu Z^\mu\right) \sum_{i=1}^5 O_{i1}h_i$$

Cubic gauge-scalar-scalar terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Vhh} = -\frac{g}{2\cos\theta_W} \sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 (O_{i2}O_{j4} + O_{i3}O_{j5})(h_i\overleftrightarrow{\partial_\mu}h_j)Z^\mu + \frac{g}{2} \sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^2 [(iO_{ij+1} + O_{ij+3})\sum_{k=1}^2 U_{jk}(h_k^+\overleftrightarrow{\partial_\mu}h_i)W^{\mu-} + \text{h.c.}] + \left(ieA^\mu + \frac{ig\cos 2\theta_W}{2\cos\theta_W}Z^\mu\right) \sum_{j=1}^2 (h_j^+\overleftrightarrow{\partial_\mu}h_j^-),$$

Gauge couplings

The h_jWW (and h_jZZ) coupling is given by O_{j1} How to measure different CP content?

Z is odd under CP, study the trilinear coupling $h_i h_j Z$

$$P_{ij} = (O_{i2}O_{j4} + O_{i3}O_{j5}) - (i \leftrightarrow j)$$

In the 2HDM, allowing for CP violation, the $h_i h_j Z$ couplings are essentially the same as the $h_k Z Z$ couplings, with i, j, k all different Not the case in a 3HDM.

Scan

Scan over model parameters:

Want the Higgs-gauge coupling $h_{\rm SM}WW$ to be close to unity

 $|O_{j1}| \simeq 1$, for some j.

$$v_i \in [0, v], \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \text{with } v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2 = v^2, \\ \theta_i \in [-\pi, \pi], \qquad i = 2, 3, \\ \lambda_{ii}, \lambda_{ij}, \lambda'_{ij}, \lambda_1 \in [-4\pi, 4\pi], \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Scan

Scan over model parameters:

For each j = 1 to 5:

- 1. check if the coupling O_{j1} to WW (or ZZ) is compatible with LHC measurements, $3\sigma \ (\sigma = 0.12)$ tolerance,
- 2. rescale all λ s such that $m_j = m_{\rm SM} = 125.25$ GeV [footnote]
- 3. check if all rescaled λ s (including λ_2 and λ_3) are within the perturbative range,
- 4. check if the lightest charged scalar is above 80 GeV.

[footnote] masses squared are linear in λ s

Distribution [in %] of SM-like h_j

h_1	h_2	h_3	h_4	h_5
0.32	38.05	28.22	22.83	10.58

The quantity

$$P_{ij} = (O_{i2}O_{j4} + O_{i3}O_{j5}) - (i \leftrightarrow j)$$

measures how "different" two states h_i and h_j are in terms of CP. Recall the CP-conserving 2HDM: full-strength HAZ coupling, no hHZ coupling Because of alignment, no hAZ coupling either

As a reference, we analysed parameter points that were not subject to the experimental SM-like Higgs constraints

For this study, we define a "near $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry" condition

 $\max(|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, |\lambda_3|) = 0.01$



"near $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry": h_1 and h_2 have low Z-affinity: similar CP. Likewise, h_3 , h_4 and h_5 have similar CP



No particular constraints on λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3

Near the $U(1) \times U(1)$ limit we have two neutral states that are approximately odd under CP, and three that are approximately even.

Consider the CP-conserving 2HDM: H and A have opposite CP (Z affinity = 1), as do h and A (but Z affinity = 0). Alignment!

It is instructive to consider how the Z affinity is affected by alignment. Let h_j be "aligned", meaning its coupling to WW is maximal, $O_{j1} = 1$. By orthogonality, it follows that $O_{k1} = 0$ for $k \neq j$ and $O_{jk} = 0$, for $k \neq 1$. Then

$$P_{ij} = P_{ji} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i$$

Alignment examples



Scan points where h_2 (left) and h_3 (right) satisfy LHC SM constraint

Attempt to circumvent the "alignment problem"

Normalized to the squared sum of even and odd couplings.

$$\hat{P}_{ij} = \frac{P_{ij}}{\sqrt{\min(O_{i1}^2, O_{j1}^2) + P_{ij}^2}}$$

with O_{i1} representing the CP-even ZZh_i coupling

h₂ as h_{SM}



Complex vevs $v_2 e^{i\theta_2}/v$ and $v_3 e^{i\theta_3}/v$, for $h_2 = h_{\text{SM}}$. Yellow is high, dark blue is low. Arbitrary normalization.

h₂ as h_{SM}



Relative strength of the $h_2 h_j Z$ couplings, in units of $g/(2\cos\theta_W)$ (root-mean-square, averaged over the scan).

h₃ as h_{SM}



Complex vevs $v_2 e^{i\theta_2}/v$ and $v_3 e^{i\theta_3}/v$, for $h_3 = h_{\text{SM}}$. Yellow is high, dark blue is low. Arbitrary normalization.

h₃ as h_{SM}



Relative strength of the h_3h_jZ couplings, in units of $g/(2\cos\theta_W)$.

Yukawa couplings

Example $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ charges:

$$\phi_1 : (+1, +1) \qquad \phi_2 : (-1, +1) \qquad \phi_3 : (+1, -1) \\ u_R : (+1, +1) \qquad d_R : (-1, +1) \qquad e_R : (+1, -1) \\ \end{cases}$$

Yukawa Lagrangian

 $\mathcal{L}_Y = Y^u \bar{Q}_L \tilde{\phi}_1 u_R + Y^d \bar{Q}_L \phi_2 d_R + Y^e \bar{E}_L \phi_3 e_R + \text{h.c.}$ neutral interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\text{neutral}} = \frac{1}{v_1} \bar{u} M^u (\eta_1 + i\chi_1\gamma_5) u + \frac{1}{v_2} \bar{d} M^d (\eta_2 + i\chi_2\gamma_5) d + \frac{1}{v_3} \bar{e} M^e (\eta_3 + i\chi_3\gamma_5) e.$$

The η_i and χ_i fields will mix. Neutral physical scalar h_i and a fermion f:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h_iff} = \frac{m_f}{v} h_i (\kappa^{h_i f f} \bar{f} f + i \tilde{\kappa}^{h_i f f} \bar{f} \gamma_5 f)$$

Yukawa couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_{h_iff} = \frac{m_f}{v} h_i (\kappa^{h_i f f} \bar{f} f + i \tilde{\kappa}^{h_i f f} \bar{f} \gamma_5 f)$$

For $\tau \bar{\tau}$ final states, CMS has constrained mixing $\tan \alpha^{h_{\text{SM}}\tau\tau} = \frac{\tilde{\kappa}^{h_{\text{SM}}\tau\tau}}{\kappa^{h_{\text{SM}}\tau\tau}}$

Rotate from η_k and χ_k , via Higgs basis fields to physical fields:

$$Z_{i}^{(k)} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1k}O_{i1} + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{2k}(O_{i2} + iO_{i4}) + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{3k}(O_{i3} + iO_{i5})$$

$$\alpha^{h_i \tau \tau} = \arg(Z_i^{(3)})$$

Yukawa couplings





having imposed cut on a for h_{SM}





EXPERIMENTAL ISSUE

If h_2 or h_3 plays the role of h_{SM} at 125 GeV

Why have not h_1 or h_2 been observed?

Reduced coupling for Bjorken process (LEP)
 Reduced gamma-gamma BR

However, note suggestions by Heinemeyer et al, 96 GeV 2105.11189, 2203.13180, 2204.05975

CONCLUSIONS

We have reviewed the Weinberg 3HDM potential

- accommodates CP violation and NFC
- consequence: light states with a significant CPodd content (below 125 GeV)
- Plea for LHC: keep searching!

BACKUP

Appendix: Limits of CPC

In special cases, no CP violation. Study CP-odd invariants At the lowest non-trivial order, the invariants can be expanded in terms of

$$S = \sin(2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3)$$

and

$$X_{a} = \lambda_{11}(\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{13}) + \lambda_{22}(\lambda_{23} - \lambda_{12}) + \lambda_{33}(\lambda_{13} - \lambda_{23})$$

$$X_{b} = \lambda_{11}(\lambda_{12}' - \lambda_{13}') + \lambda_{22}(\lambda_{23}' - \lambda_{12}') + \lambda_{33}(\lambda_{13}' - \lambda_{23}')$$

$$X_{c} = \lambda_{12}(\lambda_{13}' - \lambda_{23}') + \lambda_{13}(\lambda_{23}' - \lambda_{12}') + \lambda_{23}(\lambda_{12}' - \lambda_{13}')$$

$$\begin{split} W_{a} &= (\lambda_{23} - \lambda_{13})v_{1}^{4}v_{2}^{4}\sin^{2}2\theta_{2} + (\lambda_{13} - \lambda_{12})v_{2}^{4}v_{3}^{4}\sin^{2}(2\theta_{2} - 2\theta_{3}) \\ &+ (\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{23})v_{1}^{4}v_{3}^{4}\sin^{2}2\theta_{3}, \\ W_{b} &= (\lambda_{23}' - \lambda_{13}')v_{1}^{4}v_{2}^{4}\sin^{2}2\theta_{2} + (\lambda_{13}' - \lambda_{12}')v_{2}^{4}v_{3}^{4}\sin^{2}(2\theta_{2} - 2\theta_{3}) \\ &+ (\lambda_{12}' - \lambda_{23}')v_{1}^{4}v_{3}^{4}\sin^{2}2\theta_{3}, \\ W_{c} &= (\lambda_{11} - \lambda_{22})v_{1}^{4}v_{2}^{4}\sin^{2}2\theta_{2} + (\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{33})v_{2}^{4}v_{3}^{4}\sin^{2}(2\theta_{2} - 2\theta_{3}) \\ &+ (\lambda_{33} - \lambda_{11})v_{1}^{4}v_{3}^{4}\sin^{2}3\theta_{3}). \end{split}$$
must all vanish...

Higgs basis

$$\mathcal{R}_{2}\mathcal{R}_{1}\begin{pmatrix}v_{1}\\e^{i\theta_{2}}v_{2}\\e^{i\theta_{3}}v_{3}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}v\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{R}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\0 & R_{1}\end{pmatrix}, \quad R_{1} = \frac{1}{w}\begin{pmatrix}v_{2}e^{-i\theta_{2}} & v_{3}e^{-i\theta_{3}}\\-v_{3}e^{-i\theta_{2}} & v_{2}e^{-i\theta_{3}}\end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \sqrt{v_{2}^{2} + v_{3}^{2}}$$

Higgs basis

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{2}\mathcal{R}_{1}\begin{pmatrix} v_{1} \\ e^{i\theta_{2}}v_{2} \\ e^{i\theta_{3}}v_{3} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{R}_{1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_{1} = \frac{1}{w}\begin{pmatrix} v_{2}e^{-i\theta_{2}} & v_{3}e^{-i\theta_{3}} \\ -v_{3}e^{-i\theta_{2}} & v_{2}e^{-i\theta_{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \sqrt{v_{2}^{2} + v_{3}^{2}} \\ \mathcal{R}_{2} &= \frac{1}{v}\begin{pmatrix} v_{1} & w & 0 \\ -w & v_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} H_{1} \\ H_{2} \\ H_{3} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1} \\ \phi_{2} \\ \phi_{3} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1} \\ e^{-i\theta_{2}}\phi_{2} \\ e^{-i\theta_{3}}\phi_{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{R}} &= \mathcal{R}_{2}\frac{1}{w}\begin{pmatrix} w & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_{2} & v_{3} \\ 0 & -v_{3} & v_{2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{in fact real.} \end{aligned}$$

Higgs basis

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_{2}\mathcal{R}_{1}\begin{pmatrix} v_{1} \\ e^{i\theta_{2}}v_{2} \\ e^{i\theta_{3}}v_{3} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{R}_{1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_{1} = \frac{1}{w}\begin{pmatrix} v_{2}e^{-i\theta_{2}} & v_{3}e^{-i\theta_{3}} \\ -v_{3}e^{-i\theta_{3}} & v_{2}e^{-i\theta_{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \sqrt{v_{2}^{2} + v_{3}^{2}} \\ \mathcal{R}_{2} &= \frac{1}{v}\begin{pmatrix} v_{1} & w & 0 \\ -w & v_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{R}_{2} &= \frac{1}{v}\begin{pmatrix} v_{1} & w & 0 \\ -w & v_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{R}} &= \mathcal{R}_{2}\frac{1}{w}\begin{pmatrix} w & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_{2} & v_{3} \\ 0 & -v_{3} & v_{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{ in fact real.} \\ H_{1} &= \begin{pmatrix} G^{+} \\ (v + \eta_{1}^{\text{HB}} + iG_{0})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{i} &= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i}^{\text{HB}} + \\ (\eta_{i}^{\text{HB}} + i\chi_{i}^{\text{HB}})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 2, 3 \\ \varphi_{i}^{\text{HB}} &= \{\eta_{1}^{\text{HB}}, -\eta_{2}^{\text{HB}}, -\eta_{3}^{\text{HB}}, -\chi_{2}^{\text{HB}}, -\chi_{3}^{\text{HB}}\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5 \end{split}$$

Charged sector:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{M}_{\rm ch}^2)_{11} &= -\frac{\lambda_1 v^2 \sin^2(2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3) v_2^2 v_3^2}{\sin 2\theta_2 \sin 2\theta_3 v_1^2 w^2} - (\lambda_{12}' v_2^2 + \lambda_{13}' v_3^2) \frac{v^2}{2w^2}, \\ (\mathcal{M}_{\rm ch}^2)_{12} &= -\frac{\lambda_1 v v_1 v_2 v_3 \sin(2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3)}{\sin 2\theta_2 \sin 2\theta_3 v_1^2 w^2} (v_2^2 \sin 2\theta_2 e^{2i\theta_3} + v_3^2 \sin 2\theta_3 e^{2i\theta_2}) + \frac{v v_1 v_2 v_3}{2w^2} (\lambda_{12}' - \lambda_{13}'), \\ (\mathcal{M}_{\rm ch}^2)_{21} &= (\mathcal{M}_{\rm ch}^2)_{12}^*, \\ (\mathcal{M}_{\rm ch}^2)_{22} &= -\frac{\lambda_1}{\sin 2\theta_2 \sin 2\theta_3 w^2} (2\sin 2\theta_2 \sin 2\theta_3 \cos(2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3) v_2^2 v_3^2 + \sin^2 2\theta_2 v_2^4 + \sin^2 2\theta_3 v_3^4) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2w^2} [(\lambda_{12}' v_3^2 + \lambda_{13}' v_2^2) v_1^2 + \lambda_{23}' w^4]. \end{aligned}$$

terms proportional to λ_1 and to λ'_{ij}

$$h_i^+ = U_{ij}\varphi_{j+1}^{\mathrm{HB}\,+} \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\gamma & \sin\gamma \, e^{i\phi} \\ -\sin\gamma \, e^{-i\phi} & \cos\gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

Neutral sector (5×5) :

$$\begin{split} (\mathcal{M}_{\rm neut}^2)_{11} &= \frac{4\lambda_1 v_2^2 v_3^2}{v^2 s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_3}} [1 - c_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_2} c_{2\theta_2} c_{2\theta_3}] \\ &+ \frac{2}{v^2} [\lambda_{11} v_1^4 + \lambda_{22} v_2^4 + \lambda_{33} v_3^4 + \bar{\lambda}_{12} v_1^2 v_2^2 + \bar{\lambda}_{13} v_1^2 v_3^2 + \bar{\lambda}_{23} v_2^2 v_3^2], \\ (\mathcal{M}_{\rm neut}^2)_{12} &= \frac{-2\lambda_1 v_2^2 v_3^2}{v^2 w v_1 s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_3}} [s_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3}^2 (2w^2 - v^2) - 2c_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3} s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_3} v_1^2] \\ &- \frac{v_1}{v^2 w} [2\lambda_{11} v_1^2 w^2 - 2\lambda_{22} v_2^4 - 2\lambda_{33} v_3^4 - (\bar{\lambda}_{12} v_2^2 + \bar{\lambda}_{13} v_3^2)(v^2 - 2w^2) - 2\bar{\lambda}_{23} v_2^2 v_3^2] \\ (\mathcal{M}_{\rm neut}^2)_{13} &= \frac{2\lambda_1 v_2 v_3}{v w s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_3}} [v_2^2 s_{2\theta_2}^2 - v_3^2 s_{2\theta_3}^2] \\ &+ \frac{v_2 v_3 w}{v w^2} [-2\lambda_{22} v_2^2 + 2\lambda_{33} v_3^2 - \bar{\lambda}_{12} v_1^2 + \bar{\lambda}_{13} v_1^2 + \bar{\lambda}_{23} (v_2^2 - v_3^2)], \\ (\mathcal{M}_{\rm neut}^2)_{22} &= \frac{4\lambda_1 v_2^2 v_3^2}{v^2 w^2 s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_3}} [v_1^2 c_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3} s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_3} - w^2 s_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3}^2] \\ &+ \frac{2v_1^2}{v^2 w^2} [\lambda_{11} w^4 + \lambda_{22} v_2^4 + \lambda_{33} v_3^4 - \bar{\lambda}_{12} v_2^2 w^2 - \bar{\lambda}_{13} v_3^2 w^2 + \bar{\lambda}_{23} v_2^2 v_3^2], \end{split}$$

Neutral sector (5×5) : $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{23} = \frac{2\lambda_1 v_2 v_3}{v v_1 w_1^2 s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_2}} [-w^2 s_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3} (v_2^2 s_{2\theta_2} c_{2\theta_3} + v_3^2 s_{2\theta_3} c_{2\theta_2}) + v_1^2 (v_2^2 - v_3^2) c_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3} s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_3}]$ $+\frac{v_1v_2v_3}{2}\left[-2\lambda_{22}v_2^2+2\lambda_{33}v_3^2+(\bar{\lambda}_{12}-\bar{\lambda}_{13})w^2+\bar{\lambda}_{23}(v_2^2-v_3^2)\right],$ $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{25} = \frac{2\lambda_1 v v_2 v_3}{v_1} s_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3},$ $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{33} = \frac{-4\lambda_1 v_2^2 v_3^2}{w^2} c_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3} + \frac{2v_2^2 v_3^2}{w^2} [\lambda_{22} + \lambda_{33} - \bar{\lambda}_{23}],$ $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{34} = \frac{-2\lambda_1 v v_2 v_3}{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3},$ $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{44} = \frac{-2\lambda_1 v^2 v_2^2 v_3^2}{v_1^2 w^2 s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_2}} s_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3}^2,$ $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{45} = \frac{-2\lambda_1 v v_2 v_3}{v_1 w^2 s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_2}} s_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3} [v_2^2 s_{2\theta_2} c_{2\theta_3} + v_3^2 s_{2\theta_3} c_{2\theta_2}],$ $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{55} = \frac{-2\lambda_1}{w^2 s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_3}} [2v_2^2 v_3^2 c_{2\theta_2 - 2\theta_3} s_{2\theta_2} s_{2\theta_3} + v_2^4 s_{2\theta_2}^2 + v_3^4 s_{2\theta_3}^2],$ $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{14} = (\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{15} = (\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{24} = (\mathcal{M}_{\text{neut}}^2)_{35} = 0.$