QCDF Amplitudes from SU(3) Symmetries

Gilberto Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi

Based on: T. Huber and GTX, 2111.06418
Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (2021) 7, 658

CPPS, Theoretische Physik 1,
Universität Siegen

Center for Particle Physics Siegen

UNIVERSITÄT SIEGEN

IJCLab
Irène Joliot-Curie
Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis

Non-leptonic B meson decays

We are interested in B meson decays into pairs of light pseudoscalar mesons



$$B \to PP$$

The light pseudoscalar mesons are bound states of light quarks $[u, d, s]$ (SU(3) symmetry)

$$B = (B^+, B_d^0, B_s^0)$$

$$q_i \otimes \overline{q}_j \rightarrow 3 \otimes \overline{3} = 8 \oplus 1$$

$$i, j \in [u, d, s]$$

P

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta_q}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta'_q}{\sqrt{2}} & \pi^- & K^- \\ \pi^+ & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta_q}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta'_q}{\sqrt{2}} & \bar{K}^0 \\ K^+ & K^0 & \eta_s + \eta'_s \end{pmatrix}$$

Non-leptonic B meson decays

We are interested in B meson decays into pairs of light pseudoscalar mesons



$$b \rightarrow u \bar{u} q \qquad q = d, s$$

Several possible decay channels

$B^- \to \pi^0 \pi^-$	$\overline{B}^0 \to K^0 \overline{K}^0$	$B^- \to \pi^0 K^-$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to \pi^+ \pi^-$
$B^- \to \pi^- \eta_8$	$\overline{B}^0 \to \eta_8 \eta_8$	$B^- \to \pi^- \overline{K}^0$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to \pi^0 \pi^0$
$B^- \to \pi^- \eta_1$	$\overline{B}^0 \to \eta_8 \eta_1$	$B^- \to K^- \eta_8$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to \pi^0 \eta_1$
$B^- \to K^0 K^-$	$\overline{B}^0 \to \eta_1 \eta_1$	$B^- \to K^- \eta_1$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to K^+ K^-$
$\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to \pi^0 K^0$	$\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^+ K^-$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to K^0 \overline{K}^0$
$\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to \pi^- K^+$	$\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^0 \overline{K}^0$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to \eta_8 \eta_8$
$\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^0 \eta_8$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to K^0 \eta_8$	$\overline{B}^0 \to \overline{K}^0 \eta_8$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to \eta_8 \eta_1$
$\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^0 \eta_1$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to K^0 \eta_1$	$\overline{B}^0 \to \overline{K}^0 \eta_1$	$\overline{B}^0_s \to \eta_1 \eta_1$
$\overline{B}^0 \to K^+ K^-$			

Topological decomposition

Consider the process $B \to PP$

where P is a charmless pseudoscalar meson

The physical amplitude can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{A}^{TDA} = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\mathcal{T}^{TDA} + \mathcal{P}^{TDA}\right]$$

$\lambda_p^{(q)} = V_{pb}V_{pq}^*$ $\lambda_u^{(q)}$ $\lambda_t^{(q)}$ $q = d, s$

$$\lambda_u^{(q)} + \lambda_c^{(q)} + \lambda_t^{(q)} = 0$$

Topological decomposition

Consider the process $B \to PP$

where P is a charmless pseudoscalar meson

The physical amplitude can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{A}^{TDA} = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\mathcal{T}^{TDA} + \mathcal{P}^{TDA}\right]$$

$$\lambda_p^{(q)} = V_{pb}V_{pq}^* \qquad \lambda_u^{(q)} \qquad \lambda_t^{(q)} \qquad q = d, s$$

$$\lambda_u^{(q)} + \lambda_c^{(q)} + \lambda_t^{(q)} = 0$$

Topological decomposition

$$\mathcal{T}^{TDA} = \underline{T}\ B_i(M)^i_j \bar{H}^{jl}_k (M)^k_l + \underline{C}\ B_i(M)^i_j \bar{H}^{lj}_k (M)^k_l + \underline{A}\ B_i \bar{H}^{il}_j (M)^j_k (M)^k_l$$
$$+\underline{E}\ B_i \bar{H}^{li}_j (M)^j_k (M)^k_l + \underline{T_{ES}} B_i \bar{H}^{ij}_l (M)^l_j (M)^k_k + \underline{T_{AS}} B_i \bar{H}^{ji}_l (M)^l_j (M)^k_k$$
$$+\underline{T_S} B_i (M)^i_j \bar{H}^{lj}_l (M)^k_k + \underline{T_{PA}} B_i \bar{H}^{li}_l (M)^j_k (M)^k_j + \underline{T_P} B_i (M)^i_j (M)^j_k \bar{H}^{lk}_l$$
$$+\underline{T_{SS}} B_i \bar{H}^{li}_l (M)^j_j (M)^k_k,$$

SU(3) Flavour $\quad [u, d, s]$

$$B = (B^+, B^0_d, B^0_s)$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta_q}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta'_q}{\sqrt{2}} & \pi^- & K^- \\ \pi^+ & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta_q}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta'_q}{\sqrt{2}} & \bar{K}^0 \\ K^+ & K^0 & \eta_s + \eta'_s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{H}^{12}_1 = \lambda_u^{(d)}, \quad \bar{H}^{13}_1 = \lambda_u^{(s)},$$

Topological decomposition

$$\mathcal{T}^{TDA} = \underline{T}\ B_i(M)^i_j \bar{H}^{jl}_k (M)^k_l + \underline{C}\ B_i(M)^i_j \bar{H}^{lj}_k (M)^k_l + \underline{A}\ B_i \bar{H}^{il}_j (M)^j_k (M)^k_l$$
$$+\underline{E}\ B_i \bar{H}^{li}_j (M)^j_k (M)^k_l + \underline{T_{ES}} B_i \bar{H}^{ij}_l (M)^l_j (M)^k_k + \underline{T_{AS}} B_i \bar{H}^{ji}_l (M)^l_j (M)^k_k$$
$$+\underline{T_S} B_i (M)^i_j \bar{H}^{lj}_l (M)^k_k + \underline{T_{PA}} B_i \bar{H}^{li}_l (M)^j_k (M)^k_j + \underline{T_P} B_i (M)^i_j (M)^j_k \bar{H}^{lk}_l$$
$$+\underline{T_{SS}} B_i \bar{H}^{li}_l (M)^j_j (M)^k_k,$$



SU(3)-Irreducible decomposition

$$\mathcal{T}^{IRA} = \underline{A_3^T} B_i(\bar{H}_{\bar{3}})^i (M)_k^j (M)_j^k + \underline{C_3^T} B_i (M)_j^i (M)_k^j (\bar{H}_{\bar{3}})^k + \underline{B_3^T} B_i (\bar{H}_3)^i (M)_k^k (M)_j^j$$
$$+\underline{D_3^T} B_i (M)_j^i (\bar{H}_{\bar{3}})^j (M)_k^k + \underline{A_6^T} B_i (H_6)_k^{ij} (M)_j^l (M)_l^k + \underline{C_6^T} B_i (M)_j^i (\bar{H}_6)_k^{jl} (M)_l^k$$
$$+\underline{B_6^T} B_i (\bar{H}_6)_k^{ij} (M)_j^k (M)_l^l + \underline{A_{15}^T} B_i (\bar{H}_{\overline{15}})_k^{ij} (M)_j^l (M)_l^k + \underline{C_{15}^T} B_i (M)_j^i (\bar{H}_{\overline{15}})_l^{jk} (M)_k^l$$
$$+\underline{B_{15}^T} B_i (\bar{H}_{\overline{15}})_k^{ij} (M)_j^k (M)_l^l.$$

SU(3) irreducible decomposition

$$\bar{H}_k^{ij} = \frac{1}{8}(H_{\overline{15}})_k^{ij} + \frac{1}{4}(H_6)_k^{ij} - \frac{1}{8}(H_{\overline{3}})^i \delta_k^j + \frac{3}{8}(H_{\overline{3}'})^j \delta_k^i$$

SU(3)-Irreducible decomposition

$$\mathcal{T}^{IRA} = A_3^T B_i(\bar{H}_{\bar{3}})^i (M)_k^j (M)_j^k + C_3^T B_i(M)_j^i (M)_k^j (\bar{H}_{\bar{3}})^k + B_3^T B_i(\bar{H}_3)^i (M)_k^k (M)_j^j$$
$$+ D_3^T B_i(M)_j^i (\bar{H}_{\bar{3}})^j (M)_k^k + A_6^T B_i(H_6)_k^{ij} (M)_j^l (M)_l^k + C_6^T B_i(M)_j^i (\bar{H}_6)_k^{jl} (M)_l^k$$
$$+ B_6^T B_i(\bar{H}_6)_k^{ij} (M)_j^k (M)_l^l + A_{15}^T B_i(\bar{H}_{\overline{15}})_k^{ij} (M)_j^l (M)_l^k + C_{15}^T B_i(M)_j^i (\bar{H}_{\overline{15}})_l^{jk} (M)_k^l$$
$$+ B_{15}^T B_i(\bar{H}_{\overline{15}})_k^{ij} (M)_j^k (M)_l^l.$$

Topological to SU(3)

X.-G. He and W. Wang: 1803.04227

$$A_3^T = -\frac{A}{8} + \frac{3E}{8} + T_{PA}, \qquad B_3^T = T_{SS} + \frac{3T_{AS} - T_{ES}}{8},$$

$$C_3^T = \frac{1}{8}(3A - C - E + 3T) + T_P, \qquad D_3^T = T_S + \frac{1}{8}(3C - T_{AS} + 3T_{ES} - T)$$

$$A_6^T = \frac{1}{4}(A - E), \qquad B_6^T = \frac{1}{4}(T_{ES} - T_{AS}),$$

$$C_6^T = \frac{1}{4}(-C + T), \qquad A_{15}^T = \frac{A + E}{8},$$

$$B_{15}^T = \frac{T_{ES} + T_{AS}}{8}, \qquad C_{15}^T = \frac{C + T}{8},$$

SU(3) amplitudes from data

The physical amplitudes can be expressed as linear combinations of the SU(3) sub-amplitudes

Channel	A_3^T	C_3^T	A_6^T	C_6^T	A_{15}^T	C_{15}^T	B_3^T	B_6^T	B_{15}^T	D_3^T
$B^- \to \pi^0\pi^-$	0	0	0	0	0	$4\sqrt{2}$	0	0	0	0
$B^- \to K^0K^-$	0	1	1	-1	3	-1	0	0	0	0
$B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	2	1	-1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0
$B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$	2	1	-1	1	1	-5	0	0	0	0
$B^0 \to K^+K^-$	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
$B^0 \to K^0\bar{K}^0$	2	1	1	-1	-3	-1	0	0	0	0
$B_s \to \pi^0K^0$	0	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	0	0	0
$B_s \to \pi^-K^+$	0	1	-1	1	-1	3	0	0	0	0
$B^- \to \pi^0K^-$	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{7}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	0	0	0
$B^- \to \pi^-K^0$	0	1	1	-1	3	-1	0	0	0	0
$B^0 \to \pi^+K^-$	0	1	-1	1	-1	3	0	0	0	0
$B^0 \to \pi^0K^0$	0	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	0	0	0
$B_s \to \pi^+\pi^-$	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
$B_s \to \pi^0\pi^0$	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
$B_s \to K^+K^-$	2	1	-1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0
$B_s \to K^0\bar{K}^0$	2	1	1	-1	-3	-1	0	0	0	0

SU(3) amplitudes from data

Extract the SU(3) amplitudes by fitting to data

$$\Gamma(\bar{B} \to M_1 M_2) = \frac{S}{16\pi M_B} |\mathcal{A}_{B\to M_1 M_2}|^2$$

S=1 if *$M_1 \neq M_2$* *S=1/2* *if* *$M_1 = M_2$*

Observables:

Branching fractions $$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \to \bar{f}) = \frac{1}{2}\tau_B \Big[\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{f}) + \Gamma(B \to f)\Big]$$

CP Asymmetries $$\mathcal{A}_{\rm CP}(\bar{B} \to \bar{f}) = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{f}) - \Gamma(B \to f)}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{f}) + \Gamma(B \to f)}$$

SU(3) amplitudes from data

Perform a χ^2 fit $\chi^2 = \sum_i \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_i^{\text{Theo}} - \mathcal{O}_i^{\text{Exp}}}{\sigma_i^{\text{Exp}}} \right)^2$

10 Tree complex amplitudes

$A_3^T,\ C_3^T,\ A_6^T,\ C_6^T,\ A_{15}^T,\ C_{15}^T,\ B_3^T,\ B_6^T,\ B_{15}^T,\ D_3^T$

and 10 Penguin complex amplitudes (replace T for P above)

The combinations $C_6^T - A_6^T$ and $B_6^T + A_6^T$ always appear together (analogously for penguins)

Redefine

$$C_6^T - A_6^T \to C_6^T \qquad C_6^P - A_6^P \to C_6^P$$

$$B_6^T + A_6^T \to B_6^T \qquad B_6^P + A_6^P \to B_6^P$$

Absorb a global phase by taking C_3^P as a real parameter

35 parameters + θ_{FKS} = 36 parameters to fit.

SU(3) amplitudes from data

Best fit point (modulus in GeV^3)

$\lvert A_3^T\rvert = 0.029,$	$\delta_{A_3^T} = -3.083,$	$\lvert C_3^T\rvert = 0.258,$	$\delta_{C_3^T} = -0.105,$
$\lvert C_6^T\rvert = 0.235,$	$\delta_{C_6^T} = -0.079,$	$\lvert A_{15}^T\rvert = 0.029,$	$\delta_{A_{15}^T} = -3.083,$
$\lvert C_{15}^T\rvert = 0.151,$	$\delta_{C_{15}^T} = 0.061,$	$\lvert B_3^T\rvert = 0.034,$	$\delta_{B_3^T} = 3.087$
$\lvert B_6^T\rvert = 0.033,$	$\delta_{B_6^T} = -0.286,$	$\lvert B_{15}^T\rvert = 0.008,$	$\delta_{B_{15}^T} = -1.892$
$\lvert D_3^T\rvert = 0.055,$	$\delta_{D_3^T} = 2.942,$		

$\lvert A_3^P\rvert = 0.014,$	$\delta_{A_3^P} = -1.328,$	$\lvert C_6^P\rvert = 0.145,$	$\delta_{C_6^P} = -2.881,$
$\lvert A_{15}^P\rvert = 0.003,$	$\delta_{A_{15}^P} = 2.234,$	$\lvert C_{15}^P\rvert = 0.003,$	$\delta_{C_{15}^P} = -0.608,$
$\lvert B_3^P\rvert = 0.043,$	$\delta_{B_3^P} = 2.367,$	$\lvert B_6^P\rvert = 0.099,$	$\delta_{B_6^P} = 0.353,$
$\lvert B_{15}^P\rvert = 0.031,$	$\delta_{B_{15}^P} = -0.690,$	$\lvert D_3^P\rvert = 0.030,$	$\delta_{D_3^P} = 0.477,$
$\lvert C_3^P\rvert = 0.008,$	$\theta_{FKS} = 0.628.$		

Annihilation amplitudes below 10%.

$$\chi^2/d.o.f. = 0.851$$

Fit-Results: Branching fractions

Channel	Branching ratio in units of 10^{-6}		Channel	Branching ratio in units of 10^{-6}	
	Experimental	Theoretical		Experimental	Theoretical
$B^- \to \pi^0\pi^-$	5.5 ± 0.4	$6.04^{+2.42}_{-2.51}$	$B^- \to \eta\pi^-$	4.02 ± 0.27	$3.80^{+1.25}_{-1.55}$
$B^- \to K^0K^-$	1.31 ± 0.17	$1.36^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$	$B^- \to \eta'\pi^-$	2.7 ± 0.9	$3.55^{+4.49}_{-1.67}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	5.12 ± 0.19	$6.31^{+0.61}_{-0.50}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta\pi^0$	0.41 ± 0.17	$0.41^{+8.90}_{-4.08}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$	1.59 ± 0.26	$1.01^{+1.30}_{-0.51}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta'\pi^0$	1.2 ± 0.6	$1.20^{+3.62}_{-1.19}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to K^+K^-$	0.078 ± 0.015	$0.13^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta K^0$	Not available	$0.13^{+0.11}_{-0.08}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to K^0\bar{K}^0$	1.21 ± 0.16	$1.13^{+0.83}_{-0.91}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta' K^0$	Not available	$6.65^{+1.48}_{-1.65}$
$\bar{B}_s \to \pi^-K^+$	5.8 ± 0.7	$7.75^{+0.63}_{-0.09}$	$B^- \to \eta K^-$	2.4 ± 0.4	$2.34^{+1.39}_{-1.67}$
$B^- \to \pi^0K^-$	12.9 ± 0.5	$12.78^{+1.75}_{-1.94}$	$B^- \to \eta' K^-$	70.4 ± 2.5	$70.82^{+11.16}_{-11.53}$
$B^- \to \pi^-\bar{K}^0$	23.7 ± 0.8	$23.85^{+2.23}_{-2.31}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta K^0$	1.23 ± 0.27	$1.38^{+1.15}_{-0.36}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^+K^-$	19.6 ± 0.5	$19.47^{+1.72}_{-2.24}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta' K^0$	6.6 ± 0.4	$6.65^{+1.48}_{-1.65}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^0\bar{K}^0$	9.9 ± 0.5	$10.17^{+2.00}_{-2.30}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta\pi^0$	$< 10^3$	$31.15^{+39.05}_{-31.14}$
$\bar{B}_s \to \pi^+\pi^-$	0.7 ± 0.1	$0.57^{+0.40}_{-0.42}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta'\pi^0$	Not available	$11.13^{+74.75}_{-11.12}$
$\bar{B}_s \to \pi^0\pi^0$	< 210	$0.28^{+0.20}_{-0.21}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta\eta$	< 1	$0.30^{+0.70}_{-0.30}$
$\bar{B}_s \to K^+K^-$	26.6 ± 2.2	$20.63^{+6.80}_{-8.09}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta\eta$	$< 1.5 \times 10^3$	$2.58^{+36.53}_{-2.57}$
$\bar{B}_s \to K^0\bar{K}^0$	20 ± 6	$24.64^{+18.84}_{-21.14}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta'\eta'$	< 1.7	$1.14^{+0.57}_{-1.07}$
$\bar{B}_s \to \pi^0K^0$	Not available	$0.71^{+1.47}_{-0.27}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta'\eta'$	33 ± 7	$33.00^{+24.52}_{-31.74}$
			$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta'\eta$	< 1.2	$0.61^{+0.59}_{-0.60}$
			$\bar{B}_s \to \eta'\eta$	Not available	$0.61^{+0.59}_{-0.60}$

Experimental results from PDG Live

Fit-Results: CP Asymmetries

Channel	CP asymmetries in percent		Channel	CP asymmetries in percent	
	Experimental	Theoretical		Experimental	Theoretical
$B^- \to \pi^0\pi^-$	3 ± 4	$5.45^{+22.02}_{-20.60}$	$B^- \to \eta\pi^-$	-14 ± 7	$-11.37^{+14.49}_{-26.90}$
$B^- \to K^0K^-$	4 ± 14	$18.82^{+36.93}_{-30.83}$	$B^- \to \eta'\pi^-$	6 ± 16	$4.71^{+59.79}_{-57.97}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$	32 ± 4	$35.01^{+3.19}_{-22.29}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta K^0$	< 0.1	$0.10^{+0.00}_{-100.07}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$	33 ± 22	$-10.58^{+40.69}_{-89.40}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta' K^0$	Not available	$-0.58^{+100.57}_{-79.58}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to K^0\bar{K}^0$	-60 ± 70	$-6.88^{+85.39}_{-81.37}$	$B^- \to \eta K^-$	-37 ± 8	$-42.23^{+42.23}_{-16.00}$
$\bar{B}_s \to \pi^-K^+$	22.1 ± 1.5	$20.84^{+2.39}_{-2.57}$	$B^- \to \eta' K^-$	0.4 ± 1.1	$0.63^{+3.98}_{-4.30}$
$B^- \to \pi^0K^-$	3.7 ± 2.1	$3.72^{+7.19}_{-4.35}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta K^0$	Not available	$-0.01^{+40.07}_{-0.02}$
$B^- \to \pi^-K^0$	-1.7 ± 1.6	$-1.08^{+1.76}_{-2.32}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta' K^0$	-6 ± 4	$0.03^{+4.82}_{-11.69}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^+K^-$	-8.3 ± 0.4	$-8.38^{+8.38}_{-1.01}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta\pi^0$	Not available	$-27.39^{+127.11}_{-72.58}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^0\bar{K}^0$	0 ± 13	$-0.97^{+19.35}_{-3.20}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta'\pi^0$	Not available	$-43.67^{+143.63}_{-56.33}$
$\bar{B}_s \to K^+K^-$	-14 ± 11	$-10.58^{+10.58}_{-3.60}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta\pi^0$	Not available	$0.88^{+94.98}_{-98.70}$
$\bar{B}_s \to \pi^+\pi^-$	Not available	$17.56^{+11.84}_{-38.25}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta'\pi^0$	Not available	$1.57^{+77.56}_{-95.66}$
$\bar{B}_s \to \pi^0\pi^0$	Not available	$17.56^{+11.84}_{-38.25}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta\eta$	Not available	$3.46^{+96.50}_{-103.45}$
$\bar{B}_s \to K^0\bar{K}^0$	Not available	$0.31^{+5.07}_{-4.59}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta\eta$	Not available	$14.29^{+76.81}_{-113.09}$
$\bar{B}^0 \to K^+K^-$	Not available	$-78.45^{+161.99}_{-20.78}$	$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta'\eta'$	Not available	$42.41^{+57.55}_{-142.41}$
$\bar{B}_s \to \pi^0K^0$	Not available	$13.74^{+29.49}_{-113.73}$	$\bar{B}_s \to \eta'\eta'$	Not available	$-2.05^{+15.29}_{-13.44}$
			$\bar{B}^0 \to \eta'\eta$	Not available	$-12.32^{+112.32}_{-87.67}$
			$\bar{B}_s \to \eta'\eta$	Not available	$3.43^{+96.36}_{-103.22}$

Experimental results from PDG Live

SU(3) Confidence Regions









QCF Factorization decomposition

The topological and SU(3) invariant descriptions are just parametrizations of the decay amplitudes

A first principle technique to perform these calculations is QCD-Factorization

Beneke et al: 9905312 *Beneke et al: 0308039*



Naive Factorization

$$\langle K\pi | Q | B \rangle \sim F_{B \to K} f_{\pi}$$

QCF Factorization decomposition

The topological and SU(3) invariant descriptions are just parametrizations of the decay amplitudes

A first principle technique to perform these calculations is QCD-Factorization

Beneke et al: 9905312 *Beneke et al: 0308039*



Naive Factorization

$$\langle K\pi | Q | B \rangle \sim F_{B\to K} f_\pi$$

QCF Factorization decomposition

Naive factorization special case of

$$\langle M_1 M_2|\hat{Q}_i|B\rangle = \sum_j F_j^{B\to M_1}(0)\int_0^1 du T_{ij}^I(u)\Phi_{M_2}(u) + (M_1 \leftrightarrow M_2)$$

$$+\int_0^1 d\xi du dv T_i^{II}(\xi,u,v)\Phi_B(\xi)\Phi_{M_1}(v)\Phi_{M_2}(u).$$



QCD-Factorization offers a systematic way to disentangle short from long distance physics considering $\Lambda_{QCD} \ll m_b$

QCF Factorization decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}^{\rm QCDF} = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{p=u,c} A_{M_1M_2}\Big\{BM_1\left(\alpha_1\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \alpha_4^p\hat{I} + \alpha_{4,EW}^p\hat{Q}\right)M_2\,\Lambda_p$$

$$+\,BM_1\Lambda_p\cdot{\rm Tr}\left[\left(\alpha_2\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \alpha_3^p\hat{I} + \alpha_{3,EW}^p\,\hat{Q}\right)M_2\right]$$

$$+\,B\left(\beta_2\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \beta_3^p\hat{I} + \beta_{3,EW}^p\,\hat{Q}\right)M_1M_2\Lambda_p$$

$$+\,B\Lambda_p\cdot{\rm Tr}\left[\left(\beta_1\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \beta_4^p\hat{I} + b_{4,EW}^p\,\hat{Q}\right)M_1M_2\right]$$

$$+\,B\left(\beta_{S2}\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \beta_{S3}^p\hat{I} + \beta_{S3,EW}^p\,\hat{Q}\right)M_1\Lambda_p\cdot{\rm Tr}M_2$$

$$+\,B\Lambda_p\cdot{\rm Tr}\left[\left(\beta_{S1}\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \beta_{S4}^p\hat{I} + b_{S4,EW}^p\hat{Q}\right)M_1\right]\cdot{\rm Tr}M_2\Big\}$$

$$\Lambda_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda_p^{(d)} \\ \lambda_p^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \hat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{Q} = \frac{3}{2}Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \hat{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{M_1M_2} = M_B^2\,F_0^{B\to M_1}(0)\,f_{M_2}$$

Beneke et al: 0308039

QCF Factorization decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{\rm QCDF} = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{p=u,c} A_{M_1M_2}\Big\{ & BM_1\left(\alpha_1\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \alpha_4^p\hat{I} + \alpha^p_{4,EW}\hat{Q}\right)M_2\Lambda_p \\
& + BM_1\Lambda_p\cdot{\rm Tr}\left[\left(\alpha_2\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \alpha_3^p\hat{I} + \alpha^p_{3,EW}\hat{Q}\right)M_2\right] \\
& + B\left(\beta_2\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \beta_3^p\hat{I} + \beta^p_{3,EW}\hat{Q}\right)M_1M_2\Lambda_p \\
& + B\Lambda_p\cdot{\rm Tr}\left[\left(\beta_1\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \beta_4^p\hat{I} + b^p_{4,EW}\hat{Q}\right)M_1M_2\right] \\
& + B\left(\beta_{S2}\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \beta^p_{S3}\hat{I} + \beta^p_{S3,EW}\hat{Q}\right)M_1\Lambda_p\cdot{\rm Tr}M_2 \\
& + B\Lambda_p\cdot{\rm Tr}\left[\left(\beta_{S1}\delta_{pu}\hat{U} + \beta^p_{S4}\hat{I} + b^p_{S4,EW}\hat{Q}\right)M_1\right]\cdot{\rm Tr}M_2\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Weak annihilation contributions are non-factorizable

QCF Factorization decomposition



$\longrightarrow$ β

Weak annihilation contributions are non-factorizable ⇨ One of the main drawbacks of QCDF

These contributions are power suppressed Λ_{QCD}/m_b

To address this problem educated Ansatz are made

$$X_A = \left(1 + \rho_A e^{i\phi_A}\right) \ln \frac{m_B}{\Lambda_h}$$

$$0 < \rho_A < 1$$

$$\Lambda_h \approx \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{QCD})$$

WHAT CAN WE LEARN ABOUT THE ANNIHILATION CONTRIBUTIONS FROM DATA?

CAN WE PROFIT FROM THE SU(3) INVARIANT FITS?

TO ACHIEVE THIS FIRST ESTABLISH A DICTIONARY BETWEEN SU(3) AND THE QCDF DECOMPOSITION OF THE PHYSICAL AMPLITUDES

QCF Factorization-Topological Equivalence

Equivalence between the QCF and the topological amplitudes

Decompose the matrix Q in terms of U and I $\hat{Q} = \frac{3}{2}\hat{U} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{I}$

Use the $\lambda_u^{(q)}$ and $\lambda_t^{(q)}$ factors $\Lambda_t = -\Lambda_u - \Lambda_c$

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{QCDF}} = i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}A_{M_1M_2}\Big\{B_iM_j^i(\tilde{\hat{C}}_1)_k^{jl}M_l^k + B_iM_j^i(\tilde{\hat{C}}_2)_k^{lj}M_l^k + B_i(\tilde{\hat{C}}_3)_k^{ij}M_l^kM_j^l$$
$$+B_i(\tilde{\hat{C}}_4)_k^{li}M_r^kM_l^r + B_i(\tilde{\hat{C}}_5)_k^{ij}M_i^kM_l^l + B_i(\tilde{\hat{C}}_6)_k^{ji})M_j^kM_l^l\Big\}$$

$$\tilde{\hat{C}}_r = \Big[\tilde{T} + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{P}_2^u - \frac{3}{2}\tilde{P}_2^c\Big]\hat{U}\otimes\Lambda_u + \Big[\tilde{P}_1^u - \tilde{P}_1^c - \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\tilde{P}_2^u - \tilde{P}_2^c\Big\}\Big]\hat{I}\otimes\Lambda_u$$
$$-\frac{3}{2}\tilde{P}_2^c\hat{U}\otimes\Lambda_t - \Big[\tilde{P}_1^c - \frac{\tilde{P}_2^c}{2}\Big]\hat{I}\otimes\Lambda_t,$$

$$(\tilde{\hat{C}}_r)_k^{ij} = \Big[\tilde{T} + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{P}_2^u - \frac{3}{2}\tilde{P}_2^c\Big]\hat{U}_k^i(\Lambda_u)^j + \Big[\tilde{P}_1^u - \tilde{P}_1^c - \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\tilde{P}_2^u - \tilde{P}_2^c\Big\}\Big]\delta_k^i(\Lambda_u)^j$$
$$-\frac{3}{2}\tilde{P}_2^c\hat{U}_k^i(\Lambda_t)^j - \Big[\tilde{P}_1^c - \frac{\tilde{P}_2^c}{2}\Big]\delta_k^i(\Lambda_t)^j$$

The connection between the topological decomposition and the QCD-factorization is established through

$$U_k^i(\Lambda_u)^j = \bar{H}_k^{ij}, \qquad U_k^i(\Lambda_t)^j = \tilde{H}_k^{ij}, \qquad (\Lambda_t)^i = \tilde{H}^i.$$

QCF Factorization-Topological Equivalence

We consider the following results

$$\alpha_3^u = \alpha_3^c = \alpha_3, \quad \alpha_{3,EW}^u = \alpha_{3,EW}^c = \alpha_{3,EW}, \quad \beta_i^u = \beta_i^c = \beta_i, \quad b_i^u = b_i^c = b_i$$

$$|\alpha_{4,EW}^c - \alpha_{4,EW}^u| < 10^{-3} \qquad |\alpha_4^c - \alpha_4^u| \sim 2\%$$

NLO

NNLO

Bell, Beneke, Huber, Li:2002.03262

QCDF to topological transformation rules

$$T = A_{M_1M_2}\alpha_1, \quad C = A_{M_1M_2}\alpha_2, \quad E = A_{M_1M_2}\beta_1,$$

$$A = A_{M_1M_2}\beta_2, \quad T_{AS} = A_{M_1M_2}\beta_{S1}, \quad T_{ES} = A_{M_1M_2}\beta_{S2},$$

$$S = -A_{M_1M_2}\left[\alpha_3 + \beta_{S3} - \frac{\alpha_{3,EW}}{2} - \frac{\beta_{S3,EW}}{2}\right],$$

$$P = -A_{M_1M_2}\left[\alpha_4^c + \beta_3 - \frac{\alpha_{4,EW}^c}{2} - \frac{\beta_{3,EW}}{2}\right],$$

$$A_{M_1M_2} = (1.25 \pm 0.17)\ \mathrm{GeV}^3$$

Further details on the χ^2-fit

Best QCDF fit point (modulus in GeV^3)

$$A_{M_1M_2}\alpha_1 = 1.072 + 5.596\times 10^{-5}i, \qquad A_{M_1M_2}\alpha_2 = 0.136 + 0.073i,$$

$$A_{M_1M_2}\beta_1 = -0.117 - 0.007i, \qquad A_{M_1M_2}\beta_2 = A_{M_1M_2}\beta_1,$$

$$A_{M_1M_2}\beta_{S1} = -0.074 - 0.0112i, \qquad A_{M_1M_2}\beta_{S2} = 0.054 - 0.049i,$$

$$A_{M_1M_2}\alpha_{3,EW} = -0.193 - 0.045i, \qquad A_{M_1M_2}\alpha^c_{4,EW} = 0.181 + 0.053i,$$

$$A_{M_1M_2}\beta_{3,EW} = 0.005 - 0.006i, \qquad A_{M_1M_2}b_{4,EW} = A_{M_1M_2}\beta_{3,EW},$$

$$A_{M_1M_2}\beta_{S3,EW} = -0.188 + 0.007i, \qquad A_{M_1M_2}b_{S4,EW} = 0.061 + 0.098i,$$

$$A_{M_1M_2}\beta_4 = -0.003 + 0.013i, \qquad A_{M_1M_2}\beta_{S4} = 0.031 - 0.030i,$$

$$A_{M_1M_2}\left(\alpha_3 + \beta_{S3}\right) = 0.230 + 0.067i, \qquad A_{M_1M_2}\left(\alpha_4 + \beta_3\right) = -0.242 - 0.062i$$

Obtained by mapping the SU(3)-fit results into the QCDF amplitudes.

QCF Factorization confidence regions









Summary and Outlook

- We have established a set of transformation rules between the QCD factorization and the topological representation of physical amplitudes.

- By fitting to data we have determined bounds for different QCDF amplitudes.

- The real and imaginary components of the weak annihilation amplitudes as allowed by data can be between 4% and 30%.

- SU(3) symmetry asummed so far.

- Introduce SU(3) breaking by fitting to data the weak annihilation amplitudes combining NLO and NNLO results for independent channels

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 945422

SU(3) amplitudes from data

Fit for the modulus and and phases of the relevant parameters.

Use random sampling to obtain the best fit point with 10^9 points:

- Calculate the χ^2 function for 10^6 points assuming a flat probability distribution.
- Select the best 5 points leading to the minimum χ^2.
- Use these partial minimums as starting points for the Sequential Least Square Programming algorithm, SLSQP.
- Repeat 10^3 times to get the overall minimum.

To obtain the 65 % C.L regions apply a likelihood ratio test using Wilk's theorem.

Topological decomposition

$$\mathcal{T}^{TDA} = \underline{T}\ B_i(M)^i_j \bar{H}^{jl}_k (M)^k_l + \underline{C}\ B_i(M)^i_j \bar{H}^{lj}_k (M)^k_l + \underline{A}\ B_i \bar{H}^{il}_j (M)^j_k (M)^k_l$$
$$+\underline{E}\ B_i \bar{H}^{li}_j (M)^j_k (M)^k_l + \underline{T_{ES}} B_i \bar{H}^{ij}_l (M)^l_j (M)^k_k + \underline{T_{AS}} B_i \bar{H}^{ji}_l (M)^l_j (M)^k_k$$
$$+\underline{T_S} B_i (M)^i_j \bar{H}^{lj}_l (M)^k_k + \underline{T_{PA}} B_i \bar{H}^{li}_l (M)^j_k (M)^k_j + \underline{T_P} B_i (M)^i_j (M)^j_k \bar{H}^{lk}_l$$
$$+\underline{T_{SS}} B_i \bar{H}^{li}_l (M)^j_j (M)^k_k,$$

T :Color allowed tree.

C : Color-suppressed tree.

E : W-exchange diagram.

P : QCD-penguin.

S : QCD-singlet penguin.

A : Annihilation.

Further details on the χ^2-fit

Constraints from QCDF

Taking into account $\alpha_1(\pi\pi) = 1.000^{+0.029}_{-0.069} + (0.011^{+0.023}_{-0.050})i.$

Beneke Huber et al: 0911.3655

We impose $\Re(\alpha_1) = 1.000^{+0.138}_{-0.138}$

In addition we require

$$T_{PA} = T_{SS} = T_S = 0, \quad |T_P| < 10\%$$

Phenomenological constraints

$$Br(B_s \to \pi^0\pi^0) < 2.10 \times 10^{-4}, \qquad Br(B_s \to \eta\pi^0) < 10^{-3},$$

$$Br(B^0 \to \eta\eta) < 10^{-6}, \qquad Br(B^0 \to \eta'\eta') < 1.7 \times 10^{-6},$$

$$Br(B^0 \to \eta'\eta) < 1.2 \times 10^{-6}, \qquad A_{CP}(B_s \to \eta K^0) < 10^{-3}.$$

PDG Live

SU(3) amplitudes from data

Include η contributions in the Feldmann–Kroll–Stech scheme

θ_{FKS} mixing angle *T. Feldmann et al: 9802409*

Channel	A_3^T	C_{3T}^T	A_6^T	C_6^T	A_{15}^T	C_{15}^T	B_3^T	B_6^T	B_{15}^T	D_3^T
$B^- \to \eta_q \pi^-$	0	$\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$	0	$3\sqrt{2}$	$2\sqrt{2}$	0	$\sqrt{2}$	$3\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$
$B^- \to \eta_s \pi^-$	0	0	0	1	0	-1	0	1	3	1
$B^0 \to \eta_q \pi^0$	0	-1	-1	0	5	2	0	-1	5	-1
$B^0 \to \eta_s \pi^0$	0	0	0	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
$B_s \to \eta_q K^0$	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	$-\sqrt{2}$	$-\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$
$B_s \to \eta_s K^0$	0	1	-1	0	-1	-2	0	-1	-1	1
$B^- \to \eta_q K^-$	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	$\sqrt{2}$	$3\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$
$B^- \to \eta_s K^-$	0	1	1	0	3	-2	0	1	3	1
$B^0 \to \eta_q K^0$	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	$-\sqrt{2}$	$-\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$
$B^0 \to \eta_s K^0$	0	1	-1	0	-1	-2	0	-1	-1	1
$B_s \to \eta_q \pi^0$	0	0	-2	0	4	0	0	-2	4	0
$B_s \to \eta_s \pi^0$	0	0	0	$-\sqrt{2}$	0	$2\sqrt{2}$	0	$-\sqrt{2}$	$2\sqrt{2}$	0
$B^0 \to \eta_q \eta_q$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	-1	1	1
$B^0 \to \eta_q \eta_s$	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$2\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
$B^0 \to \eta_s \eta_s$	1	0	1	0	-1	0	1	1	-1	0
$B_s \to \eta_q \eta_q$	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	0
$B_s \to \eta_q \eta_s$	0	0	0	0	0	$\sqrt{2}$	$2\sqrt{2}$	0	$-\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$
$B_s \to \eta_s \eta_s$	1	1	0	0	-2	-2	1	0	-2	1