

MU Days 2023, Karlsruhe, Sept. 14th

Using simulations of colliding neutron stars to investigate the origin of the heaviest elements





# Oliver Just



### Relativistic Astrophysics Group, GSI

with: A. Bauswein, C. Collins, A. Flörs, S. Goriely, J. Guilet, H.-Th. Janka, G. Leck, G. Martinez-Pinedo, L. Shingles, S. Sim, A. Sneppen, T. Soultanis, V. Vijayan, D. Watson, Z. Xiong, ...



# **Chemical enrichment of the Universe**





Modern

galaxies

Time



abundance plots from Schatz 2008

# The rapid neutron-capture (or r-) process



Where does the r-process take place??? (one of the longest-standing questions of nuclear astrophysics)



# Main candidates for r-process sites



#### core-collapse supernova (CCSN)

- favored candidate for many decades
- core of massive star (*M* > 8 *M*<sub>sun</sub>) runs out of nuclear burning "fuel" —> implosion
- once core reaches nuclear densities
   —> implosion abruptly stops, bounce, explosion shock
- newly formed neutron star launches outflows ("neutrino-driven winds")
- PROBLEM: modern simulations predict proton-rich (not neutron-rich!) conditions

#### NS-NS (or NS-BH) merger



- massive stars born in binaries produce NS binaries
- ~0(10) NS binaries observed in our Galaxy (e.g. Hulse-Taylor pulsar)
- emission of gravitational waves —> decay of orbit —> coalescence
- ejection of few 0.01 *M*<sub>sun</sub>
- ejecta neutron-rich enough to enable r-process?

#### Kilonova: smoking gun for the r-process ("Kilo" because 1000 times brighter than a nova)

- radioactive decay of freshly synthesized material produces energy (= heat)
- heating rate typically declines as t -1.3





- radioactive heating creates photons —> random walk diffusion through expanding ejecta while density decreases
- photon opacity sensitive to detailed composition (very high for lanthanides)
- > allows in-situ observations of the r-process

# GW170817 - the first direct observation of a NS merger

(on August 17th, 2017)



- dawn of new era of **multi-messenger** astronomy:
  - gamma-ray burst  $\sim$ 1.7 sec after GW signal
  - Kilonova ~1-10 days later
  - radio, optical, X-ray afterglow ~100-1000 days later

- light curve shows remarkable agreement with predicted t<sup>-1.3</sup> behavior
- strongly suggests that source of energy is radioactive decay of r-process elements

• confirmed long-standing idea that NS mergers are sites of heavy element nucleosynthesis

# GW170817 - the first direct observation of a NS merger

(on August 17th, 2017)



#### Many open questions:

- Conditions in the outflow?
- How to infer composition and geometrical shape of outflows from kilonova signal?
- How to use kilonovae to measure cosmological distances?
- How to infer properties of high density matter?
- What are the detailed nuclear reactions? —> FAIR

### Call for reliable theoretical modeling of the merger process in order to fully exploit future observations and experimental capabilities!

### **NS mergers: Overview**



## **Physics ingredients**



#### Neutrino transport

• crucial for predicting the weak reactions and composition (*Y<sub>e</sub>*)

 $Y_e = \frac{1}{N_{\text{proton}} + N_{\text{neutron}}}$ 

impact of neutrino oscillations at high densities

#### Magnetic fields and turbulence

- transport angular momentum
- trigger matter ejection

#### General/special relativistic effects

- velocities close to speed of light
- strong space-time curvature around a NS or BH

#### **Nuclear physics**

- govern the dynamics of the merger and its outflow
- determine the nucleosynthesis yields

#### Atomic physics and photon transfer

- atomic line lists and opacities affect kilonova signal
  non-LTE effects
- self-consistent modeling requires expensive, large-scale numerical simulations
- most current simulations focus only on one, not all, merger phases...















# **Final ejecta configuration**





- $\rightarrow$  different  $Y_e$  and yields for each ejecta component
- relative contribution of each component only accessible through end-to-end modeling
- detailed distributions vary with initial NS masses and nuclear MOS





# A step towards accurate kilonova radiative transfer modeling

(Shingles et al. '23, ApJ Letters, accepted)



- 3D Monte-Carlo radiative transfer including millions of atomic lines + sophisticated thermalization treatment using ARTIS code (previously used for thermonucl. SNe)
- most detailed kilonova calculation performed so far
- only single ejecta component (i.e. not end-toend models) —> total luminosity lower than AT2017gfo
- spectra look remarkably similar to AT2017gfo

# Inferring the ejecta geometry from observed kilonovae

(Sneppen et al., Nature 614, 7948, 2023)



- spectral dip (due to absorption of strontium) used to constrain the geometry of the ejecta
- suggests (surprisingly) high degree of sphericity of ejecta (e.g. useful for constraining Hubble constant)
- difficult to explain with numerical simulations



# Impact of neutrino fast flavor conversions



# **Summary and outlook**

#### Kilonovae allow to address many fundamental physics questions

- Are NS mergers the (main) origin of r-process elements?
- How does the r-process operate and depend on nuclear physics properties?
- What are the properties of high-density matter?
- How to constrain the cosmic expansion rate?

► ...

#### Interpretation of kilonova observations requires reliable modeling

- all ejecta components produced during and after merger can be important
- first models with kilonova radiative transfer including self-consistent nucleosynthesis and atomic data
- Intriguing possibility to constrain ejecta geometry from spectral features
- nucleosynthesis yields and kilonova may be sensitive to neutrino flavor conversions

#### The future (kilonova) is bright...

- **plenty** more observations expected with upgraded and new GW detectors and EM telescopes
- develop robust theoretical understanding in order to maximize scientific output of observations and and nuclear physics facilities (e.g. FAIR)