

א∃אוא

MU Days 2023, Karlsruhe, Sept. 14th

Using simulations of colliding neutron stars to investigate the origin of the heaviest elements

Oliver Just Relativistic Astrophysics Group, GSI

with: A. Bauswein, C. Collins, A. Flörs, S. Goriely, J. Guilet, H.-Th. Janka, G. Leck, G. Martinez-Pinedo, L. Shingles, S. Sim, A. Sneppen, T. Soultanis, V. Vijayan, D. Watson, Z. Xiong, ...

Chemical enrichment of the Universe

Modern galaxies

- 0 50 $0 - 1 - 1 = 1 - 1$ enrichment history **c different** age provide tracers of chemical **Gold** $\frac{1}{2}$ 10^{–6} er
Si
Ide
- $\begin{bmatrix} 9 & 10 & 10 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$ was found abundance allows for roughly f $\frac{d}{d}$ 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻¹⁰ \rightarrow **but:** poor knowledge of elements produced by $\begin{bmatrix} E & 10^{-8} \\ S & 10^{-8} \end{bmatrix}$
- 10^{-14} • elements heavier than Iron **must** be produced by \mathcal{L}_{max}

Figure 1. Chemical evolution in the Milky Way, from the Big Bang to the process for the sentence allows for rough B abundance plots from Schatz 2008

The rapid neutron-capture (or r-) process

Where does the r-process take place??? (one of the longest-standing questions of nuclear astrophysics)

Main candidates for r-process sites

core-collapse supernova (CCSN)

- \rightarrow favored candidate for many decades
- \rightarrow core of massive star ($M > 8$ M_{sun}) runs out of nuclear burning "fuel" \longrightarrow implosion
- \rightarrow once core reaches nuclear densities —> implosion abruptly stops, bounce, explosion shock
- ▸ newly formed neutron star launches outflows ("neutrino-driven winds")
- ▸ **PROBLEM:** modern simulations predict proton-rich (not neutron-rich!) conditions

NS-NS (or *NS-BH*) merger

- \rightarrow massive stars born in binaries produce NS binaries
- \rightarrow \sim O(10) NS binaries observed in our Galaxy (e.g. Hulse-Taylor pulsar)
- \rightarrow emission of gravitational waves \rightarrow decay of orbit \rightarrow coalescence
- \rightarrow ejection of few 0.01 M_{sun}
- \rightarrow ejecta neutron-rich enough to enable r-process?

Kilonova: smoking gun for the r-process ("Kilo" because 1000 times brighter than a nova)

- \cdot radioactive decay of freshly synthesized material produces energy $(= heat)$
- \rightarrow heating rate typically declines as $t^{-1.3}$

- radioactive heating creates $photons \rightarrow random$ walk diffusion through expanding ejecta while density decreases
- photon opacity sensitive to detailed composition (very high for lanthanides)
- **i** allows in-situ observations of the r-process

GW170817 - the first direct observation of a NS merger

(on August 17th, 2017)

- \blacktriangleright dawn of new era of **multi-messenger** astronomy: an IR component discussed in Chornock et al. (2017). The UV data from *HST* (S/N *<* 1, essentially an upper limit) and *Swift* clarity. The spectra at times . 4*.*5 d exhibit a clear optical peak that rapidly moves red. After this time, the flux is dominated by
	- gamma-ray burst \sim 1.7 sec after GW signal
	- Kilonova \sim 1-10 days later
	- $\,$ radio, optical, X-ray afterglow ${\sim}100$ -1000 days later $\,$ radioactive dec 579900 days facer and 59800 substitutions between 2500
- \longrightarrow light curve shows remarkable agreement with predicted *t*^{-1.3} behavior due to the definition of the optical data are consistent with the blue tail of a △ 3000 K blue tail of a △ 3000 K blackbody peaking in a △ 3000 K blackbody peaking in a △ 300 K blackbody peaking in a △ 300 K blackbody peak an IR component discussed in Chornock et al. (2017). The UV data from *HST* (S/N *<* 1, essentially an upper limit) and *Swift* show blanketing at show fits. Insetting fits. The early spectra are more sharply peaked than blackbody emission, $\frac{1}{2}$ r at later turned shows remarkable
	- **Example 1** Strongly suggests that source of energy is 0-1000 days later adioactive decay of r-process elements \blacksquare subdigives rangers MJD Phase*^a* Telescope Instrument Camera Grism or Exposure Average Wavelength Resolution v suggests tildt soul 57985.0 2.5 SOAR GHTS Blue 400-M1 3⇥900 1.6 4000–8000 6 5780.1 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000

→ confirmed long-standing idea that NS mergers are sites of heavy element nucleosynthesis we additionally called polynomial. Wavelength called $\frac{1}{2}$ 57992.0 9.5 Magellan Baade IMACS f2 G300-17.5 2⇥1350 2.1 4300–9300 6 *^a* Phase in rest-frame days relative to GW signal. m_{ν} gord and ditionally calibration called σ

GW170817 - the first direct observation of a NS merger

(on August 17th, 2017)

Many open questions:

 \bullet …

- Conditions in the outflow? an IR component discussed in Chornock et al. (2017). The UV data from *HST* (S/N *<* 1, essentially an upper limit) and *Swift* show blanketing at short wavelengths. Inset: blackbody fits. The early spectra are more sharply peaked than blackbody emission, η
- How to infer composition and geometrical shape of outflows from kilonova signal? due to the deficit of blue flux. At later times, the optical data are consistent with the blue tail of a α
- How to use kilonovae to measure cosmological distances? Table 1. Log of optical and UV spectral and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ MJD Phase*^a* Telescope Instrument Camera Grism or Exposure Average Wavelength Resolution
- How to infer properties of high density matter? \mathbf{m} gn density matter \mathbf{r} $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ $57.98_{1.5}$ \mathbf{y} matter \mathbf{y}
- What are the detailed nuclear reactions? > FAIR 57986.0 3

Call for reliable theoretical modeling of the merger process in order to fully exploit future observations and experimental capabilities! meer calcul moaching of the merger p utura abcarwation alui C odsci vulioi through Director's Discretionary Time with the *Hubble Space <u>nd ovnorimonte</u>* (STIGS) with the NUV control control of the NUV grating, covering $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, and the imaging was also imaging was also imaging was 57992.0 9.5 Magellan Baade IMACS f2 G300-17.5 2⇥1350 2.1 4300–9300 6 *^a* Phase in rest-frame days relative to GW signal. We alouelling of the fiter get process was performed by comparison lamp spectra, while flux calicomiations and o oci vuliuiis uitu cz through Director's Discretionary Time with the *Hubble Space Telescope* using the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph 'GTIHICHUAI CUPUD grating, covering $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

NS mergers: Overview

Physics ingredients

Neutrino transport

 \rightarrow crucial for predicting the weak reactions and composition (Y_e)

 $Y_e = \frac{N_{\text{proton}}}{N}$

*N*proton + *N*neutron

 \rightarrow impact of neutrino oscillations at high densities

Magnetic fields and turbulence

- \cdot transport angular momentum
- \rightarrow trigger matter ejection

General/special relativistic effects

- \rightarrow velocities close to speed of light
- ‣ strong space-time curvature around a NS or BH

Nuclear physics

- \rightarrow govern the dynamics of the merger and its outflow
- \rightarrow determine the nucleosynthesis yields

Atomic physics and photon transfer

 \cdot atomic line lists and opacities affect kilonova signal

‣ non-LTE effects

- self-consistent modeling requires expensive, large-scale numerical **simulations**
- most current simulations focus only on one, *not all, merger phases…*

Final ejecta configuration r, electron fraction

- different *Y_e* and yields for each ejecta component
- \rightarrow relative contribution of each component only accessible through end-to-end modeling
- detailed distributions vary with initial NS masses and nuclear $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{S}$

A step towards accurate kilonova radiative transfer modeling

(Shingles et al. '23, ApJ Letters, accepted)

- 3D Monte-Carlo radiative transfer including millions of atomic lines + sophisticated thermalization treatment using ARTIS code (previously used for thermonucl. SNe)
- most detailed kilonova calculation performed so far
- only single ejecta component (i.e. not end-toend models) \longrightarrow total luminosity lower than AT2017gfo
- spectra look **remarkably similar** to AT2017gfo

Inferring the ejecta geometry from observed kilonovae

(Sneppen et al., Nature 614, 7948, 2023)

- spectral dip (due to absorption of strontium) used to constrain the geometry of the ejecta
- suggests (surprisingly) high degree of sphericity of ejecta (e.g. useful for constraining Hubble constant)
- difficult to explain with numerical simulations

Impact of neutrino fast flavor conversions

Summary and outlook

Kilonovae allow to address many fundamental physics questions

- \rightarrow Are NS mergers the (main) origin of r-process elements?
- ▶ How does the r-process operate and depend on nuclear physics properties?
- \cdot What are the properties of high-density matter?
- \rightarrow How to constrain the cosmic expansion rate?

 \triangleright …

Interpretation of kilonova observations requires reliable modeling

- \rightarrow all ejecta components produced during and after merger can be important
- **Indem Starft indem Starft including self-consistent nucleosynthesis and First models with kilonova radiative transfer including self-consistent nucleosynthesis and** atomic data
- \rightarrow intriguing possibility to constrain ejecta geometry from spectral features
- \rightarrow nucleosynthesis yields and kilonova may be sensitive to neutrino flavor conversions

The future (kilonova) is bright...

- **plenty** more observations expected with upgraded and new GW detectors and EM telescopes
- \cdot develop robust theoretical understanding in order to maximize scientific output of observations and and nuclear physics facilities (e.g. FAIR)