#### Dark matter and light mediators

Felix Kahlhoefer Invisibles18 Workshop Karlsruhe Institute of Technology 3-7 September 2018







#### Outline

- Motivation for light mediators: Self-interacting WIMPs
- Light mediators in direct detection: Long-range interactions
- Light mediators in indirect detection: Sommerfeld enhancement
- Light mediators in cosmology: Late decays and annihilations
- Constraints on long-lived light mediators







Heavy mediator (m<sub>med</sub> >> m<sub>DM</sub>)









Heavy mediator (m<sub>med</sub> >> m<sub>DM</sub>)



• Light mediator (m<sub>med</sub> << m<sub>DM</sub>)





4





Heavy mediator (m<sub>med</sub> >> m<sub>DM</sub>)



• Light mediator (m<sub>med</sub> << m<sub>DM</sub>)











Heavy mediator (m<sub>med</sub> >> m<sub>DM</sub>)



• Light mediator (m<sub>med</sub> << m<sub>DM</sub>)









Heavy mediator (m<sub>med</sub> >> m<sub>DM</sub>)



Light mediator (m<sub>med</sub> << m<sub>DM</sub>)









## Secluded dark matter: Dark sector freeze-out

• For concreteness consider a fermionic DM particle coupled to a light vector mediator:

 $\mathcal{L} \supset g_{\chi} \, \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \chi V_{\mu}$ 

- Total annihilation cross section set by s-wave annihilations into pairs of mediators
- DM relic abundance depends only on DM-mediator coupling g<sub>x</sub>
  → Dark sector freeze-out
- Always possible to fix coupling  $g_x$  such that observed relic abundance is reproduced
- To avoid overclosing the Universe, the mediator should ultimately decay
  → Important cosmological constraints









# Self-interactions from a light mediator

- Non-perturbative effects due to multiple mediator exchange enhance DM self-interactions
- Can be calculated by solving non-relativistic Schroedinger equation for Yukawa potential:



$$V(r) = \frac{\alpha \, e^{-r \, m_{\rm med}}}{r}$$

- For  $\alpha_S m_\psi \gtrsim m_\phi$  resonances appear and modify results of tree-level calculation.
- Bonus: self-interactions depend on the relative velocity of the DM particles









## Velocity-dependent self-interactions





- DM self-interactions lead to energy transfer between DM particles
- Creation of an isothermal core
- Resolution of cusp-core problem
- Need velocity dependence to explain observations at different scales



10





## Benchmarks for self-interacting dark matter

• Combining relic density constraints and bounds on DM self-interactions, we can identify the preferred parameter regions in the  $m_{DM} - m_{med}$  parameter plane





Dark matter and light mediators Felix Kahlhoefer | 6 September 2018





## Direct detection with light mediators

• Differential event rate:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R_T}{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{R}}} = \frac{\rho_0}{m_{\mathrm{DM}}} \,\eta(v_{\mathrm{min}}(E_{\mathrm{R}})) \,\frac{g^2 \,F_T^2(E_{\mathrm{R}})}{2\pi \left(2 \,m_T \,E_{\mathrm{R}} + m_{\mathrm{med}}^2\right)^2}$$

- Observation 1: For m<sub>med</sub> > (2 m<sub>T</sub> E<sub>R</sub>)<sup>1/2</sup> direct detection event rates grow rapidly with decreasing mediator mass → sensitivity to very small couplings
- **Observation 2:** For  $m_{med} > (2 m_T E_R)^{1/2}$  the shape of the differential event rate depends on  $m_{med}$  in non-trivial way
- With sufficient statistics and resolution, may be possible to *measure* mediator masses in the MeV range
- Exactly the mass range interesting for self-interacting WIMPs!









#### Low-threshold experiments





13





#### Parameter reconstruction

- Choose a benchmark point (compatible with current limits)
- Generate mock data for CRESST-III and SuperCDMS
- Determine parameter regions that give a good fit to the data
- Even with several nuisance parameters, an accurate reconstruction of the DM and mediator masses is possible given sufficient statistics.
- Crucially, the combination of several different experiments breaks the degeneracies
  - between mediator mass and DM mass
  - between scattering off different elements in CRESST









## Probing self-interacting WIMPs

- For specific models one can interpret direct detection signals in terms of selfinteracting DM
- Example: fermionic DM, scalar mediator









## Testing freeze-in with direct detection

• Direct detection for light mediators is so sensitive that it may be possible to explore scenarios beyond thermal equilibrium

#### Direct Detection is testing Freeze-in

Thomas Hambye,<sup>1, \*</sup> Michel H.G. Tytgat,<sup>1, †</sup> Jérôme Vandecasteele,<sup>1, ‡</sup> and Laurent Vanderheyden<sup>1, §</sup>



## Indirect detection with light mediators

- The Yukawa potential from the light mediator exchange also modifies the wavefunction of the annihilating DM pair (so-called Sommerfeld enhancement)
- Significant non-perturbative corrections to the tree-level annihilation rate
- Effects small during freeze-out, but increase with decreasing DM velocity



17





## Indirect detection with light mediators

- The Yukawa potential from the light mediator exchange also modifies the wavefunction of the annihilating DM pair (so-called Sommerfeld enhancement)
- Significant non-perturbative corrections to the tree-level annihilation rate
- Effects small during freeze-out, but increase with decreasing DM velocity





During recombination dark matter particles move at walking speed!

Emmy Noether-Programm DFG Peutsche reschungsemenschaft





## CMB constraints on self-interacting DM

- DM annihilations during recombination, followed by mediator decays into SM particles, inject energetic electrons and photons into the plasma
- These energetic particles can re-ionize neutral atoms and thereby spoil the excellent agreement between predictions and measurements of the CMB



Emmy Noether-Programm DFG <sup>Deutsche</sup> roschungsgemeinschaft

19

Dark matter and light mediators Felix Kahlhoefer | 6 September 2018





## CMB constraints on self-interacting DM

 CMB and indirect detection constraints basically exclude the case of s-wave annihilations under the assumption that the mediator decays into SM particles









## What if the mediator decays invisibly?

- Assume the mediator couples to some form of dark radiation (e.g. sterile neutrinos)
- No injection of electromagnetic energy into plasma  $\rightarrow$  much weaker CMB constraints
- Sommerfeld enhancement leads to the conversion of a fraction  $\zeta$  of DM to dark radiation after the end of freeze-out
- If the conversion happens after recombination, CMB constraints require ζ < 5%</li>
- This constraint is largely independent of when exactly and how quickly the conversion happens











### **Constraints on light mediators**

- Assume Sommerfeld enhancement close to resonance (fixed mass relation)
- 2<sup>nd</sup> relevant parameter: kinetic decoupling temperature
  - $\rightarrow$  Determines when DM annihilations become important









Emmy

Noether-

Programm

DFG Deutsche Forschun

#### **Constraints on light mediators**

- Assume Sommerfeld enhancement close to resonance (fixed mass relation)
- 2<sup>nd</sup> relevant parameter: kinetic decoupling temperature
  - $\rightarrow$  Determines when DM annihilations become important



- For *p*-wave annihilations Sommerfeld enhancement is less important
- Nevertheless, strong constraints on mediators with long lifetimes







- For *p*-wave annihilations Sommerfeld enhancement is less important
- Nevertheless, strong constraints on mediators with long lifetimes
- Mediator lifetime ~ 10<sup>-14</sup> 10<sup>-8</sup> s: Constraints from precision measurements
- Example: Light mediators produced in rare SM decays (e.g. B → K + X)



 Mediator decay length can be macroscopic → displaced decays





25





- For *p*-wave annihilations Sommerfeld enhancement is less important
- Nevertheless, strong constraints on mediators with long lifetimes
- Mediator lifetime ~ 10-4 104 s: Constraints from BBN



Emmy Noether-Programm DFG Petsche Porchangemeinschaft

26

Dark matter and light mediators Felix Kahlhoefer | 6 September 2018





- For *p*-wave annihilations Sommerfeld enhancement is less important
- Nevertheless, strong constraints on mediators with long lifetimes
- Mediator lifetime >> age of the Universe: Constraints from indirect detection



- Example: keV scalar mediator produced via freeze-in mechanism
  - Can potentially explain X-ray line at 3.5 keV
  - Self-interactions prevent free-streaming
    → no suppression of small-scale structure

Heeba, FK, Stöcker, in preparation







#### Conclusions



- DM models with light mediators have exciting phenomenology
- **Direct detection:** Light mediators lead to enhanced sensitivity and modified recoil spectra
- Indirect detection: Sommerfeld enhancement leads to strong constraints on mediators decaying into SM particles
- **Cosmology:** Light mediators may faciliate the conversion of dark matter to dark radiation and reduce large-scale tension
- **Self-interactions:** Velocity-dependent scattering may lead to core formation in small DM halos (+resolve small-scale crisis?)
- Late decays: Strong constraints from searches for displaced decays, Big Bang nucleosynthesis and indirect detection





