Neutrino masses and mixings –

# Neutrinoless double beta decay searches

Christoph Wiesinger (Technical University of Munich), ISAPP school, 18.09.2024





- What would the observation of neutrinoless double beta decay tell us?
- What can we learn about the absolute neutrino mass? Which assumptions are needed?
- Is there a clearly favoured isotope?
- What are the experimental challenges?
- How does the LEGEND experiment work?
- Where are **current bounds**? Where is the minimum value?

## Double beta decay

• second-order weak process, simultaneous beta decay of two neutrons [Goeppert-Mayer, Phys.Rev. 48 (1935) 512-516]

 ${}^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow {}^{A}_{Z+2}Y + 2 e^{-} + 2 \bar{\nu_{e}}$ 

 observable when first-order transitions suppressed by energy (or spin) considerations



#### Maria Goeppert-Mayer:

"This reaction is possible but has a very long lifetime."





## Double beta isotopes

- observed in 11 out of 35 naturally abundant candidate isotopes [Tretyak, Zdesenko, Atom.Data Nucl.Data Tabl. 80 (2002) 83-116]
- similar considerations for proton-rich nuclei, ٠ double electron capture
- observed in 3 out of 36 naturally abundant candidate isotopes



${}^{A}X \rightarrow {}^{A}Y$	Q-value (MeV)	T <sub>1/2</sub> (yr)	_
$^{48}Ca \rightarrow {}^{48}Ti$	4.27	6.4 · 10 <sup>19</sup>	_
$^{76}Ge \rightarrow {}^{76}Se$	2.04	2.0 · 10 <sup>21</sup>	
$^{82}Se \rightarrow {}^{82}Kr$	3.00	8.7 · 10 <sup>19</sup>	
$^{96}Zr \rightarrow {}^{96}Mo$	3.36	$2.4 \cdot 10^{19}$	iongest nait-lite
$^{100}Mo \rightarrow {}^{100}Ru$	3.03	$7.1 \cdot 10^{18}$	[Bernatowicz at al., PRL 69 (1992) 2341-
$^{116}Cd \rightarrow {}^{116}Sn$	2.81	2.7 · 10 <sup>19</sup>	2344; Adams, PRL 129 (2022) 22, 222501]
$^{128}Te \rightarrow {}^{128}Xe$	0.87	<b>2.2</b> · 10 <sup>24</sup> ▲	•
$^{130}Te \rightarrow {}^{130}Xe$	2.53	8.8 · 10 <sup>20</sup>	
$^{136}Xe \rightarrow {}^{136}Ba$	2.46	2.2 · 10 <sup>21</sup>	longest half-life
$^{150}Nd \rightarrow ^{150}Sm$	3.37	9.3 · 10 <sup>18</sup>	measured <b>directly</b>
$^{238}U \rightarrow ^{238}Pu$	1.14	2.0 · 10 <sup>21</sup>	[Aprile et al., PRL 129 (2022) 16, 161805]
$^{78}Kr \rightarrow ^{78}Se$	2.85	9.2 · 10 <sup>21</sup>	_
$^{124}Xe \rightarrow {}^{124}Te$	2.86	1.2 · 10 <sup>22</sup>	<b></b>
$^{130}Ba \rightarrow {}^{130}Xe$	2.04	2.2 · 10 <sup>21</sup>	

## Neutrino nature

• neutrinos are left-handed, anti-neutrinos are right-handed



### *Paul Dirac:* "They are fundamentally different particles."



*Ettore Majorana: "It's their handedness that makes them different."* 



- particle anti-particle transition, Majorana propagator (  $u \longrightarrow \overline{
  }$  )
- > could explain small neutrino masses, seesaw mechanism

## Neutrinoless double beta decay

• double beta decay without neutrino emission [Furry, Phys.Rev. 56 (1939) 1184-1193]

$${}^{A}_{Z}X \rightarrow {}^{A}_{Z+2}Y + 2 e^{-}$$



#### Wendell Furry:

*"If the neutrino is a Majorana particle, then this reaction is possible."* 



observation would ..

- 1. .. prove violation of lepton number conservation, lepton pair creation
- > could explain matter-antimatter asymmetry, leptogenesis
- 2. .. identify neutrino as Majorana particle
- 3. .. constrain the **absolute neutrino mass**, effective Majorana neutrino mass



Christoph Wiesinger (TUM)



## Particle physics aspect



decay rate





effective Majorana neutrino mass, coherent sum of mass eigenstates



lepton-number violating  $(\Delta L = 2)$  physics

140



light Majorana neutrino exchange, mass mechanism  $m_{\beta\beta} = \left| \sum_{i} U_{ei}^2 m_i \right|$ 

11

## *Effective Majorana neutrino mass*



Majorana phases in PMNS matrix

$$U = \dots \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & e^{i\alpha} & \\ & & e^{i\beta} \end{pmatrix}_{Majorana}$$

• coherent sum of mass eigenstates, vector sum

$$m_{\beta\beta} = \left| \sum_{i} U_{ei}^2 m_i \right|$$

$$= \left| m_1 |U_{e_1}|^2 + m_2 |U_{e_2}|^2 e^{2i\alpha} + m_3 |U_{e_3}|^2 e^{2i\beta} \right|$$

> full cancelation possible (normal ordering), minimum at 18 meV (inverted ordering)

- What would the observation of neutrinoless double beta decay tell us?
- What can we learn about the absolute neutrino mass? Which assumptions are needed?
- Is there a clearly favoured isotope?
- What are the experimental challenges?
- How does the LEGEND experiment work?
- Where are **current bounds**? Where is the minimum value?

- What would the observation of **neutrinoless double beta decay** tell us? *lepton number is not conserved, neutrino is Majorana particle*
- What can we learn about the absolute neutrino mass? Which assumptions are needed?
- Is there a clearly favoured isotope?
- What are the experimental challenges?
- How does the LEGEND experiment work?
- Where are current bounds? Where is the minimum value?

- What would the observation of **neutrinoless double beta decay** tell us? *lepton number is not conserved, neutrino is Majorana particle*
- What can we learn about the **absolute neutrino mass**? Which **assumptions** are needed? coherent sum of mass eigenstates, effective Majorana neutrino mass, mediation by exchange of light Majorana neutrino
- Is there a clearly favoured isotope?
- What are the experimental challenges?
- How does the LEGEND experiment work?
- Where are current bounds? Where is the minimum value?

## Nuclear physics aspect

decay rate 
$$\Gamma^{0\nu} \propto G^{0\nu} \cdot \left|g_A^2 \mathcal{M}^{0\nu}\right|^2 \cdot \left(\frac{m_{\beta\beta}}{m_e}\right)^2$$

- phase space factor  $G^{0\nu}$ , accurate calculations available, final state density depends primarily on **Q-value**
- nuclear matrix element *M*<sup>0ν</sup>, encodes transition amplitude from initial nucleus over virtual intermediate states to final nucleus, complicated many-body calculations
- explicit axial-vector coupling constant g<sub>A</sub>, unclear if subject to quenching



## Momentum considerations

two-neutrino double beta decay

- two β decays, emission of **real particles**
- momentum exertion on intermediate state
   limited by Q-value, strict selection rules



- virtual particle exchange between decaying nucleons
- momentum exchange limited by internuclear distance, up to several 100 MeV

$$g_A^2 \mathcal{M}^{0\nu} = g_A^2 \left( \mathcal{M}_{GT}^{0\nu} + \left( \frac{g_V}{g_A} \right)^2 \mathcal{M}_F^{0\nu} + \ldots \right)$$





## Nuclear matrix elements

- different phenomenological many-body methods using different approximations (e.g. limited number of nuclear shells), significant spread [Agostini et al., Rev.Mod.Phys. 95 (2023) 2, 025002; ..]
- > experiments typically provide range of  $m_{\beta\beta}$  constraints (e.g.  $T_{1/2}(^{76}Ge) > 1.8 \cdot 10^{26}$  yr translates to  $m_{\beta\beta} < [79, 180]$  meV)
- first ab initio calculations available, may resolve quenching issue [Yao et al., PRL 124 (2020); Belley et al., PRL 126 (2021); Novario et al., PRL 126 (2021); Cirigliano et al., PRL 120 (2018); Belley et al., arXiv:2307.15156; Belley et al., PRL 132 (2024); ..]
- effective field theory (EFT) analysis identified additional short-range contribution

[Cirigliano et al., PRL 120 (2018) 20, 202001; ..]

$$\mathcal{M}^{0\nu} = \mathcal{M}^{0\nu}_{long} + \mathcal{M}^{0\nu}_{shor}$$



### *Isotope rates*



- phase space factor  $G^{0\nu}$  accurately calculated, large Q-value favoured
- significant spread of nuclear matrix element  $\mathcal{M}^{0\nu}$  values

### *Isotope rates*



- phase space factor  $G^{0\nu}$  accurately calculated, large Q-value favoured
- significant spread of nuclear matrix element  $\mathcal{M}^{0\nu}$  values
- rate differences across different isotopes typically smaller than within one isotope
- tonne-scale experiments required to probe inverted ordering scenario

## Nuclear matrix element probes

- single β decay and 2νββ decay measurements [Gando et al., PRL 122 (2019) 19, 192501]
- heavy-ion charge exchange reactions, e.g. [Cappuzzello et al., EPJ A 54 (2018) 5, 72]

$$^{76}Ge(^{20}Ne,^{20}O)^{76}Se$$

• ordinary muon capture

[Zinatulina et al., PRC 99 (2019), 2, 024327]

$$\mu^- + {}^{76}Se \rightarrow {}^{76}As^* + \nu_\mu$$

 double gamma decay, second-order electromagnetic transitions
 [Romeo et al., PLB 827 (2022) 136965]

 $1^{+}$ left leg в right 0 leg  $^{A}_{Z}X$ β initial nucleus  $2\nu\beta\beta$ 0νββ  $0^{+}$  $A_{Z+2}Y$ final nucleus

- What would the observation of **neutrinoless double beta decay** tell us? *lepton number is not conserved, neutrino is Majorana particle*
- What can we learn about the **absolute neutrino mass**? Which **assumptions** are needed? coherent sum of mass eigenstates, effective Majorana neutrino mass, mediation by exchange of light Majorana neutrino
- Is there a clearly favoured isotope?
- What are the experimental challenges?
- How does the LEGEND experiment work?
- Where are current bounds? Where is the minimum value?

- What would the observation of **neutrinoless double beta decay** tell us? *lepton number is not conserved, neutrino is Majorana particle*
- What can we learn about the **absolute neutrino mass**? Which **assumptions** are needed? coherent sum of mass eigenstates, effective Majorana neutrino mass, mediation by exchange of light Majorana neutrino
- Is there a clearly **favoured isotope**? no, experimental considerations outweigh isotopic rate differences
- What are the experimental challenges?
- How does the LEGEND experiment work?
- Where are current bounds? Where is the minimum value?

## Double beta isotopes

• consider **practicalities** 

- detector technology constraints
- abundance, possibility for enrichment
- world-production
- current focus on <sup>76</sup>Ge, <sup>82</sup>Se, <sup>100</sup>Mo, <sup>130</sup>Te and <sup>136</sup>Xe

	~ ~ 1	,		. ,-
${}^{A}X \rightarrow {}^{A}Y$	Q-value (MeV)	T <sub>1/2</sub> (yr)	Abundance (%)	Production* (t / yr)
$^{48}Ca \rightarrow {}^{48}Ti$	4.27	6.4 · 10 <sup>19</sup>	0.2	
$^{76}Ge \rightarrow {}^{76}Se$	2.04	2.0 · 10 <sup>21</sup>	7.8	130
$^{82}Se \rightarrow ^{82}Kr$	3.00	8.7 · 10 <sup>19</sup>	8.8	2800
$^{96}Zr \rightarrow {}^{96}Mo$	3.36	2.4 · 10 <sup>19</sup>	2.8	$1.4 \cdot 10^{6}$
$^{100}Mo \rightarrow {}^{100}Ru$	3.03	$7.1 \cdot 10^{18}$	9.7	2.9 · 10 <sup>5</sup>
$^{116}Cd \rightarrow ^{116}Sn$	2.81	2.7 · 10 <sup>19</sup>	7.5	$2.5 \cdot 10^4$
$^{128}Te \rightarrow {}^{128}Xe$	0.87	2.2 · 10 <sup>24</sup>		
$^{130}Te \rightarrow {}^{130}Xe$	2.53	8.8 · 10 <sup>20</sup>	34.1	470
$^{136}Xe \rightarrow {}^{136}Ba$	2.46	2.2 · 10 <sup>21</sup>	8.9	
$^{150}Nd \rightarrow ^{150}Sm$	3.37	$9.3 \cdot 10^{18}$		
$^{238}U \rightarrow ~^{238}Pu$	1.14	2.0 · 10 <sup>21</sup>		
$^{78}Kr \rightarrow ^{78}Se$	2.85	9.2 · 10 <sup>21</sup>		
$^{124}Xe \rightarrow {}^{124}Te$	2.86	1.2 · 10 <sup>22</sup>		
$^{130}Ba \rightarrow {}^{130}Xe$	2.04	2.2 · 10 <sup>21</sup>		

[Meija et al., Pure and Applied Chemistry 88 (2016) 293–306; U. National Minerals Information Center (2020)]

\*Production of the element, e.g. Ge for <sup>76</sup>Ge



## Experimental challenge

search for single events with mono-energetic emissions of two electrons from macroscopic amount of double isotope

- large isotope mass, tonne-scale
- maximal detection efficiency for electrons
- excellent energy resolution
- ultra-low background





[Cheng et al., Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 67 (2017) 231-251]

#### Backgrounds WIPP 10<sup>-6</sup> USA 500 Soudan USA Kamioka • **cosmic background**, interactions of e.g. cosmic ray muons Japan **Total muon flux (cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)** 10<sup>-8</sup> 1,000 Rock overburden (m) deep underground operation > Boulby muon veto systems, water Cherenkov detectors, UŔ ) Modane LNGS France scintillator detectors, ... Italy Baksan Russia SURF USA [M. Tanabashi et al., PRD 98 (2018) no.3, 030001] 10<sup>-2</sup> 2,000 Shaft Tunnel Space size (m<sup>3</sup>) SNO CJPL Canada China 2,500 10-10 $10^{-4}$ vertical intensity $(m^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1})$ 2×10<sup>5</sup> 3×10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> 2 3 5 7 4 6 8 Equivalent vertical depth (km.w.e) 10<sup>-6</sup> $10^{-8}$ 10<sup>-10</sup> 1 1 1 1 1 100 10



- cosmic background, interactions of e.g. cosmic ray muons
  - > deep underground operation
  - muon veto systems, water Cherenkov detectors, scintillator detectors, ..
- **cosmogenic background**, cosmic-induced production of radioactive isotopes
  - ex-situ activation, before installation, e.g <sup>60</sup>Co in Cu
  - limit above-ground handling
  - **in-situ** activation, during operation, e.g.  $^{76}$ Ge(n, $\gamma$ ) $^{77}$ Ge
  - > overburden, analysis cuts, ..

- cosmic background, interactions of e.g. cosmic ray muons
  - > deep underground operation
  - muon veto systems, water Cherenkov detectors, scintillator detectors, ..
- cosmogenic background, cosmic-induced production of radioactive isotopes
  - ex-situ activation, before installation, e.g <sup>60</sup>Co in Cu
  - limit above-ground handling
  - **in-situ** activation, during operation, e.g.  $^{76}$ Ge(n, $\gamma$ ) $^{77}$ Ge
  - > overburden, analysis cuts, ..
- **neutrinos**, elastic scattering and charged current interactions, i.e.  ${}^{A}_{Z}X(v_{e}, e) {}^{A}_{Z+1}Y$  [Ejiri, Elliott, PRC 89 (2014) 5, 055501]

- radiogenic background, radioactive impurities
  - primordial isotopes, actinide chains
  - antropogenic isotopes, e.g. <sup>108m</sup>Ag ٠ [Gando et al., PRC 85 (2012) 045504]
  - > sophisticated **material selection**, analysis cuts, ...





œ.



Ġŧ.

- radiogenic background, radioactive impurities
  - primordial isotopes, actinide chains
  - antropogenic isotopes, e.g. <sup>108m</sup>Ag [Gando et al., PRC 85 (2012) 045504]
  - > sophisticated material selection, analysis cuts, ..
- 2vββ decay, unavoidable standard model background
  - spectral overlap [Elliott, Vogel, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 52 (2002) 115-151]

$$\frac{S}{B} = \left(\frac{Q_{\beta\beta}}{\sigma_E}\right)^6 \frac{T_{1/2}^{2\nu}}{T_{1/2}^{0\nu}}$$

- energy resolution, in practice not relevant if  $\sigma_E$  / E < 1%
- **pile-up**, in practice only relevant for <sup>100</sup>Mo
- > time resolution, detector segmentation

- What would the observation of **neutrinoless double beta decay** tell us? *lepton number is not conserved, neutrino is Majorana particle*
- What can we learn about the **absolute neutrino mass**? Which **assumptions** are needed? coherent sum of mass eigenstates, effective Majorana neutrino mass, mediation by exchange of light Majorana neutrino
- Is there a clearly **favoured isotope**? no, experimental considerations outweigh isotopic rate differences
- What are the experimental challenges?
- How does the LEGEND experiment work?
- Where are current bounds? Where is the minimum value?

- What would the observation of **neutrinoless double beta decay** tell us? *lepton number is not conserved, neutrino is Majorana particle*
- What can we learn about the **absolute neutrino mass**? Which **assumptions** are needed? coherent sum of mass eigenstates, effective Majorana neutrino mass, mediation by exchange of light Majorana neutrino
- Is there a clearly **favoured isotope**? no, experimental considerations outweigh isotopic rate differences
- What are the **experimental challenges**? ultra-low background, good energy resolution, large isotope mass, high efficiency
- How does the LEGEND experiment work?
- Where are current bounds? Where is the minimum value?

## **Experimental** approaches

**source = detector** concepts







**monolithic** scintillation / ionization **detectors** 

AXEL, DARWIN, **EXO**, JUNO, **KamLAND-Zen**, LiquidO, LZ, **nEXO**, **NEXT**, NvDEx, R2D2, THEIA, Panda-X, **SNO+**, XENON, ZICOS, .. granular semiconductor / cryogenic detectors

AMORE, BINGO, CANDLES, CEDEX, COBRA, CUORE, CUPID, CROSS, GERDA, LEGEND, MAJORANA, SELENA, ..



NEMO3, SuperNEMO, ...



### KamLAND-Zen

- high-mass, O(100) kg
- low-resolution, O(100) keV
- background-limited
- > sensitivity, result [Abe et al., arXiv:2406.11438]

 $T_{1/2}(^{136}Xe) > 2.6 \cdot 10^{26} \text{ yr } (90\% \text{ CL})$  $T_{1/2}(^{136}Xe) > 3.8 \cdot 10^{26} \text{ yr } (90\% \text{ CL})$ 

GERDA -

- low-mass, O(10) kg
- high-resolution, O(1) keV
- background-free
- > sensitivity, result [Agostini et al., PRL 125 (2020) 25, 252502]

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{T}_{1/2}(^{76}\text{Ge}) > \textbf{1.8} \cdot \textbf{10}^{26} \text{ yr } (90\% \text{ CL}) \\ &\mathsf{T}_{1/2}(^{76}\text{Ge}) > \textbf{1.8} \cdot \textbf{10}^{26} \text{ yr } (90\% \text{ CL}) \end{split}$$

## Comparison





## Experimental approaches

**source = detector** concepts





AXEL, DARWIN, **EXO**, JUNO, **KamLAND-Zen**, LiquidO, LZ, **nEXO**, **NEXT**, NvDEx, R2D2, THEIA, Panda-X, **SNO+**, XENON, ZICOS, ..



## granular semiconductor / cryogenic detectors

AMORE, BINGO, CANDLES, CEDEX, COBRA, CUORE, CUPID, CROSS, GERDA, LEGEND, MAJORANA, SELENA, ..



tracking Calorimeters

NEMO3, SuperNEMO, ...

## KamLAND-Zen

- 1000-t liquid scintillator detector,
   rich non-ββ decay physics program
- ultra-clean nylon balloon filled with <sup>enr</sup>Xe-loaded liquid scintillator

### KamLAND-Zen 800

 800 kg phase completed, new world-best 0vββ decay constraint [Abe et al., arXiv:2406.11438]

> T<sub>1/2</sub>(<sup>136</sup>Xe) > **3.8·10<sup>26</sup> yr** (90% CL) m<sub>ββ</sub> < **[28, 122] meV** (90% CL)

nylon balloon



## KamLAND-Zen

- 1000-t liquid scintillator detector, rich non-ββ decay physics program
- ultra-clean nylon balloon filled with enrXe-loaded liquid scintillator

### KamLAND-Zen 800

 800 kg phase completed, new world-best 0vββ decay constraint [Abe et al., arXiv:2406.11438]

> $T_{1/2}^{(136}Xe) > 3.8 \cdot 10^{26} \text{ yr } (90\% \text{ CL})$  $m_{\beta\beta} < [28, 122] \text{ meV } (90\% \text{ CL})$

nylon

balloon

#### KamLAND2-Zen

• detector upgrade, better light collection, improved resolution



<sup>136</sup>Xe KamLAND2-Zen Xe-loaded liquid scintillator mass 1000 kg resolution ~2% (σ/E) background sensitivity  $T_{1/2} \gtrsim 2 \cdot 10^{27}$  yr (90% CL) location Kamioka (JP), 2700 m.w.e. status planned



 liquid enrXe time projection chamber, charge and light readout

wire grid

anode

- enhanced resolution by charge and light signal combination
- topological discrimination, single-/multi-site



Christoph Wiesinger (TUM)



## EXO

### wire grid anode

liquid <sup>enr</sup>Xe time projection • chamber, charge and light readout



- enhanced resolution by charge and light signal combination
- topological discrimination, • single-/multi-site

[Anton et al., PRL 123 (2019) 16, 161802]

Christoph Wiesinger (TUM)



- exploit self-shielding, multi-٠ dimensional analysis
- development of Ba • tagging, cryogenic probe [Chambers et al., Nature 569

(2019) 7755, 203-207]



## nEXO

[Adhikari et al., J.Phys.G 49 (2022) 1, 015104]



- high-pressure gaseous enrXe time ٠ projection chamber with electroluminescence region
- **best energy resolution** among monolithic • detectors

energy plane,

**PMTs** 

- **topological separation** of ββ decay events
- development of **Ba tagging**, single molecule fluorescent imaging [McDonald et al., PRL 120 (2018) 13, 132504]





٠

•

detectors

**PMTs** high-pressure gaseous enrXe time projection chamber with electroluminescence region **NEXT-100** <sup>136</sup>Xe best energy resolution among monolithic GXe time projection chamber 100 kg mass < 1 % (σ / E) resolution 7.5·10<sup>-4</sup> cts / keV / kg / yr background  $T_{1/2} > 4.1 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr (90\% CL)}$ 

sensitivity

location

status

[Alvarez et al.,

JINST 7 (2012) T06001]

LSC (ES), 2400 m.w.e.

commissioning

cathode

energy plane,

anode

SiPMs



[Adams et al., JHEP 2021 (2021) 08, 164]

- **topological separation** of ββ decay events
- development of **Ba tagging**, single molecule fluorescent imaging [McDonald et al., PRL 120 (2018) 13, 132504]

Christoph Wiesinger (TUM)

### SNO+

### liquid **scintillator**

- 780-t liquid scintillator detector, rich non-ββ decay physics program [Allega et al., PRL 130 (2023) 9, 9]
  - water phase completed
  - scintillator phase ongoing
  - staged <sup>nat</sup>Te loading,
     0.5% loading planned
  - higher loading under development



[Andringa et al., Adv.High Energy Phys. 2016 (2016) 6194250; Albanese et al., JINST 16 (2021) 08, P08059]

<sup>130</sup> Te	e SNO+ Phase I Te-loaded liquid scintillator
mass	0.5% <sup>nat</sup> Te (1300 kg <sup>130</sup> Te)
mass resolution	0.5% <sup>nat</sup> Te (1300 kg <sup>130</sup> Te) 4.6 % (σ/E)
mass resolution background	0.5% <sup>nat</sup> Te (1300 kg <sup>130</sup> Te) 4.6 % (σ / E)
mass resolution background sensitivity	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5\% \ ^{nat}Te\ (1300\ kg\ ^{130}Te) \\ \hline 4.6\ \%\ (\sigma\ /\ E) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ T_{1/2} > 2.1\cdot 10^{25}\ yr\ (90\%\ CL) \\ \end{array}$
mass resolution background sensitivity location	$0.5\% \text{ natTe (1300 kg } ^{130}\text{Te)}$ $4.6\% (\sigma / E)$ $-$ $T_{1/2} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr (90\% CL)}$ $-$ SNOLAB (CA), 6000 m w
mass resolution background sensitivity location status	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5\% \ ^{nat}Te \ (1300 \ kg \ ^{130}Te) \\ \hline 4.6 \ \% \ (\sigma / E) \\ \hline - \\ T_{1/2} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25} \ yr \ (90\% \ CL) \\ \hline - \\ SNOLAB \ (CA), \ 6000 \ m.w.e. \\ \hline planned \end{array}$

## Experimental approaches

**source = detector** concepts



**monolithic** scintillation / ionization **detectors** 

AXEL, DARWIN, **EXO**, JUNO, **KamLAND-Zen**, LiquidO, LZ, **nEXO**, **NEXT**, NvDEx, R2D2, THEIA, Panda-X, **SNO+**, XENON, ZICOS, ..



granular semiconductor / cryogenic detectors

AMORE, BINGO, CANDLES, CEDEX, COBRA, CUORE, CUPID, CROSS, GERDA, LEGEND, MAJORANA, SELENA, ..





NEMO3, SuperNEMO, ...

## **CUORE**

thermal sensor

- cryogenic <sup>nat</sup>TeO<sub>2</sub> bolometers, dilution refrigerator
- archeological lead shielding [Alessandrello et al., NIM B142 (1998) 163-172]
- recent result [Adams et al., arXiv:2404.04453]

 $T_{1/2}(^{130}\text{Te}) > 2.8 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr} (90\% \text{ Cl})$  $m_{\beta\beta} < [70, 240] \text{ meV} (90\% \text{ Cl})$ 

• measurement ongoing

[Adams et al., arXiv:2404.04453]





## **CUORE**

sensor

thermal

130**Te** 

mass

resolution

sensitivity

location

status

- cryogenic <sup>nat</sup>TeO<sub>2</sub> bolometers, dilution refrigerator
- archeological lead shielding [Alessandrello et al., NIM B142 (1998) 163-172]
- recent result [Adams et al., arXiv:2404.04453]

 $T_{1/2}^{(130}\text{Te}) > 2.8 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr } (90\% \text{ Cl})$  $m_{\beta\beta} < [70, 240] \text{ meV } (90\% \text{ Cl})$ 

• measurement ongoing

[Adams et al., arXiv:2404.04453]



742 kg (206 kg <sup>130</sup>Te)

background 1.5·10<sup>-2</sup> cts / keV / kg / yr

ongoing

7.8 keV (FWHM), 0.1% (σ / E)

T<sub>1/2</sub> > 3.8·10<sup>25</sup> yr (90% CL)

LNGS (IT), 3500 m.w.e.

m<sub>bb</sub> < [70, 240] meV (90% CL)

absorber

## CUPID

- builds on CUPID-Mo and CUPID-0, scintillating bolometers
- particle discrimination, background rejection
- reuse existing
  CUORE infrastructure

[Armstrong et al., arXiv:1907.09376]



## GERDA

 high-purity <sup>enr</sup>Ge detectors in active liquid argon shield

liquid

argon

- topology discrimination, anti-coincidence, pulse shape
- best background, background-free scaling

[Agostini et al., PRL 125 (2020) 25, 252502]



## MAJORANA

- high-purity enrGe detectors in compact shield setup
- underground
   electroformed copper
- best resolution

[Arnquist et al., PRL 130 (2023) 6, 062501]

## GERDA

 high-purity <sup>enr</sup>Ge detectors in active liquid argon shield

liquid

argon

- topology discrimination, anti-coincidence, pulse shape
- best background, background-free scaling

[Agostini et al., PRL 125 (2020) 25, 252502]

Christoph Wiesinger (TUM)



## LEGEND-200 setup



- upgraded GERDA infrastructure, Gran Sasso laboratory, 3500 m.w.e
- up to 200 kg of high-purity germanium detectors made from <sup>enr</sup>Ge material, 90% <sup>76</sup>Ge
  - **source = detector**, high efficiency
  - excellent energy resolution,  $\sigma(E) / E < 0.1\%$  at 2 MeV
  - high-purity material, no intrinsic background [Agostini et al., Astropart.Phys. 91 (2017) 15-21]
  - high stopping power, topology discrimination
- instrumented liquid argon shield, wave-length shifting fibers with SiPM read-out
- water tank, Cherenkov muon veto







differentiate **point-like** ββ topology from: multi-detector interactions

multi-site/surface interactions interactions with partial energy depositions

## LEGEND-200 data taking

• collected **first year** of physics data with 140 kg of germanium detectors



> 76.2 kg yr of exposure, +10.2 kg yr of background characterization data, 48.3 kg yr selected for first 0vββ decay analysis

## First LEGEND-200 result

- 7 events in analysis window, background index of  $(5.3 \pm 2.2) \cdot 10^{-4}$  cts / keV / kg / yr
- combined analysis with GERDA and MAJORANA

T<sub>1/2</sub>(<sup>76</sup>Ge) > **1.9·10<sup>26</sup> yr** (90% CL) m<sub>ββ</sub> < **[75, 178] meV** (90% CL)

- median sensitivity T<sub>1/2</sub>(<sup>76</sup>Ge) > 2.8·10<sup>26</sup> yr (90% CL)
- data taking interrupted for **background characterization**, maintenance, and installation of additional detectors



## LEGEND

 builds on GERDA and MAJORANA, staged approach

#### LEGEND-200

- upgraded GERDA-infrastructure with
  - new large volume detectors
  - reduced inactive materials
  - improved light read-out
- first 140 kg in operation

#### LEGEND-1000

• improved background mitigation, **underground-sourced argon** 

<sup>76</sup>Ge LEGEND-200 HPGe detectors in LAr mass 200 kg (90% <sup>76</sup>Ge) resolution 2.5 keV (FWHM), 0.05% (σ/E) background < 2.10<sup>-4</sup> cts / keV / kg / yr sensitivity  $T_{1/2} > 1.5 \cdot 10^{27}$  yr (3 $\sigma$ ) m<sub>bb</sub> < [27, 63] meV (3σ) location LNGS (IT), 3500 m.w.e. status ongoing

 1°Ge
 LEGEND-1000

 HPGe detectors in LAR

 Image: State of the s

[Abgrall et al., arXiv:2107.11462]

 
 background
 < 10<sup>-5</sup> cts / keV / kg / yr

 sensitivity
 T<sub>1/2</sub> > 1.3·10<sup>28</sup> yr (Зо) m<sub>bb</sub> < [9, 21] meV (Зо)</td>

 Location
 LNGS (IT), 3500 m.w.e.

 status
 planned

## Experimental approaches

**source = detector** concepts







**monolithic** scintillation / ionization **detectors** 

AXEL, DARWIN, **EXO**, JUNO, **KamLAND-Zen**, LiquidO, LZ, **nEXO**, **NEXT**, NvDEx, R2D2, THEIA, Panda-X, **SNO+**, XENON, ZICOS, .. granular semiconductor / cryogenic detectors

AMORE, BINGO, CANDLES, CEDEX, COBRA, CUORE, CUPID, CROSS, GERDA, LEGEND, MAJORANA, SELENA, ..



NEMO3, SuperNEMO, ..

## SuperNEMO

- builds on NEMO3 technology, tracking calorimeter
- almost isotope-agnostic, solid source material

- full topological reconstruction
  - unique **2vββ decay** measurements
  - probe **0vββ decay mechanism**
- **demonstrator** in operation



## Effective Majorana neutrino mass , $m_{\beta\beta} = \left| \sum_{i} U_{ei}^2 m_i \right|$



- complex Majorana phases, cancelation possible (normal ordering), minimum at 18 meV (inverted ordering)
- current bounds by e.g. [Pertoldi Neutrino 2024; Adams et al., arXiv:2404.04453; Abe et al., arXiv:2406.11438]
  - **LEGEND** + GERDA .. (<sup>76</sup>Ge): m<sub>ββ</sub> < **[75, 178] meV** (90% CL)

**CUORE** (<sup>130</sup>Te): m<sub>ββ</sub> < **[70, 240] meV** (90% Cl)

**KamLAND-Zen** (<sup>136</sup>Xe): m<sub>ββ</sub> < **[28, 122] meV** (90% CL)

next generation experiments, e.g. [Abgrall et al., arXiv:2107.11462]

**LEGEND**-1000: [9, 21] eV (3σ discovery)

similar numbers for CUPID, nEXO, ...

- What would the observation of **neutrinoless double beta decay** tell us? *lepton number is not conserved, neutrino is Majorana particle*
- What can we learn about the **absolute neutrino mass**? Which **assumptions** are needed? coherent sum of mass eigenstates, effective Majorana neutrino mass, mediation by exchange of light Majorana neutrino
- Is there a clearly **favoured isotope**? no, experimental considerations outweigh isotopic rate differences
- What are the **experimental challenges**? ultra-low background, good energy resolution, large isotope mass, high efficiency
- How does the LEGEND experiment work?
- Where are current bounds? Where is the minimum value?

- What would the observation of **neutrinoless double beta decay** tell us? *lepton number is not conserved, neutrino is Majorana particle*
- What can we learn about the **absolute neutrino mass**? Which **assumptions** are needed? coherent sum of mass eigenstates, effective Majorana neutrino mass, mediation by exchange of light Majorana neutrino
- Is there a clearly **favoured isotope**? no, experimental considerations outweigh isotopic rate differences
- What are the **experimental challenges**? ultra-low background, good energy resolution, large isotope mass, high efficiency
- How does the LEGEND experiment work? enriched high-purity germanium detector in active liquid argon shield
- Where are current bounds? Where is the minimum value?

- What would the observation of **neutrinoless double beta decay** tell us? *lepton number is not conserved, neutrino is Majorana particle*
- What can we learn about the **absolute neutrino mass**? Which **assumptions** are needed? coherent sum of mass eigenstates, effective Majorana neutrino mass, mediation by exchange of light Majorana neutrino
- Is there a clearly **favoured isotope**? no, experimental considerations outweigh isotopic rate differences
- What are the **experimental challenges**? ultra-low background, good energy resolution, large isotope mass, high efficiency
- How does the LEGEND experiment work? enriched high-purity germanium detector in active liquid argon shield
- Where are current bounds? Where is the minimum value?
   m<sub>ββ</sub> < [75, 178] meV (90% CL) (<sup>76</sup>Ge, LEGEND), m<sub>ββ</sub> < [70, 240] meV (90% CI) (<sup>130</sup>Te, CUOE), m<sub>ββ</sub> < [36, 156] meV (90% CL) (<sup>136</sup>Xe, KamLAND-Zen), cancelation possible (normal ordering), 18 meV (inverted ordering)

## Neutrino mass observables



model-dependent

## Interplay

### **y** lig

- **complementary** neutrino mass information
  - different mass eigenstate combinations
  - different model assumptions
- counter measurements, model discrimination

#### Majorana nature,

light Majorana neutrino exchange



# Backup

Christoph Wiesinger (TUM)