# Astrophysical Searches for Dark Matter

Elisa Pueschel ISAPP School "Neutrinos and Dark Matter" 24 September 2024



# Dark Matter: Where to Look



*Lin 2019 arXiv:1904.07915*

## Astrophysical searches probe across different mass scales

# Indirect Searches for Dark Matter



Astrophysical signal from annihilation or decay to standard model particles

# Weakly Interacting Massive Particles



# Indirect Searches with Gamma Rays and Neutrinos



Gamma rays, neutrinos, p+/p-, e+/e-

Look for an excess above astrophysical backgrounds

# Gamma-ray Targets



# Neutrino Targets

Galactic center Galactic halo Galaxy clusters **Isotropic contributions** 

## Milky Way satellites Dark matter clumps





# Targets: Advantages and Disadvantages



# More on Galactic Center



Bright in gamma rays! 12 years of Fermi-LAT data, >1 GeV

# More on Galactic Center



# More on Galactic Center

- Resolved sources of gamma rays
	- Pulsar wind nebulae
	- Gamma-ray binaries
	- Supernova remnants/ molecular clouds
	- Pulsars
	- Fermi bubbles
	- TeV halos
- Diffuse/isotropic emission
	- Cosmic ray/gas hadronic interactions
	- Interaction of cosmic rays with CMB & infrared/optical light via inverse Compton





**11**

# More on Dwarf (Spheroidal) Galaxies



- Milky Way satellites: nearby (~20-200 kpc)
- projection of a 4-year LAT counts map (*E >* 1 GeV). The 15 dwarf galaxies included in the • Classic (thousands of bright stars) and Ultrafaint (tens of bright stars)
	- circles. • Multiple objects = less sensitivity to mis-modeling of single object
	- Many more expected with Vera Rubin Observatory
- Large mass to light ratios: ~O(1000) M⊙/L⊙
- Low astrophysical background (no known gamma-ray emitters)
- Modest angular extension

# Predicted Signal (Annihilation)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Phi\left(\langle\sigma v\rangle,J\right)}{\mathrm{d}E\mathrm{d}\Omega}=\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\langle\sigma v\rangle}{2M_\chi^2}\sum_f\mathrm{BR}_f\frac{\mathrm{d}N_f}{\mathrm{d}E}\int_{\mathrm{l.o.s.}}\rho_{\mathrm{DM}}^2(r(s,\theta))\;ds
$$



- Assuming branching ratio of 1 to a given final state
- Spectral shape is a key input!
	- Continuum emission from **χχ →** quark pairs, lepton pairs, W+W- , ZZ
		- Cut-off at M**<sup>χ</sup>** (assuming annihilation)
	- "Line" emission from **χχ → γ**X, X = h, Z, **γ**

# Predicted Signal (Annihilation)



- J-factor depends on
	- Dark matter distribution in target
	- Distance to target
	- Instrument response (point spread function)
- Significant source of uncertainty in extracted limits on ⟨**σ**v⟩

# Predicted Signal (Decay)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Phi(J)}{\mathrm{d} E \mathrm{d} \Omega} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\tau_\chi M_\chi} \sum_f \mathrm{BR}_f \frac{dN_f}{dE} \int_{l.o.s.} dl \rho_{DM}(r(s, \theta)) ds
$$

## Note differences from flux for annihilation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Phi\left(\langle \sigma v \rangle, J\right)}{\mathrm{d} E \mathrm{d} \Omega} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2M_\chi^2} \sum_f \mathrm{BR}_f \frac{\mathrm{d} N_f}{\mathrm{d} E} \int_{\text{l.o.s.}} \rho_{\rm DM}^2(r(s, \theta)) \, ds
$$

Order of  $\rho_{DM}$  affects target choice: galaxy clusters good targets for decay searches

# J-factor Calculations: Highly Non-Trivial

Example: dwarf spheroidal galaxies (Milky Way satellites)

- Different choices for DM density profile, velocity anisotropy, light profile, consideration of systematics
- Choice of stars to include has significant impact
	- Particularly for ultra faint systems with tens of stars



Different calculations can yield very different results!

# J-factor Calculations: Highly Non-Trivial

## Example: Galactic Center/Halo

- Profiles motivated by N-body simulations
- Attempts to use observations of tidal streams to probe profiles
- Assumed dark matter density profile strongly affects extracted upper limits on dark matter annihilation cross section



# Detecting Gamma Rays



- Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes (IACTs)
	- $E$  ~100 GeV to > 30 TeV
	- Precise energy & angular reconstruction
	- High sensitivity
	- Limited duty-cycle/FOV





- Large duty-cycle
- Full-sky coverage



- Water Cherenkov Technique
	- $E \sim 1 100$  TeV
	- Large duty-cycle
	- Large field of view



- Multiple detection methods
	- $\bullet$  E  $\sim$  < 1 TeV 1 PeV
	- Large duty-cycle
	- Large field of view



# Impact of differing sensitive energy ranges



# Detecting Gamma Rays



Shower image, 100 GeV y-ray adapted from: F. Schmidt, J. Knapp, "CORSIKA Shower Images", 2005, https://www-zeuthen.desy.de/~jknapp/fs/showerimages.html

Not to scale

*PoS(ICRC2019)785*

# Detecting Neutrinos



## See Anna Franckowiak's lectures last week!



# **Contract**

- Excess observed at high significance in Fermi-LAT diffuse emission towards Galactic Center
- · Significant backgrounds dominated by hadronic inverse Compton scattering
- Spectrum and morphology have been studied by many  $\bullet$  pectrum and morphology nave been siudied by many  $\mathbf{r}$ estimate the uncertainty level of the DM-like signal, we repeat the DM-like signal, we repeat the DM-like signal, we repeat the  $\mathbf{r}$ groups

where  $N$  is the number of signal counts integrated over the number of signal counts integrated over the number of  $\alpha$ 



 $\circ$   $\circ$ 

# Galactic Center Excess



*[https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-101916-123029) [annurev-nucl-101916-123029](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-101916-123029)*

- **Figure 4** • Galactic Center excess consistent with a dark matter signal error bars). Data from Gordon & Macias (9), Abazajian et al. (10), Daylan et al. (11), Calore et al. (12), Abazajian & Keeley (55), and • In mild tension with limits from other dark matter searches
- Modeling of interstellar emission has large uncertainties
- $\epsilon$  Signal consistent with other explanation • Signal consistent with other explanations
- by cold DM *N*-body simulations of galaxy formation. As they contain stars, they are observed • Population of millisecond pulsars

## Annihilation in the Galactic Center: Continuum Emission Applack triangle shows the position of the supermassive black hold supermassive black hold supermassive black h



V

## Annihilation in the Galactic Center: Continuum Emission Applack triangle shows the position of the supermassive black hold supermassive black hold supermassive black h



 $\boldsymbol{V}$ 

# Annihilation in the Galactic Center: Line Emission

- H.E.S.S. location **→** good visibility for Galactic Center
- Earlier survey observations of inner region of Galactic halo (254 hours, 4 telescopes)
- $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ • Gaussian "line" at E**γ** = M**χ** with width set by H.E.S.S. energy resolution @ E**<sup>γ</sup>**



# Annihilation in the Galactic Center: Neutrino Channel





 $\frac{1}{2}$  ine control **at 90 E.L. on the search** Annihilation to 2 noutrings Annihilation to 2 neutrinos

than the expected sensitivity at energies at energies at energies around 1 TeV at energies around 1 TeV at energies around 1 TeV at each sensitivity at energies around 1 TeV at each sensitivity at energies around 1 TeV at

tables I to II in the Appendix.

FIG. 5. Lower limits (solid line) and sensitivity (dotted line) **COMMODITY SURFEIT** Soarch for cocondary noutrin Search for secondary neutrinos FIG. 7. Left: Same as Fig. 4, but for ⌧ <sup>+</sup>⌧ annihilation channel and Burkert profile. Right: Lower limits, and sensitivity, on **Continuum search** 

## prong sensitivity to assumed dark maner pront umod dark matter prefile Strong sensitivity to assumed dark matter profile

Results on DM decays for the same ⌫*e*⌫*<sup>e</sup>* neutrino channel are summarized in Fig. 5. Because it is the same together with additional years of data. puting cluster of the RWTH Aachen; Sweden – Swedish *arXiv:2303.13663* $\overline{a}$ 

# Line Searches in GC: Gamma/Neutrino Comparison



Gamma-ray searches currently achieve better sensitivity FIG. 3: Comparison of constraints for prompt annihilation ently achieve better sensitivity and the Einas dots) and NFW (cyan dots) profiles, respectively,  $\mathbf{r}$ 

> *arXiv*:2303.13663  $\sim$  Magic observations of the dwarf galaxy Segue 1  $\sim$





# Annihilation in Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies

- Fermi-LAT archival search
- 14 years of data

 $\boldsymbol{V}$ 

- ~40 dwarf spheroidal galaxies
- Probe below thermal relic cross section  $<$  100 GeV





# Annihilation in Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies



- Joint search from 5 current generation gamma-ray instruments
- Combined limits from 5 GeV to 100 TeV
- Factor than 2-3 more constraining than individual limits









 $\bullet$  Darticularly interesting for decaying dark matter searches containment bands are also shown. • Particularly interesting for decaying dark matter searches

from the analysis of 300 realizations of the null hypothesis. This consist of MC simulations in which both

- represent the limits calculated in this work using the three different source groups. The other lines are the o<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$ • Dark matter lifetime must be >> age of the universe (1017 sec) to be viable
- $\bullet$  Gamma-ray search using observations of Perseus cluster (MA • Gamma-ray search using observations of Perseus cluster (MAGIC)
- lifetime ⌧DM for each decay channel are obtained with a binned likelihood analysis (80 GeV to 10 TeV in  $\bullet\,$  Neutrino stacked analysis using several galaxy clusters and dwarf spheroidal  $\mathbf g$ alaxies  $\mathbf g$  where  $\mathbf g$  where also reported are the two-sided 68% containment of two-sided 68%  $\mathbf{y}$  and the null hypothesis, computed from the distribution of the lower limits obtained from the lower limits obtained fro



Galaxy clusters **Isotropic contributions** 

Galactic center Galactic halo









# Dark Matter Capture in the Sun or Earth



- Dark matter particles scatter on nuclei of Sun/Earth/star
- Energy loss **→** some fraction gravitationally bound to object
- Further scattering can occur
- Dark-matter overdensity at object's core
	- Sufficient for dark matter selfannihilation or decay
	- Search for excess neutrinos

# Neutrino Capture in the Sun



Spin-dependent DM/proton scattering cross section Can be compared to direct detection limits

# Neutrino Capture in the Sun



Spin-independent DM/proton scattering cross section Can be compared to direct detection limits







# Extragalactic Gamma-ray Background



but including also the emission from star-forming galaxies (gray band, Ackermann et al.

- Diffuse gamma rays from resolved and unresolved extragalactic gamma-ray populations, diffuse contributions (e.g. dark matter annihilation)
	- Mostly blazars
- Limited budget for additional contributions



**40**

# Indirect Searches with Cosmic Rays



Gamma rays, neutrinos, p<sup>+</sup>/p<sup>-</sup>, e<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup>

- •Large astrophysical backgrounds for matter particles
- Search for anti-matter (e<sup>+</sup>, p<sup>+</sup>,...)
- •Cosmic-ray transport models important to interpretation

# Indirect Searches with Cosmic Rays



Particularly clean search channels

# Detecting Cosmic Rays

Particle detectors: measure particle energy, momentum, species



# Detecting Cosmic Rays

Particle detectors: measure particle energy, momentum, species



# Positron spectrum/Positron fraction



- •Rise observed in positron fraction, contrary to expectations
- http://tevcat.uchicago.edu •Positron spectrum softer than electron spectrum for secondary positrons
- •Advantage of fraction: less sensitive to instrument response

# Positron spectrum/Positron fraction



- Rising positron spectrum also observed **intensity of cosmic ray to construct**
- http://tevat.uchicago.edu/ • Possible scenarios for producing high-energy positrons: (dots) as a function of kinetic energy *E*. We have included data from the most recent space as from the ground-based H.E.S.S. experiment [96]. The spectra have been multiplied by *E*<sup>3</sup> to
	- Dark matter annihilation  $\bullet$
	- Positron acceleration in (local) sources
	- Secondary production from cosmic rays on interstellar gas cocourantly production now commonly on interestion gate

# Positron spectrum/Positron fraction



- Local source? Diffusion constant in TeV halos around pulsars important
- Dark matter annihilation?
	- Not for a simple model leptophilic?
	- Measurement of high-energy cutoff important

## Antiproton spectrum  $\mathsf{n}$

- Four years of AMS-02 data
- Fit antiproton spectrum
- Expected to be mainly due to secondaries
- Include contribution from dark matter annihilation



Figure 1: The secondary contribution based on the LiBeB analysis of [33] (dot-dashed blue), and *arXiv:2202.03076*

## Antiproton spectrum rofon specirum stat/none 4.49 8.0 2.98e-25



# Cosmic Ray Propagation



HELIX balloon experiment: measure isotopic abundance ratios **→** distinguish between propagation models

# Antideuteron







## Antihelium  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{G}}$  science impact in particular input in particular input in particular in

## GAPS: optimized for searches for low-energy antinuclei



# Beyond WIMPs



Thermal-relic scenario with point-like DM particle

**→** heavy DM (>~100-200 TeV) overproduced black holes. Mass ranges are only approximate (in order of magnitude), and meant to indicate general  $\sim$  1>

$$
\langle \sigma v \rangle_{max} \propto \frac{1}{M_{\chi}^2}
$$
 (unitarity limit)  
and  $\Omega_{\chi} \propto \frac{1}{\langle \sigma v \rangle}$  (thermal relic density)

- Unitarity bound can be evaded with various extensions
	- Dark sector: <u>1</u>..., composite DM (with/without geometrical cross section):  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal$ 
		- <u>[1,](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.13926) [2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.00159), [3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.06975)</u>, capture to bound states: <u>1</u>...  $\frac{1}{2}$ , suppose to we see that stations  $\frac{1}{2}$ ...

# Accessing >100 TeV Dark Matter



Final state gamma rays → only a small fraction of energy from heavy dark matter annihilation  $\gamma$ <sup>3</sup> ya Tempe decreases. The various referenches refer to the channels: channels channels (solid), quarks (dashed), q

>10% flux deposited in <100 TeV gamma rays for dark matter particles up to PeV masses<br>*A* V gamma r *<sup>N</sup> <sup>N</sup>*  $\overline{a}$  vs 2 ln <sup>1</sup> on on l VS and the set of  $\sim$  *<sup>a</sup> a*  $\bullet$   $\bullet$   $\bullet$   $\bullet$  $\mathbf{s}$ 

# Absorption on Diffuse Photon Fields

- Gamma rays undergo pair production on diffuse photon fields
- Galactic neighborhood
	- Cosmic microwave background radiation
	- Extragalactic background light
	- Starlight
	- Also can have absorption by dust

*arXiv:1608.01587*



 $5200/$  allocated 100 TeV >20% effect at 100 TeV

# Gamma-ray Limits on Ultra-Heavy Dark Matter Annihilation



- VERITAS search using observations of dwarf spheroidal galaxies
- Benchmark 1: Partial-Wave Unitarity Bound
	- Point-like J=0 dark matter particle
	- VERITAS limits not constraining above unitarity bound

# Gamma-ray Limits on Ultra-Heavy Dark Matter Annihilation



- Benchmark 2: Composite Unitarity Bounds
	- Composite dark matter particles; bound scales with particle radius
	- VERITAS able to constrain composite models

# Gamma-ray Limits on Ultra-Heavy Dark Matter Annihilation



- Benchmark 2: Composite Unitarity Bounds
	- Composite dark matter particles; bound scales with particle radius
	- VERITAS able to constrain composite models

# Axions and Axion-like Particles

Peccei-Quinn axion

- No observed CP violation in QCD
	- No reason theoretically to be zero
	- Violated in weak interaction
- CP-violating extension to QCD
	- New U(1) symmetry (globally broken) **→** new light particle





## Axion-like particles

- $\cdot$  Light particle mixing with photon  ${\sf predicted}$  in several SM  ${\sf C}$ extensions such as TeVeS [9] give a notably worse fit to CMB and largepossible by mass and spin. Fig. 3 gives a compact summary of the landscape and the main tourist *A brief aside on MOND.* — MOdified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) is a framework for modified relativistic theory is needed to obtain predictions during the early universe. Assuming no additional
- Does not necessarily solve strong CP-problem
- Dark matter candidate arguments here: first, a fermion DM candidate must have mass greater than *O*(keV) in order to

# Axion-like Particles



- Gamma rays traveling from distance sources could mix with ALPs en route to Earth
- Strong magnetic fields in e.g. galaxy clusters would induce mixing, or weak magnetic fields in intergalactic medium

# Axion-like Particles

- Gamma-ray flux classically attenuated by interactions with diffuse photon fields (extragalactic background light)
- ALP-mixing reduces attenuation, introduces spectral features
- Non-detection used to set limits



# Limits on Axion-like Particles



# Limits on Axion-like Particles



*https://cajohare.github.io/AxionLimits/*

# Primordial Black Holes



- $\bullet$  Form around matter overdensities in early Universe the big band with the b Black holes with a wide range of masses could have
- 28  $\bullet$  Possible contributor to dark matter content of Universe  $M$ such ''primordial'' black holes (PBHs) is that such that such that such that  $\mathcal{P}(B|B)$

$$
M \sim \frac{c^3 t}{G} \sim 10^{15} \left(\frac{t}{10^{-23} \text{ s}}\right) \text{g}
$$

 $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  . Mass related to time  $\mathbb{E}\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & \mathsf{or} \end{array}\right]$  formation



## Limits on PBH burst rate parameters. Most relevant, however, are the best constraints for each

## *arXiv:2111.01198*



- Survey instruments have a major advantage
- A current status of searches is shown for the different status of the different status of  $\alpha$  $\epsilon$  for the state  $\epsilon$  as the blue data in  $\epsilon$  is the blue data in  $\epsilon$ • Competitive limits from upcoming Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory

# Astrophysical Searches for Dark Matter

# Mass scale of dark matter

(not to scale)



*Lin 2019 arXiv:1904.07915*

## Astrophysical searches diverse and capable of  $\mathsf{\small{probing}}$  broad phase space and the main top  $\mathsf{\small{pmod}}$

Including regions not discussed in this lecture!  $\mathcal{S}$ , originally put for the specific to data matter. A specific to data matter  $\mathcal{S}$