The journey to multi bunch emittance control

More than just feedback

Alun Morgan, Dmitrii Rabusov



What do we do now

• Feedback loop using pinhole cameras as detector and skew quadrupole magnets as actuators



Why not just keep doing that?

Limitations of existing system

- It cannot stabilise horizontal and vertical emittance simultaneously as it is coupling control based.
 Small reduction in dynamic aperture
 Suffers from hysteresis

 performance degrades over time

 Feedback loop limited to a few Hz
 - due to pinhole camera update rate.

Additional Diamond-II considerations

- We will not have the flexibility to use the skew quadrupole magnets due to the impact on off axis injection
- Operating modes in Diamond-II may require per bunch size manipulation. (e.g. hybrid bunch)



Why use side band excitation with the MBF?

Sideband excitation

It has less impact on lifetime and injection efficiency compared to the existing skew quadrupole approach

Additional MBF bonuses

- No new hardware required in the storage ring.
- This allows the emittance control to be applied to all or a subset of bunches as operations require.

• There are some differences in behaviour which need to be accounted for before it can be used in operation.

Emittance Control for Diamond-II Ian Martin, Alun Morgan, Shaun Preston 9th Low Emittance Rings Workshop CERN, 13th-16th February 2024



What is the new approach?

• Keep the existing emittance monitoring but replace the actuator of the feedback.



Tune tracking

• In Diamond we need to use tune tracking to make sideband excitation practical



We may not need this in Diamond-II due to the 3rd harmonic cavity.



Tune tracking limitations

Machine change has broken the tune tracking twice since 2020

• Unable to identify the causes





2023 unexpected phase shift



Retuned the entire system

Developed improved locking scheme



MBF emittance feedback development

Initial proof of concept done in 2020 by Guenther



Largely manual but looked promising. Various issues identified in operating alongside standard operation, for example:

- Making sure the target bunch is filled
- The need to turn off the feedback on the few bunches either side of the tracking bunch

Basically, it allowed us to define the problem much more clearly.



Development of a new MBF vertical emittance feedback algorithm

Existing skew quadrupole base algorithm



Much of the controller is related to the magnet dynamics and so needs to be redeveloped to use the MBF system instead

MBF based algorithm (tested September 2022)



Operational proof of concept

No dynamic adjustment of parameters so currently it takes too long to stabilise after ID changes.



Testing the simple emittance control



Response rate when changes occur:

	Magnet based	8 s / pm rad
	system	
	MBF system	29 s / pm rad
Next step is to use proper systems analysis		
to develop a full feedback model		

Standard deviation over a few mins in the stable state:

No feedback	0.030pm rad
Magnet based system	0.037pm rad
MBF system	0.060pm rad



10 of 15

Broader considerations

The MBF system will become machine critical in more ways.

MBF focussed

- Assessing and developing new operational uses of the MBF system.
 - **PPRE**
 - Bunch cleaning
 - Emittance feedback
- Integrating all the different operating modes to work together in various combinations.

Accelerator focussed

- Improving our assessment of the working point stability.
- Identifying the impact of various machine settings.
- Improving our understanding of the impact of MBF setting changes.



Broader studies – Pulse Picking by Resonant Excitation for use with 'timing users'

• An alternative to hybrid fill.

 $\,\circ\,$ Feasibility study in collaboration with one of our beam lines





Machine measurement

Beamline measurement

A. Morgan et al I.FAST MBF workshop - March2024 KIT

Lifetime without Compromise

doi: <u>10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2023-MOPM036</u> •S. Wilkes

Investigations into operating Pulse Picking by Resonant Excitation (PPRE) in the vertical plane

doi: <u>10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2023-MOPM037</u>

• S. Wilkes, A. Morgan, G. Karras, I. Martin, M. Warren



Broader studies – settings optimisation



Including the impedance causes a broadening and shifting of the sidebands as well as showing the asymmetry similar to the measured data.



Measured impact of chromaticity and bunch charge

The Asymmetry of the peaks and the sensitivity with excitation harmonic indicates that **long-range wakefields have a significant impact.**

A. Morgan et al I.FAST MBF workshop - March2024 KIT

Mode 0 Mode 80 Mode 80 Mode 80 Mode 80

Emittance feedback for the Diamond-II storage ring using resonant excitation doi:10.18429/JACoW- IPAC2022 - TUPOMS035 S. T. Preston* , T. Olsson, B. Singh

Measurements for emittance feedback based on resonant excitation at Daimond light source doi:10.18429/JACoW-IBIC2022-WEP37 S. T. Preston* , T. Olsson, A. Morgan⁺ , L. Bobb

Broader studies – further investigation of excitation harmonic modes **Dependencies investigated so far**

13 of 15

Broader studies – Measuring the effects of MBF bandwidth on feedback robustness

 tune scans at I = 150 mA around the current working point

Future work and questions

Develop an improved feedback algorithm

Measurement studies

- Single bunch operation To better separate the effect of long and short range wakefields.
- Find a more optimal accelerator setup with minimal sideband driven centroid motion
- Can this residual centroid motion be mitigated by the multi-bunch feedback ?

Simulation

Questions

Experience with 3rd harmonic cavity

Experience with Amplifiers

Experience with ramped / booster operation

- Understand the effects of a 3rd harmonic cavity
- Include both short and long range wakefields

Where does the noise floor variation with excitation mode come from?

