Exclusive *b*-quark decays

- Projects C2a and C2b -

CRC Annual Meeting March 2024

Thorsten Feldmann





Overview

C2a: Hadronic matrix elements and exclusive semileptonic decays

- WA1: Factorization and light-cone distributions
- WA2: QCD sum rules and related methods
- WA3: New channels and multi-hadron final states
- WA4: Inclusive rates and the sum over exclusive channels, Semi-inclusive decays





C2b: Exclusive non-leptonic and rare *b*-quark decays

- WA1: Higher-order QCD corrections
- WA2: Phenomenology of non-leptonic decays
- WA3: Phenomenology of rare decays, BSM flavour structures

new members since fall 2023: Anshika Bansal (C2a), Jack Jenkins (C2b)

T. Feldmann, P. Lüghausen and N. Seitz,

"Strange-quark mass effects in the B_s meson's light-cone distribution amplitude," JHEP **08** (2023), 075 [arXiv:2306.14686 [hep-ph]].

2 T. Feldmann and N. Gubernari, "Non-factorisable Contributions of Strong-Penguin Operators in $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda \ell^+ \ell^-$ Decays," [arXiv:2312.14146 [hep-ph]] (to appear in JHEP).

P. Böer, G. Bell, T. Feldmann, D. Horstmann and V. Shtabovenko, "Soft-overlap contribution to $B_c \rightarrow \eta_c$ form factors: diagrammatic resummation of double logarithms," PoS **RADCOR2023** (2024), 086 [arXiv:2309.08410 [hep-ph]] \leftrightarrow B1e (detailed write-up in preparation)

C₂b

short-distance OPE of light-ray operator in HQET:
$$(n^2 = 0)$$

 $\bar{q}(\tau n)[\tau n, 0] \not n \gamma_5 h_v(0)$
 $= c_1^{(3)}(\tau) \bar{q} \not n \gamma_5 h_v + c_1^{(4)}(\tau) \bar{q} (in \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \not n \gamma_5 h_v$
 $+ c_2^{(4)}(\tau) \bar{q} (iv \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \not n \gamma_5 h_v + c_3^{(4)}(\tau) m_s \bar{q} \not n \gamma_5 h_v$
 $+ \dots$

T. Feldmann, P. Lüghausen and N. Seitz, JHEP 08 (2023), 075

- $\rightarrow\,$ short-distance behaviour of LCDA $\,\tilde{\phi}^+_{B_s}(\tau)\,$ in terms of HQET parameters ($\bar{\Lambda}_s=m_{B_s}-m_b$ etc.)
- ightarrow 1-loop calculation of Wilson coefficient $c_3^{(4)}(au)$
- $\rightarrow~$ extrapolation to large $\tau \sim 1/\Lambda_{had}$ by means of generic parametrization

[TF, P. Lüghausen and D. van Dyk, JHEP 10 (2022), 162]



estimates for first inverse moments

 $\lambda_B^{-1} = \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \phi_B^+(\omega)$

 $|\delta a_2| < 0.1$

 $|\delta a_2| = 0$

• our results for λ_{B_q} and λ_{B_s} compare well with QCD sum rule estimates \checkmark

[A. Khodjamirian, R. Mandal and T. Mannel, JHEP 10 (2020), 043]

 OPE and QCD-SR constraints can be used consistently in global fits

[TF, Lüghausen, van Dyk, work in progress]

T. Feldmann and N. Gubernari, arXiv:2312.14146 (to appear in JHEP)

- sum-rule approach to $\Lambda_b o \Lambda\gamma^*$ with interpolating current for Λ
- leads to "annihilation-like" decay topologies with 4-quark operators:

 $\blacksquare = (\overline{\mathbf{s}} \dots \mathbf{b})(\overline{q} \dots q)$



- light-quark momenta (k_1,k_2) couple to external momenta (q,p^\prime) in different light-cone directions
- $\bullet~$ requires specific "soft Λ_b functions", where light-quark fields are separated along different light rays

 $\langle 0| d(au_2 ar n) \, u(au_1 n) \, h_v^{(b)}(0) |\Lambda_b(p)
angle$

- $ightarrow \,$ need to generalize and model 3-quark LCDAs for Λ_b
- $\rightarrow\,$ expect similar new features as for soft B-meson functions, with additional photons or gluons in opposite light-cone direction

e.g. [M. Beneke, P. Böer, J. N. Toelstede and K. K. Vos, JHEP 08 (2022), 020],

[Y. K. Huang, Y. Ji, Y. L. Shen, C. Wang, Y. M. Wang and X. C. Zhao, arXiv:2312.15439 [hep-ph]

to be worked out ...

P. Böer, G. Bell, T. Feldmann, D. Horstmann and V. Shtabovenko, PoS RADCOR2023 (2024), 086



tower of large double logs $\left(lpha_s \ln^2 rac{p_-}{m}
ight)^n$ encoded in coupled integral equations for "soft form factors"

$$f(\ell_{+},\ell_{-}) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{2\pi} \int_{\ell_{-}}^{p_{-}} \frac{dk_{-}}{k_{-}} \int_{m^{2}/k_{-}}^{\ell_{+}} \frac{dk_{+}}{k_{+}} e^{-S(k_{+},k_{-})} \left(f(k_{+},k_{-}) - \frac{C_{FA}}{2C_{F}} f_{m}(k_{+},k_{-}) + \frac{C_{A}}{4C_{F}}\right)$$

$$f_{m}(\ell_{+},\ell_{-}) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{2\pi} \int_{\ell_{-}}^{p_{-}} \frac{dk_{-}}{k_{-}} \int_{m^{2}/k_{-}}^{\ell_{+}} \frac{dk_{+}}{k_{+}} e^{-S(k_{+},k_{-})} f_{m}(k_{+},k_{-})$$

ightarrow iterative solution \checkmark asymptotic behaviour \checkmark

 \rightarrow see also Guido Bell's presentation



S. Descotes-Genon, A. Khodjamirian, J. Virto and K. K. Vos, "Light-Cone Sum Rules for S-wave $B \rightarrow K\pi$ Form Factors," JHEP **06** (2023), 034. [arXiv:2304.02973 [hep-ph]].

C2a / WA2

N. Gubernari, A. Khodjamirian, R. Mandal and T. Mannel, JHEP 12 (2023), 015

- estimates of $b \to c\ell\nu$ decays into excited D-mesons are important to understand the gap between inclusive $b \to c\ell\nu$ and sum over exclusive channels
- previous analysis for axial-vector mesons ($J^P = 1^+$)
- here: for scalar D-mesons ($J^P = 0^+$)

 \rightarrow WA4

[Gubernari, Khodjamirian, Mandal, Mannel, JHEP 05, 029 (2022)]

calculational setup:

- use LCSRs with *B*-meson LCDAs (i.e. large recoil, finite charm mass)
- include 2- and 3-particle LCDAs (incl. twist-4)
- decay constants from 2-point sum rules
- *z*-expansion to extrapolate to small recoil

two different scenarios for scalar D-mesons:

- (1) one single broad resonance (PDG)
- (2) two individual resonances (from $B \rightarrow D\pi\pi$) \rightarrow WA3

cenario	Meson	Mass [MeV]	Width [MeV]
1	$D_0^* \equiv D_0^*(2300)$	2343 ± 10	229 ± 16
1	$D^*_{s0}\equiv D^*_{s0}(2317)$	2317.8 ± 0.5	< 3.8
	$D_0^* \equiv D_0^*(2105)$	2105^{-8}_{+6}	204^{+20}_{-22}
	$D_0^{*\prime} \equiv D_0^*(2451)$	2451^{-26}_{+35}	268^{+14}_{-16}
4	$D_{s0}^* \equiv D_{s0}(2317)$	2317.8 ± 0.5	< 3.8
	$D_{s0}^{*\prime}\equiv D_{s0}^{*}(2660)$	~ 2660	

Scenario 1	Scenario 2
$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D_0^* \ell \bar{\nu}) = (3.6^{+5.1}_{-3.0}) \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D_0^* \ell \bar{\nu}) = (1.6^{+3.2}_{-1.4}) \cdot 10^{-3}$
$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D_0^* \tau \bar{\nu}) = (3.9^{+5.1}_{-3.1}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D_0^* \tau \bar{\nu}) = (2.4^{+4.7}_{-2.1}) \cdot 10^{-4}$
$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s \to D_{s0}^* \ell \bar{\nu}) = (1.9^{+3.8}_{-1.7}) \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D_0^{*'} \ell \bar{\nu}) = (2.3^{+1.4}_{-1.8}) \cdot 10^{-3}$
$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s \to D_{s0}^* \tau \bar{\nu}) = (2.6^{+4.9}_{-2.2}) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D_0^{*'} \tau \bar{\nu}) = (1.9^{+1.1}_{-1.4}) \cdot 10^{-4}$

S. Descotes-Genon, A. Khodjamirian, J. Virto and K. K. Vos, JHEP 06 (2023), 034

- estimate of (non-resonant) $B \to K \pi \ell^+ \ell^-$ important for accurate analysis of $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$
- earlier studies focused on P-wave contributions
- standard LCSR setup with B-meson LCDAs (incl. twist-4)
- S-wave $K\pi$ spectrum from coupled-channel analysis,

 \rightarrow 4 different models, fitted to $K\pi$ scattering data

[Descotes-Genon, Khodjamirian, Virto, JHEP 12, 083 (2019)]

C2b

[Von Detten, Noel, Hanhart, Hoferichter, Kubis, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, no.5, 420 (2021)]



- correct order of magnitude
- unsatisfactory q^2 dependence
- ightarrow ~ refine the model for the $K\pi$ spectrum
- → implications for other multi-hadron channels → WA3 $(B \rightarrow \pi \pi \ell \bar{\nu}, B \rightarrow \pi \pi \ell^+ \ell^-, B \rightarrow K \pi \nu \bar{\nu}, B \rightarrow K \pi \pi, B \rightarrow K \pi a, B \rightarrow K \pi \gamma', ...)$





• Mannel, Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, Descotes-Genon, LHCb (Paris, LPTHE) improved description of non-resonant region for $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- K_S$ including $c\bar{c}$ threshold effects work in progress builds on [Ma

builds on [Mannel, Olschewsky, Vos, JHEP 06, 073 (2020)])



[A. Boushmelev and M. Wald, arXiv:2311.13482]

- certain NP models ("Mesogenesis") entertain the possibility of *B*-meson decays into dark baryons
- described in terms of (model-dependent) 4-fermion operators:

 $-i G_{(d)} \epsilon_{ijk} (\bar{u}_{B}^{i} b_{B}^{cj}) (\bar{d}_{B}^{k} \psi_{B}^{c}) + \text{h.c.}$

+ pw)/|G_(d)|²

9)(B

• focus on $B^+ \rightarrow p\Psi$: LCSR analysis with nucleon LCDAs (incl. twist-6)

Up to Twist 6

Error Twist 3

Dwist 3

Total uncertainty





→ substantial uncertainties from higher-twist contributions

3.0

m_w in GeV

 $\rightarrow~$ branching fraction lies within the sensitivity range for Belle-II

• Mannel, Gubernari, Khodjamirian, Mandal, quantitative analysis of rates and decay spectra of $B \to D^{**} \ell \nu$, work in progress ...

 \leftarrow input from WA2/WA3

• Huber et al., in the pipeline:

- calculation of power-suppressed penguin coefficient a_6 in QCDF
- pheno update of charmless non-leptonics (requires better treatment of non-factorizable effects)

A. Biswas, S. Descotes-Genon, J. Matias and G. Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, "A new puzzle in non-leptonic B decays," JHEP 06 (2023), 108 [arXiv:2301.10542 [hep-ph]]

M. L. Piscopo and A. V. Rusov, "Non-factorisable effects in the decays $\overline{B}^0_s \to D^+_s \pi^-$ and $\overline{B}^0 \to D^+ K^-$ from LCSR," JHEP **10** (2023), 180 [arXiv:2307.07594 [hep-ph]].

more activities:

E. Malami,

"Theoretical Highlights of CP Violation in *B* Decays," proceedings HQL2023, arXiv:2402.16976 [hep-ph]

E. Malami,

"Manifestations of CP Violation in the B Meson System: Theoretical Perspective,"

proceedings Beauty2023, arXiv:2402.10023 [hep-ph]

 G. Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi,
 "QCDF Amplitudes from SU(3) Symmetries," proceedings PoS DISCRETE2022 (2024), 040

also: Huber, Malami, GTX, work in progress

- include $SU(3)_F$ breaking effects: $(\overline{3} \oplus 6 \oplus \overline{15}) \otimes (1 \oplus 8) = \overline{3} \oplus 6 \oplus \overline{15} \oplus 24 \oplus \overline{42}$
- translation to QCDF amplitudes

C2b / WA2

[A. Biswas, S. Descotes-Genon, J. Matias and G. Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, JHEP 06 (2023), 108]

• starting point: ratio of longitudinal amplitudes of two U-spin related decays:

$$L_{K^*\bar{K}^*} = \rho(m_{K^{*0}}, m_{K^{*0}}) \frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_d \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})} \frac{f_L^{B_s}}{f_L^{B_d}} = \frac{|A_0^s|^2 + |\bar{A}_0^s|^2}{|A_0^d|^2 + |\bar{A}_0^d|^2}$$

(expected to have reduced sensitivity to power corrections in QCDF)

- K^*K^* and KK modes show $\mathcal{O}(2.5\sigma)$ deviations from SM expectations
- could be explained by NP in strong penguins $C_{4,6}$ or chromomagnetic penguin \mathcal{O}_8^g

e.g. variation of $L_{K^*\bar{K}^*}$ and $L_{K\bar{K}}$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{C}_{4s}^{\rm NP} \to$

may lead to large deviations for L_{K*K}



Coming out soon:

• similar analysis for $B_{s,d} \to K^{(*)}\phi$

- how sensitive to SU(3) flavour-symmetry breaking ?
- NP interpretation requires inclusion of less roboust observables

Non-factorisable effects in $\overline{B}^0_s \to D^+_s \pi^-$ and $\overline{B}^0 \to D^+ K^-$ from LCSR C2b / WA2

M. L. Piscopo and A. V. Rusov, JHEP 10 (2023), 180

Aim: address factorizable and non-factorizable contributions entirely within LCSR framework:

- correlator for non-factorizable contribution
 - $\rightarrow \langle 0 | \mathrm{T} \left\{ j_5^D(x), O_2(0), j_{\mu}^{\pi}(y) \right\} | \bar{B}(p+q) \rangle$
- this work: mixed LC-Local expansion $x^2 \sim 0, y^{\mu} \sim 0$
- alternatively: expansion around two opposite light-ray directions \rightarrow 3-particle soft functions [Huang et al., 2312,15439]



$$\frac{C_2 \langle O_2^d \rangle}{C_1 \langle O_1^d \rangle} = 0.051^{+0.059}_{-0.052} , \qquad (B_s^0 \to D_s^+ \pi^-)$$
$$\frac{C_2 \langle O_2^s \rangle}{C_1 \langle O_1^s \rangle} = 0.039^{+0.042}_{-0.034} , \qquad (\bar{B}^0 \to D^+ K^-)$$

 $(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ K^-)$

- on-factorizable contributions positive, order of a few percent. significantly larger than in [Bordone et al., 2007.10338]
- resulting BRs are compatible with QCDF and experiment, ٩ however, with large uncertainties!

future improvements:

- generic form of 3-particle soft function for all Dirac structures
- hadronic parameters in 2- and 3-particle LCDAs (λ_B , $\lambda_{E,H}^2$)

- N. Gubernari, M. Reboud, D. van Dyk and J. Virto, "Dispersive analysis of B → K^(*) and B_s→ ϕ form factors," JHEP **12** (2023), 153 [arXiv:2305.06301 [hep-ph]]
- **②** T. Feldmann and N. Gubernari, "Non-factorisable contributions of strong-penguin operators in $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda \ell^+ \ell^-$ " arXiv:2312.14146 (to appear in JHEP)
- R. Fleischer, E. Malami, A. Rehult and K. K. Vos, "New perspectives for testing electron-muon universality," JHEP 06 (2023), 033 [arXiv:2303.08764 [hep-ph]]

improve on Boyd-Grinstein-Lebed approach:

 $\chi^{(\lambda)}$

 $\frac{1}{\gamma(\lambda)}$

• invidual bounds for different helicity projections (λ)

 $\frac{|_{1\text{pt}}|}{|_{1\text{pt}}|} + \sum_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| a_n^{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}} \right|^2 < 1$

N. Gubernari, M. Reboud, D. van Dyk and J. Virto, JHEP 12 (2023), 153

• below-threshold branch-cuts from $B_s \pi$ and $B_s \pi \pi$ accounted for in "z-parametrization"





⇒ essential improvement for predictions at *negative* (unphysical) values of q^2 , important input to the analysis of non-local hadronic matrix elements in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions C2b / WA3

T. Feldmann and N. Gubernari, arXiv:2312.14146 (to appear in JHEP)

Iocal form factors:

 $\langle \Lambda(p',s')|\bar{s}(0)\,\Gamma\,b(0)|\Lambda_b(p,s)
angle$

(for semileptonic operators $\mathcal{O}_{9,10}$)

• "non-local form factors":

 $\langle \Lambda(p',s') | T\left(\mathcal{O}_i(0) J_{\mathrm{em}}(x) \right) | \Lambda_b(p,s) \rangle$

(for hadronic penguin operators)



- factorizing quark-loop topologies
- non-factorizing "annihilation-type" of topologies
 - $ightarrow q^2$ -dependent shifts of Wilson coefficient C_9

 $C_9 f_+(q^2) \to f_+(q^2) \left(C_9 + \Delta C_{9,+}(q^2) \right)$ etc.

estim	ate of "annihilation" topology with LSCRs:	(C2a, see above)	
٩	only operators \mathcal{O}_5 and \mathcal{O}_6 contribute at leading power (opposite to annihilation in mesonic decays)	wer	
٩	transverse photons: $ \Delta C_{9,\perp} /\Delta C_9 \sim \mathcal{O}(1\%)$ as e	expected	
٩	longitudinal photons: ΔC_{9+} is power-suppressed		

	$10^2 \cdot \Delta C_{9,\perp}$	
$2 {\rm GeV}^2$	$(0.6 \pm 2.5) + i(6.9 \pm 1.3)$	
$4~{\rm GeV}^2$	$-(0.97 \pm 0.98) + i(1.89 \pm 0.62)$	
$6 \mathrm{GeV}^2$	$-(0.64 \pm 0.39) + i(0.63 \pm 0.42)$	

• uncertainties dominated by LCDAs of Λ_b

[R. Fleischer, E. Malami, A. Rehult and K. K. Vos, JHEP 06 (2023), 033]

 recent LHCb results in agreement with electron-muon universality [2212.09152, 2212.09153]

 $\langle R_K \rangle_{[1.1,6.0]} = 0.949 \pm 0.05$

- individual rates still somewhat below SM
- how much space left for LFU violation ?
- → include CP-violating observables in fits (i.e. allow for complex NP Wilson coefficients)
- ⇒ large differences between CP asymmetries for electron and muon modes are still allowed !
- it would be useful to have a measurement of direct CP violation in R_K, defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm CP}^{R_K} \equiv \frac{\bar{R}_K - R_K}{\bar{R}_K + R_K}$$



Scenario 1: Constraints on $C_{9e}^{\rm NP}$ for different benchmark points and the corresponding constraints on the CP asymmetries

still plenty of room for electron-muon universality violation!

[R. Fleischer, E. Malami, A. Rehult and K. K. Vos, JHEP 06 (2023), 033]

 recent LHCb results in agreement with electron-muon universality [2212.09152, 2212.09153]

 $\langle R_K \rangle_{[1.1,6.0]} = 0.949 \pm 0.05$

- individual rates still somewhat below SM
- how much space left for LFU violation ?
- → include CP-violating observables in fits (i.e. allow for complex NP Wilson coefficients)
- ⇒ large differences between CP asymmetries for electron and muon modes are still allowed !
- it would be useful to have a measurement of direct CP violation in R_K, defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm CP}^{R_K} \equiv \frac{\bar{R}_K - R_K}{\bar{R}_K + R_K} \,,$$



Scenario 2: Constraints on $C_{9e}^{\rm NP}$ for different benchmark points and the corresponding constraints on the CP asymmetries

still plenty of room for electron-muon universality violation!

Contributions to Special Topic in EPJC:

"b-Quark Physics as a Precision Laboratory: Present Status and Future Prospects"

- T. Mannel,
 "Introduction to B physics,",
 Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. (2023)
- A. Khodjamirian, B. Melić and Y. M. Wang,
 "A guide to the QCD light-cone sum rule for *b*-quark decays," arXiv:2311.08700, published in Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. (2023)

P. Böer and T. Feldmann, "Structure-dependent QED effects in exclusive *B*-meson decays," arXiv:2312.12885, published in Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. (2024)

C2a:	
 WA1: Factorization and light-cone distributions 	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$
WA2: QCD sum rules and related methods	\checkmark
 WA3: New channels and multi-hadron final states 	\checkmark
• WA4: Inclusive rates and the sum over exclusive channels, semi-inclusive decays	x

C2b:

WA1: Higher-order QCD corrections	X
WA2: Phenomenology of non-leptonic decays	$\checkmark\checkmark$
 WA3: Phenomenology of rare decays, BSM flavour structures 	~~~

 $\rightarrow\,$ intensify work in C2a/WA4 and C2b/WA1 ...

 $\rightarrow~$ initiate more interactions within CRC, in particular in C2b/WA3

 \rightarrow see next slide

- V. Cirigliano, W. Dekens, J. de Vries, E. Mereghetti and T. Tong, "Anomalies in global SMEFT analyses: a case study of first-row CKM unitarity," JHEP 03 (2024) 033, [arXiv:2311.00021 [hep-ph]]
- R. Mandal and T. Tong,

"Exploring freeze-out and freeze-in dark matter via effective Froggatt-Nielsen theory," JCAP **11** (2023), 074, [arXiv:2307.14972 [hep-ph]]

 Atkinson, Englert, Kirk, Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, comparison/interplay between *B*-physics and top-collider constraints within SMEFT, in preparation



