PRECISION CALCULATIONS AT LEADING AND NEXT-TO-LEADING POWER

[GUIDO BELL]

ANNUAL CRC MEETING KARLSRUHE MARCH 2024

Overview

Project B2a: Automated calculations in SCET

- \triangleright NNLO soft, jet and beam functions
- high-precision resummations
- phenomenological applications

Project B1e: Power corrections in collider processes

- \blacktriangleright structure of non-perturbative corrections
- resummations at next-to-leading power
- power corrections to slicing variables

leading power

) $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$

 \mathcal{L} $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$

⇒ automation

next-to-leading power

 \Rightarrow new concepts

Publications

Project B2a: Automated calculations in SCET

- [2312.14089](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2739899) FeynCalc 10: Do multiloop integrals dream of computer codes? Shtabovenko, Mertig, Orellana
- to appear The NNLO gluon beam function for jet-veto resummation GB, Brune, Das, Wald

ioli, GB, Billis, Broggio, Dehnadi, Lim, arinelli, Nagar, Napoletano, Rahn **B. Dehnadi, Mohrmann, Rahn**

Project B1e: Power corrections in collider processes

Publications

Project B1e: Power corrections in collider processes

Project B2a: Automated calculations in SCET

The NNLO soft function for N-jettiness in hadronic collisions

Guido Bell^a, Bahman Dehnadi^b, Tobias Mohrmann^a and Rudi Rahn^c

^a Theoretische Physik 1, Center for Particle Physics Siegen, Universität Siegen, Walter-Flex-Strasse 3, 57068 Siegen, Germany

> ^b Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

^e Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom

Abstract

We compute the N-jettiness soft function in hadronic collisions to next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in the strong-coupling expansion. Our calculation is based on an extension of the SoftSERVE framework to soft functions that involve an arbitrary number of lightlike Wilson lines. We present numerical results for 1-jettiness and 2-jettiness, and illustrate that our formalism carries over to a generic number of jets by calculating a few benchmark points for 3-jettiness. We also perform a detailed analytic study of the asymptotic behaviour of the soft-function coefficients at the edges of phase space, when one of the jets becomes collinear to another jet or beam direction, and comment on previous calculations of the N-jettiness soft function.

2312.11626 2312.06496

N³LL resummation of one-jettiness for Z-boson plus jet production at hadron colliders

Simone Alioli,¹ Guido Bell,² Georgios Billis,¹ Alessandro Broggio,³ Bahman Dehnadi,⁴ Matthew A. Lim⁵ Giulia Marinelli^{1,4} Riccardo Nagar⁻¹ Davide Nanoletano.¹ and Rudi Rahn⁶

¹ Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca & INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 3, Milano 20126, Italy ²Theoretische Physik J. Center for Particle Physics Siegen, Universität Siegen, Germany ³ Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, Boltzmannossse 5, A-1090 Wien, Austria ⁴ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany ⁵ Department of Phasics and Astronomy, University of Sassex, Sassex House, Brighton, BN1 9RH, UK ⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK (Dated: December 12, 2023).

We present the resummation of one-jettiness for the colour-singlet plus let production process $pp \to (\gamma^*/Z \to \ell^+\ell^-) + \text{jet}$ at hadron colliders up to the fourth logarithmic order (N²LL). This is the first resummation at this order for processes involving three coloured partons at the Born level. We match our resummation formula to the corresponding fixed-order predictions, extending the validity of our results to regions of the phase space where further hard emissions are present. This result paves the way for the construction of next-to-next-to-leading order simulations for coloursinglet plus jet production matched to parton showers in the GENEVA framework.

L. INTRODUCTION

We define the one-jettiness resolution variable as [16]

The study of the production of a colour singlet system at large recoil is of crucial importance for the physics programme at the Large Hadron Collider. In particular, theoretical predictions for $\gamma^*/Z + \mathrm{i}$ et production are needed at higher precision to match the accuracy reached by experimental measurements of the Z boson transverse momentum (qr) spectrum. Combining next-to-next-toleading order (NNLO) predictions for $\gamma^*/Z + \text{jet}$ [1/6] with q_T resummation [7-14] provides an accurate description of this distribution over the whole kinematic range and can be used to extract α_s [15] and as a background for new physics searches.

The one-jettiness variable is a suitable event shape for colour singlet (L) + iet production which does not suffer

 $T_1 = \sum \min \left\{ \frac{2q_n - p_k}{Q_n}, \frac{2q_k - p_k}{Q_k}, \frac{2q_j - p_k}{Q_k} \right\},$ (1) with $q_{a,b}=x_{a,b}E_{\rm cm}n_{a,b}/2$ = $E_{a,b}\,n_{a,b}$ and $q_J=E_J\,n_J,$ where E_r is the let energy. The heam directions are

 $n_{a,b} = (1,0,0,\pm 1)$ while the massless jet direction is $n_A = (1, \vec{n}_A)$. In eq. (I) the sum runs over the four-momenta p_k of all partons which are part of the hadronic final state. We use a geometric measure where $Q_i = 2\alpha E_i$ with $i = a, b, J$ is proportional to the energy of the beam or jet momenta. This particular choice is preferable because it is independent of the total jet energy, but makes the one-lettiness definition frame dependent. Results in frames that differ by a longitudinal boost can be obtained by making different choices for a. In this work we show results for T. in the laborators

Definition

$$
\mathcal{T}_N = \sum_i \min \left\{ n_a \cdot k_i, n_b \cdot k_i, n_1 \cdot k_i, \ldots, n_N \cdot k_i \right\}
$$

- slicing variable for higher-order calculations
- jet resolution variable in Geneva MC framework
- \blacktriangleright jet substructure studies

Factorisation Factorisation Exercise 2018 Exercise 2018 Exercise 2018 Exercise 2019 EXERCISE 2019

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dT_N} = \sum_{i,j,\{k_n\}} B_i \otimes B_j \otimes \prod_{n=1}^N J_{k_n} \otimes \text{tr}[H_{ij \to \{k_n\}} * S_{ij \to \{k_n\}}] + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T}_N)
$$

⇒ need NNLO soft function for generic number of jets N

Calculation

$$
S(\tau,\mu) = \sum_{i \in X} \langle 0 | (S_{n_1} S_{n_2} \dots S_{n_N})^{\dagger} | X \rangle \langle X | S_{n_1} S_{n_2} \dots S_{n_N} | 0 \rangle \underbrace{\mathcal{M}(\tau; \{k_i\})}_{\text{max}}
$$

soft Wilson lines **N-intervalsoft** N-jettiness measure

$$
S^{(2,\text{RV})}(\varepsilon) = C_A \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j S^{(2,\text{Re})}_{ij}(\varepsilon)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{i \neq j \neq k} (\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{ip} - \lambda_{jp}) f_{ABC} \mathbf{T}_i^A \mathbf{T}_j^B \mathbf{T}_k^C S^{(2,\text{Im})}_{ijk}(\varepsilon)
$$

$$
S^{(2,RR)}(\varepsilon) = T_F n_f \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j S^{(2,q\bar{q})}_j(\varepsilon)
$$

+
$$
C_A \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j S^{(2,qg)}_{ij}(\varepsilon)
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{k \neq l} \{ \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j, \mathbf{T}_k \cdot \mathbf{T}_l \} S^{(1)}_{ij}(\varepsilon) S^{(1)}_{kl}(\varepsilon)
$$

⇒ extent SoftSERVE strategy to non-back-to-back Wilson lines [GB, Rahn, Talbert 18]

one kinematic variable

$$
n_{13}\equiv n_1\cdot n_3=1-\cos\theta_{13}
$$

 \Rightarrow scan 24 configurations

Two-loop coefficient in distribution space

very good agreement with previous

calculations [Boughezal, Liu, Petriello 15; Campbell, Ellis, Mondini, Williams 17]

can one understand the divergent

behaviour at the endpoints?

one kinematic variable

$$
n_{13}\equiv n_1\cdot n_3=1-\cos\theta_{13}
$$

 \Rightarrow scan 24 configurations

Two-loop coefficient in distribution space

analytic method-of-regions analysis to derive the leading-power asymptotics

one kinematic variable

$$
n_{13}\equiv n_1\cdot n_3=1-\cos\theta_{13}
$$

 \Rightarrow scan 24 configurations

Two-loop coefficient in distribution space

- analytic method-of-regions analysis to derive the leading-power asymptotics
- numerics stable in deep endpoint region
- relevant for soft functions that are defined in highly boosted frame

three kinematic variables

 $\theta_{13}, \theta_{14}, \varphi_4 \rightarrow n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{34}$

 \Rightarrow scan 28.776 configurations

Two-loop coefficient in Laplace space

- tripoles differ from [Jin, Liu 19]
- results recently confirmed

by independent calculation

[Agarwal, Melnikov, Pedron 24]

three kinematic variables

 $\theta_{13}, \theta_{14}, \varphi_4 \rightarrow n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{34}$

 \Rightarrow scan 28.776 configurations

Two-loop coefficient in Laplace space

3-jettiness involves 10 dipoles, 60 tripoles and \sim 45 · 10⁶ configurations ...

Z+jet production

First N3LL resummation of a 1-jet observable

- ▶ N3LL matched to NLO prediction for $\gamma^*/Z+2$ jets production
- \triangleright enables the construction of NNLO+PS generators for processes with one jet within the Geneva framework
- \triangleright similar implementation in $MIND-PS$

generator in progress

[Ebert, Rottoli, Wiesemann, Zanderighi, Zanoli 24]

e ⁺ e [−] event shapes

Effects of Renormalon Scheme and Perturbative Scale Choices on Determinations of the Strong Coupling from e^+e^- Event Shapes Guido Bell.^{1, [4]} Christopher Lee.^{2, [4]} Yiannis Makris.^{2, 3} Jim Talbert.^{4, 2, [4]} and Bin Yan^{2, 5, [5]} ¹Theoretische Physik 1. Center for Particle Physics Siegen. Universität Siegen. Walter-Fler-Strasse 3, 57068 Siegen, Germany ²Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, P.O. Box 1663, MS B283, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA ³ INFN Sezione di Pavia, via Bassi 6, 1-27100 Pavia, Italu ⁴DAMTP University of Cambridge Wilberforce Road Cambridge CB3 0WA United Kingdom ⁵Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China We study the role of renormalon cancellation schemes and perturbative scale choices in extractions of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(mz)$ and the leading non-perturbative shift parameter Ω_1 from resummed predictions of the e^+e^- event shape thrust. We calculate the thrust distribution to N³LL' resummed accuracy in Soft-Collinear Effective Theory (SCET) matched to the fixed-order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ prediction, and perform a new high-statistics computation of the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ matching in **EERAD3**. although we do not include the latter in our final α , fits due to some observed systematics that require further investigation. We are primarily interested in testing the phenomenological impact sourced from varying amongst three renormalon cancellation schemes and two sets of perturbative scale profile choices. We then perform a global fit to available data spanning center-of-mass energies

between 35-207 GeV in each scenario. Relevant subsets of our results are consistent with prior SCEThased extractions of α -(m_{φ}), but we are also led to a number of novel observations. Notably, we find that the combined effect of altering the renormalon cancellation scheme and profile parameters can lead to few-percent-level impacts on the extracted values in the $\alpha_* - \Omega_1$ plane, indicating a potentially important systematic theory uncertainty that should be accounted for. We also observe that fits performed over windows dominated by dijet events are typically of a higher quality than those that extend into the far tails of the distributions, possibly motivating future fits focused more heavily in this region. Finally, we discuss how different estimates of the three-loop soft matching coefficient c_0^3 can also lead to measurable changes in the fitted $\{\alpha_s, \Omega_1\}$ values.

2311.03990

α*^s* determination

Event-shape fits tend to give low value of α*^s*

$$
\alpha_s(M_Z) = \begin{cases}\n0.1179 \pm 0.0009 & \text{PDG world average} \\
0.1135 \pm 0.0011 & \text{Thrust} \\
0.1123 \pm 0.0015 & \text{C-parameter}\n\end{cases}
$$

Recent focus: Non-pert. effects from 3-jet configurations

C-parameter in symmetric 3-jet limit [Luisoni, Monni, Salam 20]

general renormalon analysis [Caola, Ferrario Ravasio, Limatola,

Melnikov, Nason 21; + Ozcelik 22]

implementation in α_s **fit** [Nason, Zanderighi 23]

Our goal: scrutinise if the systematic uncertainties of the 2-jet predictions are under control

Perturbative treatment

Thrust distribution

peak region

 \blacktriangleright very sensitive to non-perturbative effects

tail region

 \blacktriangleright resummation of Sudakov logarithms

far-tail region

 \blacktriangleright fixed-order QCD, but few events

Perturbative treatment

Thrust distribution

peak region

very sensitive to non-perturbative effects

tail region

 \blacktriangleright resummation of Sudakov logarithms

far-tail region

 \blacktriangleright fixed-order QCD, but few events

N³LL' resummation using SCET technology

► computation of missing 3-loop soft constant on-going

■ [Baranowski, Delto, Melnikov, Wang 22;

hadronisation Matched to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{S}}}^2)$ fixed-order prediction

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ region: strongly affected by higher-order $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ high-statistics runs reveal instabilities in EERAD3 [Gehrmann-De Ridder, Gehrmann,

+ Pikelner 24; Chen, Feng, Jia, Liu 22]

Glover, Heinrich 14]

Non-perturbative treatment

Gapped shape function

$$
S(k,\mu_S) = \int dk' \underbrace{S_{PT}(k-k',\mu_S)}_{\text{mod}} \underbrace{f_{\text{mod}}(k'-2\overline{\Delta})}_{\text{mod}}
$$

perturbative soft function shape-function model

gap parameter $\overline{\Delta}$ models minimal soft momentum of hadronic final state

⇒ *S_{PT}* and $\overline{\Delta}$ suffer from renormalon ambiguities in the MS scheme [Hoang, Stewart 07]

Non-perturbative treatment

Gapped shape function

$$
S(k, \mu_S) = \int dk' \underbrace{S_{PT}(k - k', \mu_S)}_{\text{perturbative soft function} \text{ shape-function model}}
$$

gap parameter $\overline{\Delta}$ models minimal soft momentum of hadronic final state

⇒ *S_{PT}* and $\overline{\Delta}$ suffer from renormalon ambiguities in the MS scheme [Hoang, Stewart 07]

Renormalon subtraction

$$
\overline{\Delta} = \underbrace{\Delta(\mu_{\delta}, \mu_{R})}_{\text{renormalon free}} + \underbrace{\delta(\mu_{\delta}, \mu_{R})}_{\text{cancels renormalon ambiguity of } S_{PT}}
$$

⇒ class of schemes that is free of leading renormalon [Bachu, Hoang, Mateu, Pathak, Stewart 20]

$$
\frac{d^n}{d(\ln \nu)^n} \ln \left[\widetilde{S}_{PT}(\nu, \mu_{\delta}) e^{-2\nu \delta(\mu_{\delta}, \mu_R)} \right]_{\nu = \xi/\mu_R} = 0
$$

Scheme choices

Two renormalon schemes

R Scheme:
$$
\{n, \xi, \mu_{\delta}, \mu_R\} = \{1, e^{-\gamma_E}, \mu_S, R\}
$$
 used in previous α_s fits
\n**R^{*} Scheme:** $\{n, \xi, \mu_{\delta}, \mu_R\} = \{1, e^{-\gamma_E}, R^*, R^*\}$ new scheme

Two perturbative scale choices

2018 scales more conservative than those used in previous fits

Scheme choices

Two renormalon schemes

R Scheme:
$$
\{n, \xi, \mu_{\delta}, \mu_R\} = \{1, e^{-\gamma_E}, \mu_S, R\}
$$
 used in previous α_s fits
\n**R^{*} Scheme:** $\{n, \xi, \mu_{\delta}, \mu_R\} = \{1, e^{-\gamma_E}, R^*, R^*\}$ new scheme

Two perturbative scale choices

2018 scales more conservative than those used in previous fits

Effective shift of perturbative distribution

corresponds to \lesssim 10% modification

of leading 2-jet power correction

Results

 χ^2 fit to global thrust data with $\pmb{Q} \in [35, 207]$ GeV

- siginificant scheme dependence
- spread of $\{\alpha_s, \Omega_1\}$ values much larger than R₂₀₁₀ ellipse would suggest
- we also find that fits which focus more on dijet events show better fit quality
- \Rightarrow sign of additional systematic theory uncertainties?

Next-to-leading power

Soft-overlap contribution to $B_c \to \eta_c$ form factors: diagrammatic resummation of double logarithms

Guido Bell.⁶ Philipp Böer.^{6,6} Thorsten Feldmann.⁶ Dennis Horstmann⁶ and Vladyslav Shtabovenko[«]

^aTheoretische Physik 1. Center for Particle Physics Siewn. Universität Siegen, 57068 Siegen, Germany ^b PRISMA⁺ Cluster of Excellence & Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg Universität, 55099 Mainz, Germany E-mail: bell@nhysik.uni-siegen.de, phoeer@uni-mainz.de. thorsten foldmann@mi-siegen de horstmann@nhysik.uni-siegen de shtabovenko@physik.uni-siegen.de

Using diagrammatic resummation techniques, we investigate the double-logarithmic series of the "soft-overlap" contribution to $B_c \rightarrow \eta_c$ transition form factors at large hadronic recoil, assuming the scale hierarchy $m_B \gg m_c \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$. In this case, the hadronic bound states can be treated in the non-relativistic approximation and the relevant hadronic matrix elements can be computed perturbatively. This setup defines one of the simplest examples to study the problem of endpoint singularities appearing in the factorization of exclusive B-decay amplitudes. We find that the leading double logarithms arise from a peculiar interplay of soft-quark "endpoint logarithms" from ladder diagrams with energy-ordered spectator-quark propagators, as well as

2309.08410 2312.14089

FeynCalc 10: Do multiloop integrals dream of computer codes?

Vladyslav Shtabovenko^{ab,«} Rolf Mertig^o^{*}* Frederik Orellana^{d,}

⁴Theoretische Physik 1, Center for Particle Physics Siegen, Universität Siegen, Walter-Flex-Str. 3, 57068 Siegen, Germany ⁸Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphusik (TTP). Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). Wolformo Coole Straße 1, 76131 Korkrube, Cormony ⁵ClumVision CashH. Barycostr. 10, 10407 Berlin, Cermany ⁴Technical University of Denmark, Anker Envelopment 1. 2800 Kes. Lungby, Denmark

Abetract

In this work we report on a new version of FEYNCALC, a MATHEMATICA package widely used in the particle physics community for manipulating quantum field theoretical expressions and calculating Feynman diagrams. Highlights of the new version include greatly improved capabilities for doing multiloop calculations, including topology identification and minimization, optimized tensor reduction, rewriting of scalar products in terms of inverse denominators, detection of equivalent or scaleless loop integrals, derivation of Symanzik polynomials, Feynman parametric as well as graph representation for master integrals and initial support for handling differential equations and iterated integrals. In addition to that, the new release also features completely rewritten routines for color algebra simplifications, inclusion of symmetry relations between arguments of

Next-to-leading power

Significant interest in extending SCET technology to subleading power

Key problem

- ► naive factorisation may lead to endpoint-divergent convolutions $\int_0^1 dz h(z) j(z) = \infty$
-

 \Rightarrow will resort to diagramatic techniques in the following

$B_c \rightarrow \eta_c$ form factors

Heavy-to-light transition in non-relativistic approximation $(m_b \gg m_c \gg \Lambda_{\rm OCD})$

Double logarithmic enhancement at large recoil $\gamma \equiv v \cdot v' = \mathcal{O}(m_b/m_c)$

 \Rightarrow non-trivial interplay of soft-gluon and soft-quark corrections

What is the all-order structure of the double logarithmic series?

Soft-quark corrections

In light-cone gauge all soft-quark corrections arise from energy-ordered ladder diagrams

Structure familiar from electron-muon backward scattering [GB, Boer, Feldmann 22]

$$
f_m(\ell_+, \ell_-) = 1 + \underbrace{\frac{\alpha_S C_F}{2\pi} \int_{\ell_-}^{p_2} \frac{dk_-}{k_-} \int_{m_0^2/k_-}^{\ell_+} \frac{dk_+}{k_+} f_m(k_+, k_-)}_{\text{rung of a ladder}}
$$

- more complicated Dirac structure leads to mixing effects
- soft-gluon corrections modify each rung in the ladder

Integral equations

Double-logarithmic series is governed by coupled integral equations

$$
F(\gamma) \simeq \xi_0 \, \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \ln^2(2\gamma)\right\} \, \left(24 \, f(m_c, m_c) - 4\right)
$$

$$
f(\ell_{+}, \ell_{-}) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{2\pi} \int_{\ell_{-}}^{\rho_{2}} \frac{dk_{-}}{k_{-}} \int_{m_{G}^{2}/k_{-}}^{\ell_{+}} \frac{dk_{+}}{k_{+}} e^{-S(k_{+}, k_{-})} \left(f(k_{+}, k_{-}) - \frac{C_{A} - 2C_{F}}{4C_{F}} f_{m}(k_{+}, k_{-}) + \frac{C_{A}}{4C_{F}} \right)
$$
 mixing

$$
f_m(\ell_+,\ell_-) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \int_{\ell_-}^{\rho_2} \frac{dk_-}{k_-} \int_{m_0^2/k_-}^{\ell_+} \frac{dk_+}{k_+} e^{-S(k_+,k_-)} f_m(k_+,k_-)
$$

soft gluons

- analytic solution unknown
- iterative solution up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^{80})$
- **Exercise** asymptotic behaviour for $\alpha_s \ln^2(2\gamma) \to \infty$
- I recover bottom-induced $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and electron-muon backward scattering in certain limits

Fixed-order checks

Does this reproduce the correct 2-loop and 3-loop logarithms?

- \triangleright reconstruct logarithms with method-of-regions techniques
- \Rightarrow requires computation of purely hard-collinear coefficient (massless, 5 legs, 3 scales)

Fixed-order checks

Does this reproduce the correct 2-loop and 3-loop logarithms?

- reconstruct logarithms with method-of-regions techniques
- \Rightarrow requires computation of purely hard-collinear coefficient (massless, 5 legs, 3 scales)

Automated toolchain: QGRAF, LoopScalla, FeynCalc, FIRE, pySecDec (Alibrary)

- number of master integrals: 5 (1-loop), 88 (2-loop), 24732 (3-loop)
- \Rightarrow 2-loop check works, 3-loop in progress

FeynCalc 10 **[Stabovenko, Mertig, Orellana 23]** [Shtabovenko, Mertig, Orellana 23]

- \triangleright greatly improved capabilities for multiloop calculations
- I topology identification, optimized tensor reduction, detection of equivalent / scaleless integrals, derivation of Symanzik polynomials, support for differential equations, ...

Conclusions

Project B2a: Automated calculations in SCET

- \triangleright NNLO soft function for N-jettiness in hadronic collisions
- \triangleright N3LL resummation for one-jettiness in $Z +$ jet production
- FeynCalc goes multiloop
- Automated calculation of NNLO beam functions in momentum space

Project B1e: Power corrections in collider processes

- Non-perturbative corrections to event-shape variables
- Renormalon studies of top-quark observables
- Next-to-leading power soft-gluon corrections at one loop
- Interplay of soft-gluon and soft-quark corrections at next-to-leading power