



**TUM School of Natural Science** 

Bahaa Ilyas, in collaboration with

Bjorn Garbrecht (TUM), Carlos Tamarit (Mainz), Graham White (Southampton)



International Workshop on Baryon and Lepton Number Violation (BLV 2024)



One More Open Question:

## Matter-Anti-Matter Asymmetry

Among many different scenarios to explain the BAU in the universe we study Electroweak Baryogenesis, but why we choose EWBG?



# **Today's Goals**



Compare three methods for calculating CP-violating sources for Electroweak Baryogenesis.



Identify whether the sources induced by fermions mixing can be computed with different methods (spinor decomposition vs VEV-insertion approximation).



Provide a ready to plug equation of the CP-violating source to be used by Phenomenologists in their favourite model.

To do that we:

➢ Review Methods of CP-violating sources for EWBG.

➢ Present Modified dispersion relation are necessary for self-consistent calculations.

> Apply these onto a the case of mixing of 2 fermionic flavours.

3



Baryon Number violation: Sphaleron process.

C and CP violation: CP-odd phase in the mass matrix.



Departure from Thermodynamic equilibrium: first-order

electroweak phase transition.

4



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process



## Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process

**B**/+ **L** 

 $\Gamma_{ws} \sim 120 \ \alpha^5 7$ 

 $n_L$ 

v = 0

 $j^5_\mu$ 

 $Y_B$ 

 $\mathcal{V}_{W}$ 

X

 $\Gamma_{ws} \ll v$ 

2

12

# Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process

# $\overline{\Gamma}_{ws} \ll v$ $Y_B$

# Scenario:

- Electroweak symmetry breaking.
- Injection of CP violation into the symmetric phase.
- Conversion of left handed fermion number to Baryon number through the sphaleron process







 $Y_B$  9.2 × 10<sup>-11</sup>

# Part 1 Methods

#### **WKB Method** [Joyce, Prokopec, Turok] [Cline, Joyce, Kainulainen, Prokopec]

• Solve Dirac eq. with space-time mass with the WKB approximation

$$\begin{array}{l} \left(\mathrm{i}\partial - M^{\mathrm{H}}(z) - \mathrm{i}\gamma^{5}M^{\mathrm{A}}(z)\right)\Psi = 0 \quad \Psi_{s} \equiv e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t} \begin{pmatrix} L_{s} \\ R_{s} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \xi_{s}, \quad \sigma_{3}\xi_{s} \equiv s\xi_{s}, \quad , s = \pm. \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{dispersion relations} \\ \omega_{s} = \omega_{0} \mp s \frac{\theta'}{4\omega_{0}} \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{s} = \omega_{0} \mp s \frac{\theta'}{4\omega_{0}} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Using \ Canonical \ EOM:} \\ v_{g} = \frac{\partial \omega_{s}}{\partial k_{s}} \\ \vdots \\ w_{g} = -\frac{\partial \omega_{s}}{\partial x} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{k}_{s} = -\frac{\partial \omega_{s}}{\partial x} \\ \vdots \\ We \ get \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} F_{s} = \dot{k}_{s} = \omega_{s} \dot{v}_{g} = -\frac{m_{i} m_{i}'}{\omega_{s}} \pm s \frac{(m_{i}^{2} \theta')'}{2\omega_{0}^{2}}, \end{array} \end{array}$$

# Fluid equations in the WKB approximation

Boltzmann equations assumed to be of the form

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_g \cdot \partial_\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \partial_\mathbf{p}) f_i = C[f_i, f_j, \ldots].$$

- Particles and antiparticles are treated separately, resulting in different forces that depend on spin: CP violation.
- Taking moments of Boltzmann equations one derives fluid / diffusion equations for the particle asymmetries of different species

Getting the modified dispersion relations is **Simple**, but writing the Boltzmann is not from 1st principles.

# The closed time path formalism

**Time-dependent observables** in QFT can be related to a **path integration** over a **closed time path** 



# **CTP Propagators**

• **Propagators** carry indices a,b= ± from the time branches of the field insertions

$$iS_{ab}(x,y) = \langle T_{\mathcal{C}}\psi_a(x)\bar{\psi}_b(y)\rangle \equiv \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik(x-y)} i \frac{S_{ab}\left(k,\frac{x+y}{2}\right)}{W \text{ igner transf.}}$$

Contain info about the shell and number densities of propagating d.o.f.s

$$iS_{\rm free}^{+-}(k) \equiv iS_{\rm free}^{<}(k) = -2\pi\delta(k^2 - m^2)(k + m) \left[\theta(k^0)f(\mathbf{k}) - \theta(-k^0)(1 - \bar{f}(-\mathbf{k}))\right]$$

• They satisfy quantum equations of motion: **Schwinger-Dyson** eqs. in contour *C* 

$$\left[\mathrm{i}\partial \!\!\!/ - M^{\mathrm{H}} - \mathrm{i}\gamma^{5}M^{\mathrm{A}}\right]\mathrm{i}S^{ab}(x,y) = a\delta_{ab}\mathrm{i}\delta^{4}(x-y) + \sum_{c}c\int d^{4}z \Sigma^{ac}(x,z)\mathrm{i}S^{cb}(z,y)$$

This leads to Boltzmann / fluid equations from first principles!

Self-energy (1PI)

After

and for in the collision-less limit:

$$\left(\not\!\!k + \frac{i}{2}\not\!\!\partial - M^{\mathrm{H}}(z)e^{-i\diamond} - i\gamma^{5}M^{\mathrm{A}}(z)e^{-i\diamond}\right)S^{<}(k;z) = 0,$$

$$\begin{split} & \forall \mathsf{igner transf.} \\ \diamond = \frac{1}{2} \, \partial_k^{S_2} \partial_X^{S_1} - \frac{1}{2} \, \partial_k^{S_1} \partial_X^{S_2} + \frac{1}{2} \, \partial_X^{M_1} (\partial_k^{S_1} + \partial_k^{S_2}) - \frac{1}{2} \, \partial_X^{M_2} (\partial_k^{S_1} - \partial_k^{S_2}). \end{split}$$

# **CTP: VEV insertion approximation**

• Consider Schwinger-Dyson equation without a IPI self-energy and  $m = m_0 + \delta m(x)$ 

$$(i\partial - m_0 - \delta m(x)) iS^{ab}(x, y) = a\delta_{ab}i\delta^{(4)}(x - y)$$

• Start with solutions  $iS_0^{ab}$  to the homogeneous case m=m<sub>0</sub>

$$(i\partial - m_0) i S_0^{ab}(x, y) = a \delta_{ab} i \delta^{(4)}(x - y)$$

The first equation is solved then by a geometric series

$$S^{ab}(x,y) = S_0^{ab}(x,y) + \int d^4 z \, c \, S_0^{ac}(x,z) \, \delta M(z) \, S_0^{cb}(z,y)$$
  
+  $\int d^4 z \, d^4 w \, cd \, S_0^{ac}(x,z) \, \delta M(z) \, S_0^{cd}(z,w) \, \delta M(w) \, S_0^{db}(w,y) + \cdots$ 

# **CTP: VEV insertion approximation**

• δm(x) effects can be absorbed into a "self-energy-like" contribution in eq. for S<sup>ab</sup>

$$(i\partial - m_0 + \delta m(x)) i S^{ab}(x, y) = a \delta_{ab} i \delta^{(4)}(x - y)$$
$$(i\partial - m) S^{ab}(x, y) = a \delta^{ab} \delta^4(x - y) + \int d^4 z \, c \, \delta \Sigma_0^{ac}(x, z) S^{cb}(z, y),$$

$$\delta\Sigma_0^{ab}(x,y) = a\delta^{ab} \,\delta M\delta(x-y) + \delta M(x)S_0^{ab}(x,y) \,\delta M(y) + \int d^4z \,d\,\delta M(x)S_0^{ad}(x,z) \,\delta M(z) \,S_0^{db}(z,y) \,\delta M(y) + \dots$$

Schwinger Dyson equations can be solved in terms of powers of  $S_{0,\delta}M$ 

 $S_0 \propto \delta(k^0 - m_0^2)$  so the full mass shell cannot be directly recovered

# **CTP: Spin decomposition**

- For a planar wall in x,y directions,  $S_z$  is conserved
- Can expand propagators in structures that commute with S<sub>z</sub>

$$iS_{s}^{<} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{1} + sS^{z}\right)\left[s\mathbf{\gamma}^{3}\mathbf{\gamma}^{5}g_{0}^{s<} - s\mathbf{\gamma}^{3}g_{3}^{s<} + \mathbf{1}g_{1}^{s<} - i\mathbf{\gamma}^{5}g_{2}^{s,<}\right]$$

- Schwinger-Dyson equations solved in terms of functions  $g_i$
- Combining eqs. algebraic constraints that determine modified mass shells

$$(k^2 - m_{\text{eff}}^2(x))g_i^<(x,k) = 0 \Rightarrow g_i^<(x,k) \propto \delta(k^2 - m_{\text{eff}}^2(x))$$

$$\left(\not\!\!k + \frac{i}{2}\not\!\!\partial - M^{\mathrm{H}}(z)e^{-i\diamond} - i\gamma^{5}M^{\mathrm{A}}(z)e^{-i\diamond}\right)S^{<}(k;z) = 0,$$

$$\begin{split} &2\mathrm{i}\hat{k}^{0}g_{0}^{s}-2\mathrm{i}s\hat{k}^{z}g_{3}^{s}-2\mathrm{i}M^{\mathrm{H}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overleftarrow{\partial}\cdot\overrightarrow{\partial}_{k}}g_{1}^{s}-2\mathrm{i}M^{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overleftarrow{\partial}\cdot\overrightarrow{\partial}_{k}}g_{2}^{s}=0\,,\\ &2\mathrm{i}\hat{k}^{0}g_{1}^{s}-2s\hat{k}^{z}g_{2}^{s}-2\mathrm{i}M^{\mathrm{H}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overleftarrow{\partial}\cdot\overrightarrow{\partial}_{k}}g_{0}^{s}+2M^{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overleftarrow{\partial}\cdot\overrightarrow{\partial}_{k}}g_{3}^{s}=0\,,\\ &2\mathrm{i}\hat{k}^{0}g_{2}^{s}+2s\hat{k}^{z}g_{1}^{s}-2M^{H}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overleftarrow{\partial}\cdot\overrightarrow{\partial}_{k}}g_{3}^{s}-2\mathrm{i}M^{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overleftarrow{\partial}\cdot\overrightarrow{\partial}_{k}}g_{0}^{s}=0\,,\\ &2\mathrm{i}\hat{k}^{0}g_{3}^{s}-2\mathrm{i}s\hat{k}^{z}g_{0}^{s}+2M^{\mathrm{H}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overleftarrow{\partial}\cdot\overrightarrow{\partial}_{k}}g_{2}^{s}-2M^{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\overleftarrow{\partial}\cdot\overrightarrow{\partial}_{k}}g_{1}^{s}=0\,.\end{split}$$

[Kainulainen, Prokopec, Schmidt, Weinstock, Konstandin]

After expanding to second order in mass gradients, finally can write the master kinetic equation:

$$k^{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_{L}^{s} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left[ MM^{\dagger}, g_{L}^{s} \right]}_{\text{mixing term}}$$

$$= \underbrace{-\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left( MM^{\dagger} \right)', \partial_{k^{z}} g_{L}^{s} \right\}}_{\text{classical force}} - \underbrace{-\frac{1}{4k^{z}} \left( M'g_{R}^{s}M^{\dagger} + Mg_{R}^{s}M'^{\dagger} \right) + \frac{1}{4k^{z}} \left( M'M^{\dagger}g_{L}^{s} + g_{L}^{s}MM'^{\dagger} \right)}_{\text{semiclassical force}}$$

$$= \underbrace{+\frac{i}{8} \left( M''M^{\dagger}\partial_{k^{3}} \frac{g_{L}^{s}}{k^{z}} - \partial_{k^{z}} \frac{g_{L}^{s}}{k^{z}}MM''^{\dagger} \right) - \frac{i}{8} \left( M''\partial_{k^{z}} \frac{g_{R}^{s}}{k^{z}}M^{\dagger} - M\partial_{k^{3}} \frac{g_{R}^{s}}{k^{z}}M''^{\dagger} \right)}_{\text{semiclassical force}}$$

$$= \underbrace{-\frac{1}{16} \left[ \left( MM^{\dagger} \right)'', \partial_{k^{z}} g_{L}^{s} \right] + \frac{i}{8k^{z}} \left[ M'M'^{\dagger}, \partial_{k^{z}} g_{L}^{s} \right] = 0.$$

$$= \underbrace{\partial_{z} j_{5}^{z}(k, z)}_{z} = \left( M^{H}M^{H'} + M^{A}M^{A'} \right) \frac{1}{k^{z}} \partial_{k^{z}} j_{5}^{z}(k, z) + \left( M^{H}(\partial_{z}^{2}M^{A}) - M^{A}(\partial_{z}^{2}M_{H}) \right) \frac{1}{2k^{z}} \partial_{k^{z}} \left( \frac{j_{N}^{3}(k, z)}{k^{z}} \right).$$

$$= \underbrace{m_{a}^{2}(z) = m^{2}(z) - \frac{s}{k^{0}} (M^{H}(z)\partial_{z}M^{A}(z) - M^{A}(z)\partial_{z}M^{H}(z)), \quad k^{0} = \operatorname{sign}(k^{0}\sqrt{(k^{0})^{2} - (k^{1})^{2} - (k^{2})^{2}}$$

$$Cur input: Modified shell precisely leads to cancellation of \delta' terms!$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm sc}(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\beta}{2} v_w f_0(\omega_0)(1-f_0) \left[ \delta F + \frac{F_0}{\omega_0} \delta \omega + F_0 \beta (1-f_0) \delta \omega + \frac{F_0 \omega_0}{\omega_0^2 - \mathbf{k}_{||}^2} \delta \omega \right]$$

# Part 2 Different Sources in Literature

# Motivation: CP-violation in the fluid equations

#### **Considering fermions**

• The vector Current:

• The axial current:

$$\partial_z j^z = v_w \, \partial_z \bar{n} - D \, \partial_z^2 \bar{n} = \mathcal{S}^{\text{collision}}$$

[Musolf, Chung, Tulin,...., Konstandin, Prokopec, ...etc]

$$\partial_z j_5^z = v_w \, \partial_z n - D \, \partial_z^2 n = - \mathcal{S}^{\text{flow}} + \mathcal{S}^{\text{collision}}_{\substack{\text{[Carena, Seco..., Kainulainen, Konstandin, Prokopec, Schmidt, Weinstock]}}$$

Liouville/Schwinger Dyson equations (microscopic kinetic equations)

Boltzmann-like kinetic equation for distribution functions Fluid equations for number densities/ bulk flows containing Particle densities Generated through the CP violating source.

The

Asymmetry

CPV sources in the literature					
Source type	Methods	Resonant enhancement	Gradient order		
Single flavour	WKB Spin decom.	No $F_s$ BUD	2 2	[Cline, Joyce, Kainulainen, Prokopec] [Kainulainen, Prokopec, Schmidt, Weinstock]	
Multi-flavour	WKB Spin decom. VIA	No $F_s$ Diag. sources are resonant but effect compensated by flavour oscillations $j^z, j^z_5$ Yes $\partial_z j^z$ [Postma, van de Vis, White]	2 1+ 1	[Cline, Joyce, Kainulainen] 2 [Konstandin, Prokopec, Schmidt, Seco] [Riotto][Carena, Moreno, Quiros, Seco, Wagner] [Lee,Cirigliano, Ramsey-Musolf]	
Modified dispersion relations are key		No modified shell is needed			

# Part 3 What we found!

# We do the same thing but now for two fermion flavours:

We consider a 2 fermion system with CP-odd phases present in mixing terms

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & e^{i\varphi}v_b(z) \\ v_a(z)e^{i\gamma} & m_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\bar{\psi}(M^{\mathrm{H}}(x) + \mathrm{i}\gamma^5 M^{\mathrm{A}}(x))\psi \quad \begin{cases} M^{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left(M + M^{\dagger}\right) \\ M^{A} = \frac{1}{2}\left(M - M^{\dagger}\right) \end{cases}$$

- Have computed CPV source  $\partial_{\mu} j_5^{\mu}$  with two different methods:
  - spin decomposition
  - VIA expansion

Agreement up to  $\mathcal{O}$  (v<sup>3</sup>, $\partial_z^3$ )

# 2 fermion mixing: CPV source



Ready to plug equations for model builders and phenomenologists

# The source

## For a simple Bino-Higgsino system The source is:

$$M_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & e^{i\varphi}v_b(z) \\ & & \\ e^{i\gamma}v_a(z) & |\mu| \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{11}^{\text{RM}}(\mathbf{k}, x) = -\beta v_w f_{11}^0 \left(1 - f_{11}^0\right) \frac{M_1 |\mu| \left(2v'_a v'_b + v_b v''_a + v_a v''_b\right) \sin(\phi)}{\left(M_1^2 - |\mu|^2\right) \tilde{\omega}_{0,1} \,\omega_{0,1}}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{22}^{\text{RM}}(\mathbf{k}, x) = +\beta v_w f_{22}^0 \left(1 - f_{22}^0\right) \frac{M_1 |\mu| \left(2v'_a v'_b + v_b v''_a + v_a v''_b\right) \sin(\phi)}{\left(M_1^2 - |\mu|^2\right) \tilde{\omega}_{0,2} \,\omega_{0,2}}$$





#### Conclusions

We have obtained a new consistency condition for the derivation of Boltzmann equations: all terms proportional to  $\delta'$  must cancel

For quantum fermions, this requires modified dispersion relations

Mass shell can be inferred from constrain equations nontrivial check

Computing the modified dispersion relation essential in CTP as in WKB, and cannot be done with the VIA approach

We have derived CPV sources in a system of 2 mixing fermions in the CTP formalism

**VIA** and **spin decomposition** calculations agree, consistency condition satisfied

Resonance not present when summing over flavours

Sources contributing to **flavour sum** have the structure of **WKB** semiclassical force

# Thank you

# A consistency check

- In the quantum case, the mass of on-shell excitations can be computed with constrain eqs.
- Cancellation of  $\delta'$  in the kinetic equations is then a nontrivial consistency check
  - **Unambiguous Boltzmann equations** including CPV sources **in the CTP formalism require** to compute the **modified dispersion relation**, similar to the **WKB** case

# Trivial shell: classical particle

• Consider a classical point particle with space-time dependent mass. E.o.m.s are

$$k^{\mu} = m(x) \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} \Rightarrow \frac{dk^{\nu}}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial m(x)}{\partial x_{\nu}}$$

• With  $g(x,k) = \delta(k^2 - m^2(x))f(x,\mathbf{k})$  the classical phase-space density, Liouville's theorem gives

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{d\tau}g(x,k) = \delta(k^2 - m^2(x)) \left(\frac{k^{\mu}}{m} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{k},x)}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \frac{dk^{\mu}}{d\tau} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{k},x)}{\partial k^{\mu}}\right) \\ + 2k_{\mu}f(\mathbf{k},x)\delta'(k^2 - m^2(x)) \left(\frac{dk^{\mu}}{d\tau} - \frac{\partial m}{\partial x_{\mu}}\right) \end{split}$$

# Nontrivial shell: single fermion

Consider a quantum fermion with

 $\mathcal{L} \supset -\bar{\psi}(M^{\mathrm{H}}(x) + \mathrm{i}\gamma^{5}M^{\mathrm{A}}(x))\psi$ 

• The spin decomposition method gives a CPV source in static bubble frame:

 $\partial_z j_5^z(k,z) = \left( M^H M^{H'} + M^A M^{A'} \right) \frac{1}{k^z} \partial_{k^z} j_5^z(k,z) + \left( M^H (\partial_z^2 M^A) - M^A (\partial_z^2 M_H) \right) \frac{1}{2k^z} \partial_{k^z} \left( \frac{j_N^3(k,z)}{k^z} \right)$ as well as a modified shell

$$m_s^2(z) = m^2(z) - \frac{s}{\tilde{k^0}} \left( M^H(z) \partial_z M^A(z) - M^A(z) \partial_z M^H(z) \right), \quad \tilde{k}^0 \equiv \operatorname{sign}(k^0) \sqrt{(k^0)^2 - (k^1)^2 - (k^2)^2}$$
  
[Kainulainen, Prokopec, Schmidt, Weinstock]

-

• Our input: Modified shell precisely leads to cancellation of  $\delta'$  terms!

# 2 fermion mixing: Consistency check

The consistency check requires to compute the modified dispersion relation

$$k^{2} = (m_{i} + \delta m_{i})^{2}$$
  

$$\delta m_{1}^{s} = -\frac{sm_{2}\sin(\gamma + \phi)(v_{b}v_{a}' + v_{a}v_{b}')}{2(m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2})\sqrt{k_{z}^{2} + m_{1}^{2}}} + (s\text{-independent}) + \mathcal{O}(v^{3}, vv'', v'v'),$$
  

$$\delta m_{2}^{s} = -\frac{sm_{1}\sin(\gamma + \phi)(v_{b}v_{a}' + v_{a}v_{b}')}{2(m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2})\sqrt{k_{z}^{2} + m_{2}^{2}}} + (s\text{-independent}) + \mathcal{O}(v^{3}, vv'', v'v'),$$

This results lead to the cancellation of  $\delta'$  terms!!

Consistent Boltzmann eq.

Terms that give nonzero total source lead to force terms in Boltzmann eqs.  $\propto m_i \partial_z(\delta m_i)$  exactly as in the WKB formalism

## Using off-diagonal components:

Exchanging  $L \leftrightarrow R$ ,  $M \leftrightarrow M^{\dagger}$ , we can write the sought-for divergence of the chiral current  $\partial_z j_5^z = -\sum s(\partial_z g_R^s + \partial_z g_L^s).$ 

$$\partial_z j_5^z = -\sum_{s=\pm 1} s(\partial_z g_R^s + \partial_z g_L^s).$$

But the main objective is to write the divergence of the chiral current along the diagonal  $\partial_z j_{5,ii}^z$ , in terms of the diagonal number currents.

So to do so, we need to solve for (  $g_{R/L,ij}^s^2$ ,  $i \neq j$  ) up to the same order, so we make the following expansion:

$$g_{R/L,ij}^{s} = g_{R/L,ij}^{s,(0)} + g_{R/L,ij}^{s,(1)} + g_{R/L,ij}^{s,(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\delta m'^{3}, \delta m'' \delta m', \delta m'''),$$

$$\begin{split} g_{R,12}^{s,(0)} &= \frac{\left(m_2 \delta m_a^{\dagger} + \delta m_b m_1\right) \left(g_{R,11}^s - g_{R,22}^s\right)}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^2), \\ g_{R,21}^{s,(0)} &= \frac{\left(m_1 \delta m_b^{\dagger} + \delta m_a m_2\right) \left(g_{R,11}^s - g_{R,22}^s\right)}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^2), \\ g_{L,12}^{s,(0)} &= \frac{\left(m_1 \delta m_a^{\dagger} + \delta m_b m_2\right) \left(g_{L,11}^s - g_{L,22}^s\right)}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^2), \\ g_{L,21}^{s,(0)} &= \frac{\left(m_2 \delta m_b^{\dagger} + \delta m_a m_1\right) \left(g_{L,11}^s - g_{L,22}^s\right)}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^2). \end{split}$$

45

# The resonant mixing source:

$$\begin{split} (\partial_z j_5^z)_{11} &= \sum_{s=\pm} \left[ -\frac{2s \left( v_a v_a' - v_b v_b' \right)}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \left( g_{3,11}^s - g_{3,22}^s \right) \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{2s \sin(\gamma + \varphi) m_1 m_2}{k^z (m_1^2 - m_2^2)} (2v_a' v_b' + v_b v_a'' + v_a v_b'') \left[ \frac{1}{2(k^z)^2} (g_{3,11}^s - k^z \partial_{k^z} g_{3,11}^s) \right. \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} (g_{3,22}^s - g_{3,11}^s) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^3, \delta m \delta m''', \delta m' \delta m'), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (\partial_z j_5^z)_{22} &= \sum_{s=\pm} \left[ \frac{2s \left( v_a v_a' - v_b v_b' \right)}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \left( g_{3,11}^s - g_{3,22}^s \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{2s \sin(\gamma + \varphi) m_1 m_2}{k^z (m_1^2 - m_2^2)} (2v_a' v_b' + v_b v_a'' + v_a v_b'') \left[ \frac{1}{2(k^z)^2} (g_{3,22}^s - k^z \partial_{k^z} g_{3,22}^s) \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} (g_{3,22}^s - g_{3,11}^s) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^3, \delta m \delta m''', \delta m'' \delta m') \\ (\partial_z j_5^z)_{1,1} &= \frac{\mathrm{i}(v_a v_a' - v_b v_b')}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \left( \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{1,1} - \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{2,2} \right) \\ &- \frac{\mathrm{i} \sin \varphi m_1 m_2}{k_z (m_1^2 - m_2^2)} (2v_a' v_b' + v_b v_a'' + v_a v_b'') \left[ \frac{1}{2k_z^2} \left( \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{1,1} - k_z \partial_{k_z} \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{1,1} \right) \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \left( \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{2,2} - \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{1,1} \right) \right], \\ (\partial_z j_5^z)_{2,2} &= -\frac{\mathrm{i}(v_a v_a' - v_b v_b')}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \left( \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{1,1} - \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{2,2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{i} \sin \varphi m_1 m_2}{k_z (m_1^2 - m_2^2)} (2v_a' v_b' + v_b v_a'' + v_a v_b'') \left[ \frac{1}{2k_z^2} \left( \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{2,2} - k_z \partial_{k_z} \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{2,2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{i} \sin \varphi m_1 m_2}{k_z (m_1^2 - m_2^2)} (2v_a' v_b' + v_b v_a'' + v_a v_b'') \left[ \frac{1}{2k_z^2} \left( \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{2,2} - k_z \partial_{k_z} \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{2,2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \left( \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{1,1} - \mathrm{Tr}[\gamma^3 S_0^<]_{2,2} \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

31

#### Writing an appropriate ansatz from the constraint equations:

From the constraints equations to the second order in mass gradients, we can write

$$g_{0,ii}^{s} = \sum_{j} c_{ij}^{s}(k,x) \,\delta(k^{2} - m_{j}^{2} - 2m_{j}\delta m_{j}^{s}).$$

$$g_{3,ii}^{s,(0)} = \frac{k^z s \tilde{k}_0}{k^{z^2} + m_i^2} g_{0,ii} = 2\pi \frac{k^z s}{\tilde{k}_0} \,\delta(k^2 - m_j^2 - 2m_j \delta m_j^s) \,c_{ii}^s(k,x)$$

where,  $c_{12}^s = O(v^2)c_{22}^s$ ,  $c_{21}^s = O(v^2)c_{11}^s$ , and

$$\delta m_1^s = -\frac{sm_2 \sin(\gamma + \phi) (v_b v_a' + v_a v_b')}{2 (m_1^2 - m_2^2) \sqrt{k_z^2 + m_1^2}} + (s\text{-independent}),$$
  
$$\delta m_2^s = -\frac{sm_1 \sin(\gamma + \phi) (v_b v_a' + v_a v_b')}{2 (m_2^2 - m_1^2) \sqrt{k_z^2 + m_2^2}} + (s\text{-independent}).$$

The coefficients  $c_{ij}^{s}(k, x)$  contain appropriate number distribution functions.

#### Back-up SM Sakharov



 $n_{\overline{L}}$ 

### Back-up SM Sakharov





#### Back up – Effective action

The 2PI effective action can be expressed as

$$\Gamma[\Delta, S] = B + i \operatorname{tr}[\Delta^{(0)^{-1}}\Delta] - i \operatorname{tr}[S^{(0)^{-1}}S] + i \operatorname{tr}\log\Delta^{-1} - i \operatorname{tr}\log S^{-1} + \Gamma_2[\Delta, S],$$

where B is the classical action,  $\Delta^{(0)^{-1}}$  the Klein-Gordon,  $S^{(0)^{-1}}$  the Dirac operator,  $\Gamma_2[\Delta, S] \equiv -i \times \text{the sum of 2PI vacuum graphs},$ 

## Backup : Toward kinetic theory: Wigner transformation

Wanted: Equations for classical distributions (eventually fluids) that encompass relevant quantum effects.

relative coordinate  $r \equiv x - y$ average coordinate  $X \equiv 1/2(x + y)$ 

Wigner transformation of the two-point functions:

$$G(k,X) = \int d^4r \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\cdot r} G\left(X + \frac{r}{2}, X - \frac{r}{2}\right)$$

Convolutions lead to the gradient expansion:

$$\int d^4r \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\cdot r} \int d^4z G\left(X + \frac{r}{2}, z\right) F\left(z, X - \frac{r}{2}\right) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\diamond} \left\{G(k, X)\right\} \left\{F(k, X)\right\}$$
$$A \diamond B = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_X^A \partial_k^B - \partial_X^B \partial_k^A\right) (AB).$$

The collisionless Schwinger-Dyson equations in Wigner space adopt the form:

$$\left(\not\!\!k + \frac{i}{2}\not\!\!\partial - M^{\mathrm{H}}(z)e^{-i\diamond} - i\gamma^{5}M^{\mathrm{A}}(z)e^{-i\diamond}\right)S^{<}(k;z) = 0,$$

52

#### Backup - Wigner Transform

• For an operator associated with a generalized "matrix" representation O(x, y), where x and y are spacetime coordinates, the Wigner transform is defined as the Fourier transform with respect to the relative coordinate  $r \equiv x - y$ . Defining the average coordinate  $X \equiv 1/2(x + y)$ , the Wigner transform O(k; X) of O(x, y) is obtained as

$$O(k;X) = \int d^4r \, e^{ikr} O\left(X + \frac{r}{2}, X - \frac{r}{2}\right)$$

The corresponding inverse transform is

$$O\left(X + \frac{r}{2}, X - \frac{r}{2}\right) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ikr} O(k; X).$$

The Wigner transform of a product of operators

$$C(x,y) = \int d^4 z \, A(x,z) B(z,y)$$

is known to be of the form arXiv:hep-ph/9802312.

$$C(k;X) \equiv A(k;X) e^{-i\diamond} B(k;X),$$
$$A \diamond B = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_X^A \partial_k^B - \partial_X^B \partial_k^A\right) (AB)$$

Back up spin diagonal

 $g_0^+ + g_0^- = -\frac{1}{2} \sum \operatorname{tr} \left[ s \gamma^3 \gamma^5 \mathrm{i} S_s^{<,>} \right] , \quad \text{charge density,}$  $g_3^+ + g_3^- = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} \left[ s \gamma^3 \mathrm{i} S_s^{<,>} \right], \quad \text{axial charge density,}$  $g_1^+ + g_1^- = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} [iS_s^{<,>}]$ , scalar density,  $g_2^+ + g_2^- = -\frac{1}{2} \sum \operatorname{tr} \left[ i \gamma^5 i S_s^{<,>} \right], \quad \text{pseudoscalar density},$  $g_0^+ - g_0^- = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \gamma^3 \gamma^5 \mathrm{i} S_s^{<,>} \right] , \quad \text{axial current density,}$  $g_3^+ - g_3^- = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} \left[ \gamma^3 \mathrm{i} S_s^{<,>} \right] , \quad \text{current density,}$  $g_1^+ - g_1^- = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} [siS_s^{<,>}]$ , spin density,  $g_2^+ - g_2^- = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \operatorname{tr} \left[ s i \gamma^5 i S_s^{<,>} \right], \quad \text{axial spin density.}$