Flavorful Ways to New Physics
Waldhotel Zollernblick, Oct 28-31, 2014

Flavor Physics at Belle and Belle II

Peter Križan

University of Ljubljana and J. Stefan Institute

University of Ljubljana

"Jožef Stefan" Institute

Contents

- Introduction with a little bit of B factory primer
- B factories: recent results
- Super B factory: status and outlook
- Summary

Flavour physics at the luminosity frontier with asymmetric B factories





$\sqrt{s}=10.58$ GeV

$e^+ \rightarrow \Upsilon(4s) \leftarrow e^-$

$\Upsilon(4s) \rightarrow B\,\bar{B}$

$\Delta z \sim c\beta\gamma\tau_B \sim 200\mu m$

BaBar	$p(e^-)=9$ GeV	$p(e^+)=3.1$ GeV	$\beta\gamma=0.56$
Belle	$p(e^-)=8$ GeV	$p(e^+)=3.5$ GeV	$\beta\gamma=0.42$

To a large degree shaped flavour physics in the previous decade

Advantages of B factories in the LHC era

$B^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$
$(\rightarrow K\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$
$B^- \rightarrow \tau(\rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu})\nu$



Unique capabilities of B factories:

→ Exactly two B mesons produced (at Υ(4S))

→ High flavour tagging efficiency

→ Detection of gammas, π^0s, K_Ls

→ Very clean detector environment (can observe decays with several neutrinos in the final state!)

→ Well understood apparatus, with known systematics, checked on control channels

Integrated luminosity at B factories



> 1 ab^{-1}

On resonance:

$\Upsilon(5S)$: 121 fb^{-1}

$\Upsilon(4S)$: 711 fb^{-1}

$\Upsilon(3S)$: 3 fb^{-1}

$\Upsilon(2S)$: 25 fb^{-1}

$\Upsilon(1S)$: 6 fb^{-1}

Off reson./scan:

~ 100 fb^{-1}

~ 550 fb^{-1}

On resonance:

$\Upsilon(4S)$: 433 fb^{-1}

$\Upsilon(3S)$: 30 fb^{-1}

$\Upsilon(2S)$: 14 fb^{-1}

Off resonance:

~ 54 fb^{-1}

CP violation in the B system and unitarity triangle



B factories: CP violation in the B system

CP violation in the B system: from the discovery (2001) to a precision measurement (2011).



Comparison of **energy** /**intensity** frontiers

To observe a large ship far away one can either use **strong binoculars** or observe **carefully the direction and the speed of waves** produced by the vessel.

Energy frontier (LHC)



Luminosity frontier - (super) B factories

The unitarity triangle – new/final measurements

Constraints from measurements of angles and sides of the unitarity triangle → Remarkable agreement, but still 10-20% NP allowed



Selected results:

→ $\sin 2\phi_1$ ($=\sin 2\beta$): final measurements

→ ϕ_2 ($=\alpha$): final measurements

→ ϕ_3 ($=\gamma$): new model-independ. method

→ Rare decays

CP violation measurement

Want to measure the asymmetry between B and anti-B mesons,

$$P(B^0(\overline{B}^0) \to f_{CP}, t) = e^{-\Gamma t}\left(1 \mp \sin(2\phi_1)\sin(\Delta m t)\right)$$



→Want to distinguish the decay rate of B (dotted) from the decay rate of anti-B (full).

Integrals are equal, time information mandatory! (true at Y(4s), but not for incoherent production)

Resolution ~B lifetime

B meson production at Υ(4s)



Cross Sections at Υ(4S):

$b\bar{b} \sim 1.1$ nb

$c\bar{c} \sim 1.3$ nb

$d\bar{d}, s\bar{s} \sim 0.3$ nb

$u\bar{u} \sim 1.4$ nb

$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S) \to B\bar{B}$

$L = 1$ state

CP violation measurement

Measure the difference in time evolution in B^0 and anti-B^0 decays to a CP eigenstate



CMS should be boosted!

Experimental considerations

Detector form: symmetric for symmetric energy beams; slightly extended in the boost direction for an asymmetric collider.



Exaggerated plot: in reality $\beta\gamma=0.5$



CLEO



BELLE

Belle spectrometer at KEK-B



Reconstruction of rare B meson decays





Reconstructing rare B meson decays at Y(4s): use two variables,

beam constrained mass M_{bc}

and

energy diference DE

$$\Delta E \equiv \sum E_i - E_{CM}/2$$



$$M_{bc} = \sqrt{(E_{CM}/2)^2 - (\sum \vec{p}_i)^2}$$

Continuum suppression



$e^+e^- \rightarrow qq$ "continuum" (~3x BB)

To suppress: use event shape variables

Continuum

Jet-like

BB

spherical



CP violation measurement

Want to measure the asymmetry between B and anti-B mesons,

$$P(B^0(\overline{B}^0) \to f_{CP}, t) = e^{-\Gamma t}\left(1 \mp \sin(2\phi_1)\sin(\Delta m t)\right)$$



→Want to distinguish the decay rate of B (dotted) from the decay rate of anti-B (full).

Integrals are equal, time information mandatory! (true at Υ(4s), but not for incoherent production)

Resolution ~B lifetime

Final measurement of $\sin 2\phi_1$ ($=\sin 2\beta$)



ϕ_1 from CP violation measurements in $B^0 \to c\bar{c}\, K^0$

Final measurement: with improved tracking, more data, improved systematics (50% more statistics than last result with 492 fb^{-1});
$cc = J/\psi,\ \psi(2S),\ \chi_{c1}$ → 25k events

Detector effects: wrong tagging, finite Δt resolution → determined using control data samples





Belle, final, 710 fb^{-1}, PRL 108, 171802 (2012)

K_L detection

Important cross check:

Measure CP violation for B → CP=+1 eigenstate

→ B → J/ψ K_L

Need a detector for K_Ls – muon detections system acts as a hadron calorimeter

Measure only the K_L interaction point coordinate, not the K_L energy.



Final measurements of $\sin 2\phi_1$ ($=\sin 2\beta$)



ϕ_1 from $B^0 \to c\bar{c}\,K^0$

Final results for $\sin 2\phi_1$

Belle:	$0.668 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.012$
BaBar:	$0.687 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.012$

Belle, PRL 108, 171802 (2012)

BaBar, PRD 79, 072009 (2009)

with a single experiment precision of ~4%!

Comparison with LHCb:

- The power of tagging at B factories: 33% vs ~2-3% at LHCb
- LHCb: with 8k tagged $B_d \to J/\psi K_S$ events from 1/fb measured
  $\sin 2\beta = 0.73 \pm 0.07(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.04(\text{syst.})$
- Uncertainties at B factories - e.g., Belle final result
  $\sin 2\beta = 0.668 \pm 0.023(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.012(\text{syst.})$ - are 3x smaller than at LHCb

Final measurement of ϕ_2 (α) in $B \to \pi^+\pi^-$ decays



ϕ_2 from CP violation measurements in $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$





Belle, 710 fb^{-1}
PRD **88**, 092003 (2013)

$$a_{f_{CP}} = C\cos(\Delta m t) + S\sin(\Delta m t)$$

Belle, this measurement:
S = −0.64 ± 0.08 ± 0.03
C = −0.33 ± 0.06 ± 0.03

BaBar:
S = −0.68 ± 0.10 ± 0.03
C = −0.25 ± 0.08 ± 0.02

Measurement of B $\rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ decays

ϕ_2 from CP violation measurements in $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
Extraction not easy because of the penguin contribution



How the penguin distorts the tree level measurement

Pit Vanhoefer, CKM2014

BR for the B $\rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ decay important to resolve this issue.

Hard channel to measure: four gammas, continuum (ee→qq) background

- Theory: BR<1x10-6 (Phys.Rev.D83:034023,2011)
- Belle, 1/3 of data PRL 94, 181803(2005) = (2.32 +0.4-0.5 +0.2-0.3) 10^{-6}
- BaBar PR D87 052009 (1.83 ± 0.21 ± 0.13) 10^{-6}

Belle new result with full data set: Improved rejection of out-of-time electromagnetic calorimeter hits (some of which contribute to a peaking background).

Measurement of B → $\pi^0\pi^0$ decays





Preliminary

$Br(B \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0) = (0.90 \pm 0.20\ (\text{stat}) \pm 0.15 (\text{syst}))\cdot 10^{-6}$
(6.7σ significance)

A_{CP} under preparation → stay tuned

Improved measurement of ϕ_2 (α) in B → ππ, ρρ, ρπ decays



ϕ_2 (α) from CP violation and branching fraction measurements in B → ππ, ρρ, ρπ

$\phi_2 = \alpha = (85.4^{+4.0}_{-3.8})$ degrees

http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr/www/results/plots_fpcp13/ckm_res_fpcp13.html



Still to be updated for the final version: new results expected from Belle on $\rho^+\rho^-$, ρπ; a new ρπ, analysis published by BaBar →PRD88, 012003 (2013).

ϕ_3 (=γ) with Dalitz analysis

A. Giri et al., PRD68, 054018 (2003)
A. Bondar et al (Belle), Proc. BINP Meeting on Dalitz Analyses, 2002

GGSZ method:

The best way to measure ϕ_3





$\overset{(-)}{D^0} \rightarrow K_S\pi^+\pi^-$

3-body $D^0 \rightarrow K_S\pi^+\pi^-$ Dalitz amplitude

$$|M_\pm(m_+^2, m_-^2)|^2 = |f_D(m_+^2, m_-^2) + re^{i\delta_B \pm i\phi_3} f_D(m_-^2, m_+^2)|^2$$

$= \Big|$  $+ re^{i\delta_B \pm i\phi_3}$  $\Big|^2$

Model dependent description of f_D using continuum D* data $\Rightarrow$ systematic uncertainty

ϕ_3=(78 ± 12 ± 4 ± 9)°

Belle, PRD81, 112002, (2010), 605 fb^{-1}

ϕ_3=(68 ± 14 ± 4 ± 3)°

BaBar, PRL 105, 121801, (2010)

ϕ_3 (=γ) from model-independent/binned Dalitz method

GGSZ method: How to avoid the model dependence?
→ Suitably subdivide the Dalitz space into bins

$$M_i^{\pm} = h\{K_i + r_B^2 K_{-i} + 2\sqrt{K_i K_{-i}}(x_\pm c_i + y_\pm s_i)\}$$

$$x_\pm = r_B \cos(\delta_B \pm \phi_3) \quad y_\pm = r_B \sin(\delta_B \pm \phi_3)$$



M_i: # B decays in bins of D Dalitz plane, K_i: # D^0 ($\overline{D^0}$) decays in bins of D Dalitz plane ($D^* \to D\pi$), c_i, s_i: strong ph. difference between symm. Dalitz points ← Cleo, PRD82, 112006 (2010)

Use only DK
N_{sig} = 1176 ± 43

Belle, 710 fb^{-1}, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 112014

4-dim fit for signal yield (ΔE, M_{bc}, cosθ_{thrust}, $\mathcal{F}$);

ϕ_3=(77.3 ± 15 ± 4.1 ± 4.3)°

from c_i, s_i (statist.!)

to be reduced with BESIII data



New method pioneered by Belle, very important for large event samples at LHCb and super B factory

ϕ_3 measurement

Combined ϕ_3 value:

ϕ_3 =(67 ± 11) degrees

Note that at B factories the measurement of ϕ_3 finally turned out to be much better than expected!



This is not the last word from B factories, analyses still to be finalized...

Rare B decays









CP violation in penguin dominated b → qqs transitions

CP violation given by the same parameter sin2ϕ1 as in J/ψ K decays

→ to be publisehd in JHEP



Decay mode	$-\xi_f \mathcal{S}_f$	$\mathcal{A}_f$
η' K^0_S	$+0.71 \pm 0.07$	$+0.02 \pm 0.05$
η' K^0_L	$+0.46 \pm 0.21$	$+0.09 \pm 0.14$
η' K^0	$+0.68 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$	$+0.03 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.04$

$B \to X_s\gamma$ inclusive

Advantage of B factories!



Radiative decay sensitive to charged Higgs



Experimentally difficult

Experiment: measure low E_γ

$\Rightarrow$ huge bkg. $\Rightarrow E_\gamma > E_{cut}$

Theory:

parameter extraction from partial Br($E_\gamma > E_{cut}$) $\Rightarrow$ extrapolation needed;

Only γ on signal side reconstructed

Improve S/B by tagging the other B



Belle, PRL103, 241801(2009),605 fb^{-1}

$$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s\gamma; 1.7\,GeV < E_\gamma < 2.8\,GeV) = (3.47 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.40)\cdot 10^{-4}$$

$B \to X_s\gamma$ inclusive

Branching fraction, world average

$$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s\gamma; E_\gamma > 1.6\,GeV) =$$
$$= (3.55 \pm 0.24(stat.+syst.) \pm 0.09(shape\ f.) \cdot 10^{-4}$$

HFAG, ICHEP'10

Decay rate sensitive to
charged Higgs

→ tight constraints on models of new physics, two-Higgs-doublet model II mass limit at ~300 GeV/c^2



Measurements systematics dominated

Systematics can be reduced by stronger tagging (e.g. full reconstruction of the other B) on the account of stat. uncertainty
⇒ need a larger sample → Super B factory

B → $X_s\gamma$, semi-inclusive

Sum of 38 exclusive channels

Mode ID	Final State	Mode ID	Final State
1	$K^+\pi^-$	20	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$
2	$K^0_S\pi^+$	21	$K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
3	$K^+\pi^0$	22	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
4	$K^0_S\pi^0$	23	$K^+\eta$
5	$K^+\pi^+\pi^-$	24	$K^0_S\eta$
6	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$	25	$K^+\eta\pi^-$
7	$K^+\pi^+\pi^0$	26	$K^0_S\eta\pi^+$
8	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^0$	27	$K^+\eta\pi^0$
9	$K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	28	$K^0_S\eta\pi^0$
10	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	29	$K^+\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
11	$K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	30	$K^0_S\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
12	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	31	$K^+\eta\pi^-\pi^0$
13	$K^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	32	$K^0_S\eta\pi^+\pi^0$
14	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	33	$K^+K^+K^-$
15	$K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0$	34	$K^+K^-K^0_S$
16	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	35	$K^+K^+K^-\pi^-$
17	$K^+\pi^0\pi^0$	36	$K^+K^-K^0_S\pi^+$
18	$K^0_S\pi^0\pi^0$	37	$K^+K^+K^-\pi^0$
19	$K^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	38	$K^+K^+K^0_S\pi^0$



Branching fraction, (corresponding to a minimum photon energy of 1.9 GeV)

$$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s\gamma; M_{Xs} < 2.8 GeV/c^2) = $$
$$= (3.51 \pm 0.17(stat.) \pm 0.33(syst)) \cdot 10^{-4}$$

To be submitted to PRD

$B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \nu_\tau$

Example of a missing energy decay

$$B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$$
$$(\rightarrow K\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$$
$$B^- \rightarrow \tau(\rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu})\nu$$



Full reconstruction tagging

Idea: fully reconstruct one of the B's to tag B flavor/charge, determine its momentum, and exclude decay products of this B from further analysis

(exactly two B's produced in Υ(4S) decays)



Powerful tool for B decays with neutrinos, used in several analyses in this talk

→unique feature at B factories

$B^- \to \tau^- \nu_\tau$

Method: tag one B with full reconstruction, look for the $B^- \to \tau^- \nu_\tau$ in the rest of the event.

Main discriminating variable on the signal side: remaining energy in the calorimeter, not associated with any charged track or photon

→ Signal at $E_{ECL} = 0$



Belle $$Br(B \to \tau\nu) = [0.72\ ^{+0.27}_{-0.25} \pm 0.11] \times 10^{-4}$$

PRL 110, 131801 (2013)

BaBar $$Br(B \to \tau\nu) = [1.83\ ^{+0.53}_{-0.49} \pm 0.24] \times 10^{-4}$$

Phys. Rev. D 88, 031102(R) (2013)



All measurements combined

$$BF(B \to \tau\nu) = (1.15 \pm 0.23) \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$r_H = \frac{BF(B \to \tau\nu)_{meas}}{BF(B \to \tau\nu)_{SM}} = 1.14 \pm 0.40$$

Charged Higgs limits from B → $\tau^- \nu_\tau$



Measured value

$$r_H = \frac{BF(B \to \tau\nu)}{BF(B \to \tau\nu)_{SM}} = \left(1 - \frac{m_B^2}{m_H^2}\tan^2\beta\right)^2$$

→ limit on charged Higgs mass vs. tanβ

(for type II 2HDM)

B factories: Exclusion plot



Super B factory: Discovery plot: very much competitive with LHC!



$\sin 2\phi_1$ (=$\sin 2\beta$) vs. $\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau\nu)$

Tension between $\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau\nu)$ and $\sin 2\phi_1$ very much reduced (from ~2.5 σ)



Belle update $B^- \to \tau^- \nu_\tau$

Method: tag with a semileptonic B decay, look for the $B^- \to \tau^- \nu_\tau$ in the rest of the event.

Again: Main discriminating variable on the signal side: remaining energy in the calorimeter, not associated with any charged track or photon

→ Signal at $E_{ECL} = 0$



Belle update $B^- \to \tau^- \nu_\tau$, tag with a semileptonic B

Belle-CONF-1401

- $\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau\nu) = [1.25 \pm 0.28\ (\text{stat}) \pm 0.27\ (\text{syst})] \times 10^{-4}$
- Signal significance of 3.4σ including systematics

Decay Mode	N_{sig}	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-4})$
$\tau^- \to \mu^- \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\mu$	13±21	0.34±0.55
$\tau^- \to e^- \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_e$	47±25	0.90±0.47
$\tau^- \to \pi^- \nu_\tau$	57±21	1.82±0.68
$\tau^- \to \rho^- \nu_\tau$	119±33	2.16±0.60
Combined	222±50	1.25±0.28

statistical errors only



- Consistent results among tau channels
- → Central value shifted towards SM
- Combination with Belle hadronic tag result in progress

$B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu$ decays

Semileptonic decay sensitive to charged Higgs



Ratio of τ to μ,e could be reduced/enhanced significantly

Kamenik, Mescia arXiv:0802.3790

$$R(D) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to D\tau\nu)}{\mathcal{B}(B \to D\ell\nu)}$$

Complementary and competitive with $B \to \tau\nu$

1.Smaller theoretical uncertainty of R(D)

(For $B \to \tau\nu$,
There is O(10%) f_B uncertainty from lattice QCD)

2.Large Brs (~1%) in SM (Ulrich Nierste arXiv:0801.4938.)



3. Differential distributions can be used to discriminate W^+ and H^+

4. Sensitive to different vertex $B \to \tau\nu$: H-b-u, $B \to D\tau\nu$: H-b-c
(LHC experiments sensitive to H-b-t)

First observation of $B \to D^{*-}\tau\nu$ by Belle (2007) → PRL 99, 191807 (2007)

$B \to D^{(*)} \tau\nu$ decays

Exclusive hadron tag data



$$\mathcal{R}(D)_{\mathrm{exp}} = 0.440 \pm 0.072 \quad \mathcal{R}(D^*)_{\mathrm{exp}} = 0.332 \pm 0.030$$

2.0σ 2.7σ

$$\mathcal{R}(D)_{\mathrm{SM}} = 0.297 \pm 0.017 \quad \mathcal{R}(D^*)_{\mathrm{SM}} = 0.252 \pm 0.003$$

SM expectations in S. Fajfer, J. Kamenik, I. Nisandzic, PRD 85, 094025 (2012).

→ Combined result: 3σ away from SM.



Blue: this result, red: Type-II 2HDM.



→ Combined result: Type II 2HDM excluded at 99.8% C.L. for any values of tanβ and charged Higgs mass

More discussion of the implications: BaBar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 101802 (2012)

$B \to h\nu\bar{\nu}$ decays

Method: again tag one B with full reconstruction, search for signal in the remaining energy in the calorimeter, at $E_{ECL} = 0$

Present status: recent update from Belle





$$N_{Sig} = 13.3^{+7.4}_{-6.6}(stat) \pm 2.3(syst)$$
$$S_{stat+syst} = 2.0\sigma$$

Belle, Phys. Rev. D 87, 111103(R) (2013)

$B \to K^{(*)}\nu\bar{\nu}$

arXiv:1002.5012

adopted from W. Altmannshofer et al., JHEP 0904, 022 (2009)

SM: penguin + box diagrams







super B factory, 50 ab^{-1}

$B \to K\nu\nu,\ \mathcal{B} \sim 4 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$B \to K^*\nu\nu,\ \mathcal{B} \sim 6.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$

Look for deviations from the expected values → information on anomalous couplings C^ν_R and C^ν_L compared to $(C^\nu_L)^{SM}$

from, e.g.,





Charm and τ physics

B factories = charm and τ factories

Charm and τ can be found in any "Y(nS) samples"

→ the integrated luminosity of the samples used for charm and τ studies is larger than for the B physics studies (Belle ~ 1 ab^{-1}, BaBar ~0.550 ab^{-1})

→ This will of course remain true for the super B factory

A few examples of the strengths of B factories:

- CP violation in charm at B factories (and super B factories) →can measure CPV separately in individual decay channels, $\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^-, $K_S\,\pi$,...
- $D\overline{D}$ pairs produced with very few light hadrons
- Full reconstruction of events →

Rare charm decays: tag with the other D

Again make use of the hermeticity of the apparatus!

Example: leptonic decays of D_s

$$e^+e^- \to c\bar{c} \to \overline{D}_{\rm tag} K X_{\rm frag} D_s^{*+}$$

Recoil method in charm events:

- Reconstruct D_{tag} to tag charm, kaon to tag strangeness
- Additional light mesons (X_{frag}) can be produced in the fragmentation process (π, $\pi\pi$, ...)

2 step reconstruction:

- Inclusive reconstruction of D_s mesons for normalization (without any requirements upon D_s decay products)
- Within the inclusive D_s sample search for D_s decays
  - $D_s \to \mu\nu$: peak at $m_\nu^2 = 0$ in $M^2_{\rm miss}(D_{\rm tag} K X_{\rm frag} \gamma \mu)$
  - $D_s \to \tau\nu$: peak towards 0 in extra energy in calorimeter

$D_s^+ \to \mu^+\nu_\mu$

Fit to the missing mass squared – $M^2_{\rm miss}(D_{\rm tag}KX_{\rm frag}\gamma\mu^{\pm})$

Selection:

- $M_{\rm miss}(D_{\rm tag}KX_{\rm frag}\gamma)$ signal region
- 1 charged track pointing to the IP
- passing muon PID requirements



Belle, arxiv:1301.7218

$$N^{\rm excl}_{D_s\to\mu\nu} = 489 \pm 26$$

Belle preliminary @ 913 fb^{-1}

$$\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \to \mu^+\nu_\mu) = (0.528 \pm 0.028(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.019(\text{syst.}))\%$$

Most precise measurement up to date.

Extract f_{Ds} :

$$f_{D_s} = \frac{1}{G_F m_\ell \left(1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{M_{D_s}^2}\right)|V_{cs}|}\sqrt{\frac{8\pi\mathcal{B}(D_s\to\ell\nu_\ell)}{M_{D_s}\tau_{D_s}}}$$

Charm: last but not least…

D mixing was discovered at Belle and BaBar…



… and there remains a lot for us to do in the era of super B factories.

Rare τ decays

Example: lepton flavour violating decay $\tau \to \mu\,\gamma$



LFV in tau decays: present status

Lepton flavour violation (LFV) in tau decays: would be a clear sign of new physics



LFV and New Physics

$\tau \to l\gamma$



- SUSY + Seasaw $(m^2_{\tilde{l}})_{23(13)}$
- Large LFV $Br(\tau \to \mu\gamma) = O(10^{-7\sim9})$

$$Br(\tau \to \mu\gamma) \simeq 10^{-6} \times \left(\frac{(m^2_{\tilde{L}})_{32}}{\bar{m}^2_{\tilde{L}}}\right)\left(\frac{1\,TeV}{m_{SUSY}}\right)^4 \tan^2\beta$$

$\tau \to 3l, l\eta$



- Neutral Higgs mediated decay.
- Important when M_{SUSY} >> EW scale.

$$Br(\tau \to 3\mu) = 4\times10^{-7} \times \left(\frac{(m^2_{\tilde{L}})_{32}}{\bar{m}^2_{\tilde{L}}}\right)\left(\frac{\tan\beta}{60}\right)^6\left(\frac{100GeV}{m_A}\right)^4$$



Integ. Lum.(ab^{-1})

model	$Br(\tau\to\mu\gamma)$	$Br(\tau\to lll)$
mSUGRA+seesaw	10^{-7}	10^{-9}
SUSY+SO(10)	10^{-8}	10^{-10}
SM+seesaw	10^{-9}	10^{-10}
Non-Universal Z'	10^{-9}	10^{-8}
SUSY+Higgs	10^{-10}	10^{-7}

New hadrons at B-factories



Coloured boxes: exotic candidates

Molecular states?

Tetra-quarks?

Hybrids?



Resonant substructure in $\Upsilon(5S) \to h_b(nP)\,\pi^+\pi^-$

Look at $M(h_b\pi^+) = MM(\pi^-)$

measure $\Upsilon(5S) \to h_b\pi\pi$ yield in bins of $MM(\pi)$



Exclusive searches:

Observed in $\Upsilon(5S) \to$ **$\Upsilon(1S)$** $\pi+\pi-$, **$\Upsilon(2S)$** $\pi+\pi-$ and **$\Upsilon(3S)$** $\pi+\pi-$



$Z_b(10610)$	$M = 10608.1 \pm 1.7$ MeV $\Gamma = 15.5 \pm 2.4$ MeV
$Z_b(10650)$	$M = 10653.3 \pm 1.5$ MeV $\Gamma = 14.0 \pm 2.8$ MeV

Seen in 5 different final states, parameters are consistent

$J^P=1^+$ in agreement with data; other J^P are disfavored

→ What is the nature of Z_b^+? Molecules, tetraquarks, cusps, ... ?

Charged charmonium in Y(4260) → $J/\psi\ \pi^+\pi^-$



Y(4260) produced via ISR
(Initial State Radiation)

Look for a resonance in $J/\psi\ \pi^+$



Found! → $Z_c^+(3895)$

Observed also by BES III.
They also recently found a peak in $(DD^*)^+$ at 3885 MeV
PRL110, 252001 (2013)
PRL112, 022001 (2014)

PRL110, 252002 (2013)

very similar to
$\Upsilon(5S) \to Z_b^+\ \pi^- \to \Upsilon(1s)\ \pi^+\pi^-$

B factories: a success story

- Measurements of CKM matrix elements and angles of the unitarity triangle
- Observation of direct CP violation in B decays
- Measurements of rare decay modes (e.g., $B\rightarrow\tau\nu$, $D\tau\nu$)
- $b\rightarrow s$ transitions: probe for new sources of CPV and constraints from the $b\rightarrow s\gamma$ branching fraction
- Forward-backward asymmetry (A_{FB}) in $b\rightarrow sl^+l^-$
- Observation of D mixing
- Searches for rare τ decays
- Discovery of exotic hadrons including charged charmonium- and bottomonium-like states

B factories remain competitive in many measurements because of their unique capabilities.

What next?

Next generation: Super B factories → Looking for NP

→ Need much more data (almost two orders!)

However: it will be a different world in three years, there is a hard competition from LHCb and BESIII

Still, e^+e^- machines running at (or near) Y(4s) will have considerable advantages in several classes of measurements, and will be complementary in many more

→ Physics at Super B Factory, arXiv:1002.5012 (Belle II)
→ SuperB Progress Reports: Physics, arXiv:1008.1541 (SuperB)

Need O(100x) more data →Next generation B-factories



ana

How to do it?

→ upgrade the existing KEKB and Belle facility





How to increase the luminosity?

Beam-beam parameter

Lorentz factor

Beam current

$$L = \frac{\gamma_{e\pm}}{2er_e}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_y^*}{\sigma_x^*}\right)\left(\frac{I_{e\pm}\xi_y^{e\pm}}{\beta_y^*}\right)\left(\frac{R_L}{R_{\xi_y}}\right)$$

Lumi. reduction factor (crossing angle)&
Tune shift reduction factor (hour glass effect)
0.8 - 1
(short bunch)

Classical electron radius

Beam size ratio@IP
1 - 2 % (flat beam)

Vertical beta function@IP

(1) Smaller β_y^*

(2) Increase beam currents

(3) Increase ξ_y

"Nano-Beam" scheme

Collision with very small spot-size beams

Invented by Pantaleo Raimondi for SuperB

How big is a nano-beam ?

How to go from an excellent accelerator with world record performance – KEKB – to a 40x times better, more intense facility?

In KEKB, colliding electron and positron beams were already much thinner than a human hair...



... For a 40x increase in intensity you have to make the beam as thin as a few x100 atomic layers!

KEKB → SuperKEKB



To get x40 higher luminosity


Installation of 100 new long LER bending magnets done
Installation of HER wiggler chambers in Oho straight section is done.
Add / modify RF systems for higher beam current
Low emittance positrons to inject
Damping ring tunnel: built!
Low emittance gun
Low emittance electrons to inject

Entirely new LER beam pipe with ante-chamber and Ti-N coating



Beam pipe is made of aluminum.

Fabrication of the LER arc beam pipe section is completed

Al ante-chamber before coating





After TiN coating
before baking

After baking



All 100 4 m long dipole magnets have been successfully installed in the low energy ring (LER)!

Three magnets per day !

Installing the 4 m long LER dipole **over** the 6 m long HER dipole (remains in place).

Magnet installation

field measurement

move into tunnel

Installation of 100 new LER bending magnets done

carry on an air-pallet

carry over existing HER dipole

installation done

Requirements for the Belle II detector

Critical issues at L= 8 x 10^{35}/cm^2/sec

- **Higher background (×10-20)**
  - radiation damage and occupancy
  - fake hits and pile-up noise in the EM
- **Higher event rate (×10)**
  - higher rate trigger, DAQ and computing
- **Require special features**
  - low *p* μ identification ← sμμ recon. eff.
  - hermeticity ← ν "reconstruction"





Solutions:

- Replace inner layers of the vertex detector with a pixel detector.
- Replace inner part of the central tracker with a silicon strip detector.
- Better particle identification device
- Replace endcap calorimeter crystals
- Faster readout electronics and computing system.

Belle II TDR, arxiv:1011.0352v1[physics.ins-det]

Belle II Detector



Belle II Detector (in comparison with Belle)



SVD: 4 DSSD lyrs → 2 DEPFET lyrs + 4 DSSD lyrs
CDC: small cell, long lever arm
ACC+TOF → TOP+A-RICH
ECL: waveform sampling (+pure CsI for endcaps)
KLM: RPC → Scintillator +MPPC (endcaps, barrel inner 2 lyrs)

In colours: new components

Belle II Detector – vertex region



Belle II CDC



Much bigger than in Belle!



Wire stringing in a clean room

- thousands of wires,
- 1 year of work...

Particle Identification Devices

Barrel PID: Time of Propagation Counter (TOP)



Quartz radiator

Focusing mirror

Small expansion block

Hamamatsu MCP-PMT (measure t, x and y)

Endcap PID: Aerogel RICH (ARICH)



Aerogel radiator

n~1.05

Hamamatsu HAPD



Aerogel RICH (endcap PID)





Clear Cherenkov image observed

Cherenkov angle distribution



RICH with a novel "focusing" radiator – a two layer radiator

Employ multiple layers with different refractive indices→ Cherenkov images from individual layers overlap on the photon detector.



6.6 σ π/K at 4GeV/c !

Peter Križan, Ljubljana

Radiator with multiple refractive indices

How to increase the number of photons without degrading the resolution?

→ stack two tiles with different refractive indices: "focusing" configuration



→ focusing radiator

Such a configuration is only possible with aerogel (a form of Si_xO_y) – material with a tunable refractive index between 1.01 and 1.13.

Focusing configuration – data

Increases the number of photons without degrading the resolution

4cm aerogel single index





theta cerenkov



ring in cerenkov space

2+2cm aerogel







→NIM A548 (2005) 383

Cherenkov detectors

Barrel PID: Time of Propagation Counter (TOP)



Quartz radiator

Focusing mirror

Small expansion block

Hamamatsu MCP-PMT (measure t, x and y)

Endcap PID: Aerogel RICH (ARICH)



Aerogel radiator

n~1.05

Hamamatsu HAPD

+ new ASIC



Peter Križan, Ljubljana

DIRC (@BaBar) - detector of internally reflected Cherenkov light









Belle II Barrel PID: Time of propagation (TOP) counter



- Cherenkov ring imaging with precise time measurement.
- Uses internal reflection of Cherenkov ring images from quartz like the BaBar DIRC.
- Reconstruct Cherenkov angle from two hit coordinates and

the time of propagation of the photon

  - Quartz radiator (2cm thick)
  - Photon detector (MCP-PMT)
    - Excellent time resolution ~ 40 ps
    - Single photon sensitivity in 1.5



Barrel PID: Time of propagation (TOP) counter



Example of Cherenkov-photon paths for 2 GeV/c $\pi^{\pm}$ and $K^{\pm}$.



TOP image



Pattern in the coordinate-time space ('ring') of a pion hitting a quartz bar with ~80 MAPMT channels

Time distribution of signals recorded by one of the PMT channels: different for π and K (~shifted in time)

The Belle II Collaboration

A very strong group of ~600 highly motivated scientists!

SuperKEKB/Belle II Status

Funding

- ~100 MUS for machine approved in 2009 -- Very Advanced Research Support Program (FY2010-2012)
- Full approval by the Japanese government in December 2010; the project was finally in the JFY2011 budget as approved by the Japanese Diet in 2011
- Non-Japanese funding agencies have also allocated sizable funds for the upgrade of the detector.

SuperKEKB and Belle II construction proceeding, nearly on schedule.

Commissioning start delayed 9 months from original plan, now scheduled for October 2015.

SuperKEKB luminosity projection



Summary





- B factories have proven to be an excellent tool for flavour physics, with reliable long term operation, constant improvement of the performance, achieving and surpassing design perfomance
- Super B factory at KEK under construction 2010-15 → SuperKEKB+Belle II, L x40, construction at full speed – the biggest particle physics project under preparation
- Expect a new, exciting era of discoveries, complementary to the LHC

Additional slides

Complementary to LHCb

Observable	Expected th. accuracy	Expected exp. uncertainty	Facility
CKM matrix			
$\lvert V_{us}\rvert$ $[K \to \pi\ell\nu]$	**	0.1%	K-factory
$\lvert V_{cb}\rvert$ $[B \to X_c\ell\nu]$	**	1%	Belle II
$\lvert V_{ub}\rvert$ $[B_d \to \pi\ell\nu]$	*	4%	Belle II
$\sin(2\phi_1)$ $[c\bar{c}K_S^0]$	***	$8 \cdot 10^{-3}$	Belle II/LHCb
ϕ_2		1.5°	Belle II
ϕ_3	***	3°	LHCb
CPV			
$S(B_s \to \psi\phi)$	**	0.01	LHCb
$S(B_s \to \phi\phi)$	**	0.05	LHCb
$S(B_d \to \phi K)$	***	0.05	Belle II/LHCb
$S(B_d \to \eta' K)$	***	0.02	Belle II
$S(B_d \to K^*(\to K_S^0\pi^0)\gamma))$	***	0.03	Belle II
$S(B_s \to \phi\gamma))$	***	0.05	LHCb
$S(B_d \to \rho\gamma))$		0.15	Belle II
A_{SL}^d	***	0.001	LHCb
A_{SL}^s	***	0.001	LHCb
$A_{CP}(B_d \to s\gamma)$	*	0.005	Belle II
rare decays			
$\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau\nu)$	**	3%	Belle II
$\mathcal{B}(B \to D\tau\nu)$		3%	Belle II
$\mathcal{B}(B_d \to \mu\nu)$	**	6%	Belle II
$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu\mu)$	***	10%	LHCb
zero of $A_{FB}(B \to K^*\mu\mu)$	**	0.05	LHCb
$\mathcal{B}(B \to K^{(*)}\nu\nu)$	***	30%	Belle II
$\mathcal{B}(B \to s\gamma)$		4%	Belle II
$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \gamma\gamma)$		$0.25 \cdot 10^{-6}$	Belle II (with 5 ab^{-1})
$\mathcal{B}(K \to \pi\nu\nu)$	**	10%	K-factory
$\mathcal{B}(K \to e\pi\nu)/\mathcal{B}(K \to \mu\pi\nu)$	***	0.1%	K-factory
charm and τ			
$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu\gamma)$	***	$3 \cdot 10^{-9}$	Belle II
$\lvert q/p\rvert_D$	***	0.03	Belle II
$arg(q/p)_D$	***	1.5°	Belle II

→Need both LHCb and super B factories to cover all aspects of precision flavour physics

- B. Golob, KEK FF Workshop, Feb. 2012

$|V_{ub}|$ from $B^0 \to \pi^- \ell^+ \nu$ exclusive decays



Yield: 2d fit in $M_{bc}=M_{ES}$ and ΔE, bins of q^2

$$m_{bc} = \sqrt{E^2_{beam} - |\vec{p_\pi} + \vec{p_\ell} + \vec{p_\nu}|^2}$$
$$\Delta E = E_{beam} - (E_\pi + E_\ell + E_\nu)$$



$\mathcal{B}=(1.41\pm0.05\pm0.07)\cdot10^{-4}$ untagged

BaBar, PRD83, 032007 (2011)

$\mathcal{B}=(1.42\pm0.05\pm0.07)\cdot10^{-4}$ untagged loose neutrino

BaBar, PRD83, 052011 (2011)

$\mathcal{B}=(1.49\pm0.04\pm0.07)\cdot10^{-4}$ untagged

Belle, PRD83, 071101 (2011)

$\mathcal{B}=(1.49\pm0.09\pm0.07)\cdot10^{-4}$ hadron tag

Belle, PRD88, 032005 (2013)

$|V_{ub}|$ extraction: fit data + LQCD points in $q^2 = (p_\ell + p_\nu)^2 = (p_B - p_\pi)^2$

Belle + BaBar + FNAL/MILC

$|V_{ub}| = (3.23 \pm 0.30)\cdot10^{-3}$

$|V_{ub}|$ from inclusive decays $B \to X_u \ell^+ \nu$

The other possibility: inclusive b→u measurement by measuring

- lepton spectrum in semileptonic $b \to u \ell^+ \nu$ decays, or by using
- tagged events (e.g. fully reconstruct one of the B's, and then measure the rate vs mass of the hadronic system X_u)

Inclusive decays

$$|V_{ub}| = (4.42 \pm 0.20\,(\text{exp}) \pm 0.15(\text{th}))\cdot 10^{-3}$$

vs exclusive decays

$$|V_{ub}| = (3.23 \pm 0.30)\cdot 10^{-3}$$

→ Tension between inclusive and exclusive decays is still there - and not understood

Extrapolation to Belle II (1)

Alexander Ermakov (FPCP14):

$|V_{ub}|_{exc}$ vs $|V_{ub}|_{inc}$ "tension" is still here after years of experimental and theoretical efforts
Just statistics?

A systematic effect in experiment. or theory or both?

Belle II expected to settle this.



Belle II will reduce the uncertainties on |Vub|

But also provide much more consistency checks for theory and experimental effects

|Vub| extrapolation for Belle II (2)



	Statistical	Systematic (reducible, irreducible)	Total Exp	Theory	Total
$\lvert V_{ub}\rvert$ exclusive (had. tagged)					
711 fb^{-1}	3.0	(2.3, 1.0)	3.8	8.7 (2.0)	9.5 (4.3)
5 ab^{-1}	1.1	(0.9, 1.0)	1.7	4.0 (2.0)	4.4 (2.6)
50 ab^{-1}	0.4	(0.3, 1.0)	1.1	2.0	2.3
$\lvert V_{ub}\rvert$ exclusive (untagged)					
605 fb^{-1}	1.4	(2.1, 0.8)	2.9	8.7 (2.0)	9.1 (4.0)
5 ab^{-1}	0.5	(0.8, 0.8)	1.2	4.0 (2.0)	4.2 (2.4)
50 ab^{-1}	0.2	(0.3, 0.8)	0.9	2.0	2.2
$\lvert V_{ub}\rvert$ inclusive					
605 fb^{-1} (old B tag)	4.5	(3.7, 1.6)	6.0	2.5–4.5	6.5–7.5
5 ab^{-1}	1.1	(1.3, 1.6)	2.3	2.5–4.5	3.4–5.1
50 ab^{-1}	0.4	(0.4, 1.6)	1.7	2.5–4.5	3.0–4.8

Assumption is theory error down to 2% for exclusive and 2-4 % for inclusive modes

Most promising are exclusive analysis with hadronic tags: to perform clean and detailed exploration of exclusive b→ u modes spectra. Improvements on theory predictions need as well (B → ρ l ν lattice)

Untagged analyses still competitive for |Vub| measurement

$B \to D^* l \nu$ and $B \to D l \nu$

	Statistical	Systematic (reducible, irreducible)	Total Exp	Theory	Total
$\lvert V_{cb}\rvert$ exclusive : F(1)					
711 fb^{-1}	0.6	(2.8, 1.1)	3.1	1.8	3.6
5 ab^{-1}	0.2	(1.1, 1.1)	1.5	1.0	1.8
50 ab^{-1}	0.1	(0.3, 1.1)	1.2	0.8*	1.4
$\lvert V_{cb}\rvert$ exclusive : G(1)					
423 fb^{-1}	4.5	(3.1, 1.2)	5.6	2.2	3.6
5 ab^{-1}	1.3	(0.9, 1.2)	2.0	1.5*	2.7
50 ab^{-1}	0.6	(0.4, 1.2)	1.4	1.0*	1.7

Similar level of accuracy from $B \to D^* l \nu$ and $B \to D l \nu$

$B \to X_c\, l\, \nu$ inclusive at Belle II

(Modest) improvement of experimental uncertainties expected.

- Better determination of $B \to D^{**}\, l\, \nu$ component
- Improved control on the tag B normalization
- Largest experimental sys effect from PID and tracking

We expect a 0.5% ultimate systematic uncertainty

We assume theory uncertainty at 1% that will saturate the error budget

Detailed exploration of $B \to D\, n\pi\, l\, \nu$

Hopefully will solve "puzzles" like the gap between inclusive and exclusive rates (and Vcb tensions)



Fitted $D^{(*)}\pi$ mass spectrum of Phys.Rev.Lett. 101 (2008) 261802

ϕ_3: Binned Dalitz plot analysis

Solution: use binned Dalitz plot and deal with numbers of events in bins.

[A. Giri, Yu. Grossman, A. Soffer, J. Zupan, PRD **68**, 054018 (2003)]

[A. Bondar, A. P. EPJ C **47**, 347 (2006); EPJ C **55**, 51 (2008)]



$$M_i^{\pm} = h\{K_i + r_B^2 K_{-i} + 2\sqrt{K_i K_{-i}}(x_{\pm}c_i + y_{\pm}s_i)\}$$

$$x_{\pm} = r_B \cos(\delta_B \pm \phi_3) \quad y_{\pm} = r_B \sin(\delta_B \pm \phi_3)$$

$M_i^{\pm}$: numbers of events in $D \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ bins from $B^{\pm} \to DK^{\pm}$

K_i: numbers of events in bins of flavor $\overline{D}^0 \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ from $D^* \to D\pi$.

c_i, s_i contain information about strong phase difference between symmetric Dalitz plot points $(m^2_{K_S^0\pi^+}, m^2_{K_S^0\pi^-})$ and $(m^2_{K_S^0\pi^-}, m^2_{K_S^0\pi^+})$:

$$c_i = \langle \cos\Delta\delta_D \rangle, \quad s_i = \langle \sin\Delta\delta_D \rangle$$

ϕ_3: Obtaining c_i, s_i

Coefficients c_i, s_i can be obtained in $\psi(3770) \to D^0\overline{D}^0$ decays.
Use quantum correlations between D^0 and $\overline{D}^0$.

- If both D decay to $K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$, the number of events in i-th bin of $D_1 \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ and j-th bin of $D_2 \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ is

$$M_{ij} = K_i K_{-j} + K_{-i} K_j - 2\sqrt{K_i K_{-i} K_j K_{-j}}(c_i c_j + s_i s_j).$$

  $\Rightarrow$ constrain c_i and s_i.

- If one D decays to a CP eigenstate, the number of events in i-th bin of another $D \to K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$ is

$$M_i = K_i + K_{-i} \pm 2\sqrt{K_i K_{-i}} c_i.$$

  $\Rightarrow$ constrain c_i.

c_i, s_i measurement has been done by CLEO and can be done in future at BES-III.

$\sin 2\phi_1$ (=$\sin 2\beta$) vs. $\mathcal{B}(B\to \tau\nu)$

Tension between $\mathcal{B}(B\to \tau\nu)$ and $\sin 2\phi_1$
very much reduced (from ~2.5 σ)



Extracting ϕ_2: isospin relations

$B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$



$T \sim V_{ub}^* V_{ud} \sim \lambda^3$



$P \sim V_{tb}^* V_{td} \sim \lambda^3$



$T_c \sim V_{ub}^* V_{ud}$

No pengiun!

Constraint: relation of decay amplitudes in the SU(2) symmetry

$\bar{A}^{+0} = 1/\sqrt{2}\ \bar{A}^{+-} + \bar{A}^{00}$

$A^{-0} = 1/\sqrt{2}\ A^{+-} + A^{00}$



ϕ_2 $B^0 \to \rho^0\rho^0$

6D fit to $\Delta E, \mathcal{F}_{S/B}, m_1(\pi^+\pi^-), m_2(\pi^+\pi^-), \cos\theta^1_{\rm Hel}, \cos\theta^2_{\rm Hel}$

PRD **89** *072008, (2014)*

$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \rho^0\rho^0) = (1.02 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-6}$

3.4σ significance

$f_L = 0.21^{+0.18}_{-0.22} \pm 0.15$

(f_L: 2.1σ tension with Babar's result)

Signal enhanced projections



ϕ_2 $B \to \rho\rho$

ϕ_2 from isospin analysis (longitudinal polarization)

- constraints using Belle results only

$$\phi_2 = (84.9 \pm 13.5)^\circ$$

$$\Delta\phi_2 = (0.0 \pm 10.4)^\circ$$

$\Rightarrow$ Belle needs updates on

- $B^0 \to \rho^+\rho^-$

  $\mathcal{B}, f_L$ from 275M $B\bar{B}$ (*PRL* **96**, *171801 (2006)*)

  $\not{C}\not{P}$ from 535M $B\bar{B}$ (*PRD* **76**, *011104 (2007)*)

- $B^\pm \to \rho^\pm\rho^0$

  85M $B\bar{B}$ (*PRL* **91**, *221801 (2006)*)



W.A.: $\phi_2 = (89.9^{+5.4}_{-5.3})^\circ$

[prospect for Belle2 $\phi_2^{\rho\rho} = (X \pm 3)^\circ$]



$(\mathcal{A}_{CP} = -\mathcal{C}_{CP})$

measurments in good agreement,

current average:

- $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^{\pi^+\pi^-} = -0.66 \pm 0.06$
- $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\pi^+\pi^-} = +0.31 \pm 0.05$

significant amount of penguin contribution

Conclusions

$B^0 \to \omega K^0_S$: BR and time dependent CPV

- $\mathcal{S}_{\omega K^0_S} = +0.91 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.05$
- first evidence (3.1σ) for CPV
- four out of five parameters world's most precise results

$B^0 \to \eta' K^0$: time dependent CPV

- $\mathcal{S}_{\eta' K^0} = +0.68 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$
- Most precise determination of CPV parameters

$B^0 \to K^0_S \eta\gamma$: time dependent CPV

- no significant CPV observed

So far, everything is consistent with SM



- most analyses are statistically limited

Naïve $b \to sq\bar{q}$ average 0.655 ± 0.032