

# A Solution to Singularities Arising at NLO in the Calculation of $\epsilon'/\epsilon$

Paul Tremper, KIT

29.10.2014

Flavorful Ways to New Physics, Freudenstadt

# What is this?

- Direct CP violation in the Kaon sector  
→  $(\epsilon'/\epsilon)$  NLO calculations done by Munich<sup>1</sup> and Rome<sup>2</sup>  
Groups roughly 20 years ago
- Hadronic Matrix Elements still contain large uncertainties
- A well known problem at NLO causing singularities exists

---

<sup>1</sup>e.g. Buras, Jamin, Lautenbacher: The Anatomy of epsilon-prime / epsilon beyond leading logarithms with improved hadronic matrix elements (1993)

<sup>2</sup>e.g. Ciuchini, Franco, Martinelli, Reina: The Delta S = 1 effective Hamiltonian including next-to-leading order QCD and QED corrections (1994)

## Brief Review of the Framework<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup>Andrzej J. Buras: Weak Hamiltonian, CP Violation and Rare Decays (1998); hep-ph/9806471

# RGE of the Wilson Coefficients

The RGE for the Wilson Coefficients is

$$\left[ \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g(\mu)) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \right] \vec{C}(\mu) = \gamma^T(g) \vec{C}(\mu)$$

The anomalous dimension matrix  $\gamma(g)$  can be expanded in the couplings with coefficient matrices

$$\gamma(g) \stackrel{(QCDNLO)}{=} \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \gamma_s^{(0)} + \frac{g^4}{(16\pi^2)^2} \gamma_s^{(1)}$$

We work with a Basis of 10 operators, thus each is a  $10 \times 10$  matrix

# Evolution of the Wilson Coefficients

Define the evolution matrix

$$\vec{C}(\mu_1) = U(g(\mu_1), g(\mu_2)) \vec{C}(\mu_2)$$

and plug it into the RGE for the Wilson Coefficients yields a g-ordered exponential solution

$$U(g_1, g_2) = T_g \exp \int_{g_2}^{g_1} dg' \frac{\gamma(g')^T}{\beta(g')}$$

# Evolution of the Wilson Coefficients

Solution at LO:

$$U_0(g_1, g_2) = \exp \left[ -\frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{\beta_0} \ln \left( \frac{g_1}{g_2} \right) \right]$$

Ansatz for NLO:

$$U(g_1, g_2) = K(g_1) U_0(g_1, g_2) K^{-1}(g_2)$$

with

$$K(g) = 1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} J_0$$

with a constant matrix  $J_0$

# Evolution of the Wilson Coefficients

Solution at LO:

$$U_0(g_1, g_2) = \exp \left[ -\frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{\beta_0} \ln \left( \frac{g_1}{g_2} \right) \right]$$

Ansatz for NLO:

$$U(g_1, g_2) = K(g_1) U_0(g_1, g_2) K^{-1}(g_2)$$

with

$$K(g) = 1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} J_0$$

with a constant matrix  $J_0$

# NLO evolution

Inserting this Ansatz into the evolution matrix, we can derive a matrix equation for  $J_0$

$$J_0 - \left[ J_0, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} - \frac{\gamma_s^{(1)T}}{2\beta_0}$$

which is singular in the case of three active flavours



# The Singularity

Following Buras et al, we choose a basis which diagonalizes the LO anomalous dimension matrix

$$V^{-1} \gamma_s^{(0)T} V = \gamma_{s,D}^{(0)T}$$

leads to the matrix equation for  $J_0$  taking on the form

$$(VJ_0V^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \left( \gamma_{s,D}^{(0)T} \right)_{ij} - \left( V \gamma_s^{(1)T} V^{-1} \right)_{ij}}{\left( 2\beta_0 - \left( \gamma_{s,D}^{(0)T} \right)_{jj} + \left( \gamma_{s,D}^{(0)T} \right)_{ii} \right)}$$

and the singularity arises because

$$2\beta_0 \stackrel{(f=3)}{=} 18 \quad \{-16, 2\} \in \text{EV}(\gamma_s^{(0)T})$$

# The Solution

We add a logarithmic dependency to the ansatz for  $K(g)$

$$K(g) = 1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left( J_0 + \log\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right) J_1 \right)$$

The evolution matrix then yields two matrix equations

$$J_1 - \left[ J_1, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = 0$$
$$J_0 - \left[ J_0, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} - \frac{\gamma_s^{(1)T}}{2\beta_0} - J_1$$

# The Solution

We add a logarithmic dependency to the ansatz for  $K(g)$

$$K(g) = 1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left( J_0 + \log\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right) J_1 \right)$$

The evolution matrix then yields two matrix equations

$$J_1 - \left[ J_1, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = 0$$
$$J_0 - \left[ J_0, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} - \frac{\gamma_s^{(1)T}}{2\beta_0} - J_1$$

# The Solution

The evolution matrix then yields two matrix equations

$$J_1 - \left[ J_1, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = 0$$
$$J_0 - \left[ J_0, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} - \frac{\gamma_s^{(1)T}}{2\beta_0} - J_1$$

- Solving the homogeneous equation wrt  $J_1$   
→  $J_1$  w one free parameter  $t_1$
- Solving the inhomogeneous equation wrt  $J_0$  and  $t_1$   
→  $t_1$  and  $J_0$  w one free parameter  $t_0$

# The Solution

The evolution matrix then yields two matrix equations

$$J_1 - \left[ J_1, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = 0$$
$$J_0 - \left[ J_0, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} - \frac{\gamma_s^{(1)T}}{2\beta_0} - J_1$$

- Solving the homogeneous equation wrt  $J_1$   
→  $J_1$  w one free parameter  $t_1$
- Solving the inhomogeneous equation wrt  $J_0$  and  $t_1$   
→  $t_1$  and  $J_0$  w one free parameter  $t_0$
- $t_0$  drops out in the final expression for evolution matrix  $U$

# The Solution

The evolution matrix then yields two matrix equations

$$J_1 - \left[ J_1, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = 0$$
$$J_0 - \left[ J_0, \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} \right] = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \frac{\gamma_s^{(0)T}}{2\beta_0} - \frac{\gamma_s^{(1)T}}{2\beta_0} - J_1$$

- Solving the homogeneous equation wrt  $J_1$   
→  $J_1$  w one free parameter  $t_1$
- Solving the inhomogeneous equation wrt  $J_0$  and  $t_1$   
→  $t_1$  and  $J_0$  w one free parameter  $t_0$
- $t_0$  drops out in the final expression for evolution matrix  $U$

# Conclusion

- This method makes numerical calculations of  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  easier and more stable
- QED corrections exhibit the same type of singularity at  $f = 3$  and can be treated in the same way

# Conclusion

- This method makes numerical calculations of  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  easier and more stable
- QED corrections exhibit the same type of singularity at  $f = 3$  and can be treated in the same way
- Mixed QED-QCD corrections suffer from more singularities, which can be treated with a modified version of this method



# Conclusion

- This method makes numerical calculations of  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  easier and more stable
- QED corrections exhibit the same type of singularity at  $f = 3$  and can be treated in the same way
- Mixed QED-QCD corrections suffer from more singularities, which can be treated with a modified version of this method

## Numerics

$B_6^{(1/2)}$	$\epsilon'/\epsilon$
1.25	$2.30572 \times 10^{-3}$
1.20	$2.17727 \times 10^{-3}$
1.15	$2.04881 \times 10^{-3}$
1.10	$1.92036 \times 10^{-3}$
1.05	$1.79191 \times 10^{-3}$
1.00	$1.66345 \times 10^{-3}$
0.95	$1.53500 \times 10^{-3}$
0.90	$1.40655 \times 10^{-3}$
0.85	$1.27809 \times 10^{-3}$
0.80	$1.14964 \times 10^{-3}$
0.75	$1.02118 \times 10^{-3}$

$$(\epsilon'/\epsilon)_{exp} = (1.66 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$$

(PDG 2014)

**Tabelle:** Values of  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  depending on the parameter  $B_6^{(1/2)}$ .  $m_s = 0.128$  GeV