

# Performance Tools

Holger Obermaier, Samuel Braun

Steinbuch Centre for Computing (SCC)



Optimization cycle

Tool Test Cases

Likwid Tools: Overview

Likwid Tools: `likwid-topology`

Likwid Tools: `likwid-bench`

Compiler Optimization Report

`/usr/bin/time`

Application Performance Snapshot (APS)

Likwid Tools: `likwid-perfctr`

Likwid Tools: `likwid-perfctr` Marker API

`perf` tools


Intel Trace Analyzer and Collector (ITAC)

References

# Optimization cycle

## Current state of hardware development



- CPU cores do not get faster anymore
  - More and more cores and nodes
  - Multiple levels of caches try to hide memory latency
- ⇒ Optimizing code gets more complex
- ⇒ Support by performance tools is needed



# Optimization cycle

## Current state of hardware development

- CPU cores do not get faster anymore
  - More and more cores and nodes
  - Multiple levels of caches try to hide memory latency
- ⇒ Optimizing code gets more complex
- ⇒ Support by performance tools is needed



# Optimization cycle (2)

## Iterative process

- Collect hardware information
- Collect performance data
- Analyze hardware information and performance data
  - Where is most of the time spent?
  - What is the expected performance?
  - Are cores evenly utilized?
  - Is memory access local?
  - Does communication limit performance?

## Iterative process (continued)

- Fix problem
  - Appropriate data structure (e.g. Array of structs vs. struct of arrays)
  - Loop layout (allow compiler vectorization, CPU prefetching)
  - Blocking (Cache reuse)
  - Compiler and MPI command line options (e.g. process binding)
- Repeat until effort is no longer worth expected improvement

This talk focuses on hardware information and performance data collection and analysis

## Benchmark *stream*

Copy  $c = a$ ,  $a, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Scale  $b = \alpha c$ ,  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

Add  $c = a + b$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Triad  $a = b + \alpha c$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

- $\mathcal{O}(n)$  memory operations,  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  compute operations
- ⇒ Memory bandwidth bound

## Benchmark *dgemm*

Multiply  $C = A \cdot B$ ,  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

- $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  memory operations,  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  compute operations
- ⇒ Floating point bound

## Benchmark *rank\_league*

- Asynchronous point to point MPI communication
  - $\mathcal{O}(1)$  memory operations,  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  compute operations
- ⇒ Communication bound





*“Like I Knew What I’m Doing.”*

- Collection of simple command line tools
- Hardware information:  
`likwid-topology`
- Micro benchmarks:  
`likwid-bench`
- Pinning:  
`likwid-pin`, `likwid-mpirun`
- Performance counters:  
`likwid-perfctr`



- CPU topology (hardware threads, cores, sockets)
- Cache topology (location and size of caches)
- Cache properties (cache line size, associativity)
- NUMA topology (location and size of main memory)
- Get knowledge on how to bind your tasks, pin your threads

## Example

- [likwid-topology on Intel Xeon Broadwell](#) 
- [likwid-topology cache topology on Intel Xeon Broadwell](#) 

## Preparation

- Get familiar with `likwid-topology`. Use
  - h to get help
  - g to get a graphical output
  - c to get cache information
- Be aware `uc1` and `uc1e` have different hardware
- For the hands on examine the questions on the login node

## Questions

- How many hardware threads, cores, sockets are available?
- How many cache levels are available?
- Which sizes do they offer?
- How many NUMA domains are available?

What is the maximum

- achievable memory bandwidth
- achievable cache bandwidth
- achievable computing power
- Vector (AVX, AVX2) computing power
- Fused multiply-add (FMA) computing power

Example

- [likwid-bench on Intel Xeon Broadwell](#) 

## Preparation

- Start an interactive one node job
- Get familiar with `likwid-bench`. Use
  - h to get help
  - a to list available micro benchmarks
  - l to list properties of test
  - p to list available thread domains
- Use micro benchmarks `stream_avx_fma` and `stream_mem_avx_fma` to answer the questions


## Questions

- What memory bandwidth can be reached using only one thread?
- What is the maximum achievable main memory bandwidth?
- What about L1, L2 and L3 cache bandwidth?

# Compiler Vectorization Report (Intel)

## ■ Usage vectorization report

```
module add compiler/intel/18.0
icc ${OPT_FLAGS} \
    -qopt-report \
    -qopt-report-phase=vec \
    -qopt-report-stdout \
    ${SOURCE} -o ${OUTFILE}
```



## Example

Intel vectorization report: stream [↗](#)

# Compiler Vectorization report (GCC)

- Usage vectorization report

```
module add compiler/gnu/8.2  
gcc ${OPT_FLAGS} \  
    -fopt-info-vec \  
    ${SOURCE} -o ${OUTFILE}
```



## Example

GCC vectorization report: stream [↗](#)

## Preparation

- Change to folder `HandsOn/Stream`
- Use script `./build.intel_vec_report.sh` to generate Intel compiler vectorization report
- Use script `./build.gnu_opt_report.sh` to generate GCC compiler vectorization report

## Questions

- Were Intel and GNU compiler able to vectorize the loops in the functions `tuned_STREAM_Copy`, `tuned_STREAM_Scale`, `tuned_STREAM_Add` and `tuned_STREAM_Triad`?
- Why is the loop in `tuned_STREAM_Scale` (line 565) mentioned twice in the Intel vectorization report?
- Why is no peel loop needed for the loop in `tuned_STREAM_Scale` (line 565)?



## `/usr/bin/time`

- No recompilation needed  
⇒ Use your existing binary
- Uses kernel resource usage info
- Report time consumption
  - time spent in user space
  - time spent in kernel space
  - elapsed time
- Report memory consumption
  - maximum resident size
  - page faults
- Report IO operations



### Example

Comparison *stream* serial/parallel execution with `time` 

## Preparation


- Change to folder `HandsOn/Stream`
- Use script `./build.sh` to build stream benchmark
- Use `msub jobscript.time.msub` to submit batch job

## Questions

- What is the difference between the two stream benchmark runs in `jobscript.time.msub`?
- Where can you see the difference in the output of `/usr/bin/time`?
- What causes the high amount of system time?
- Do memory consumption reported by stream benchmark and `/usr/bin/time` match?

# Application Performance Snapshot (APS)

- No recompilation needed  
⇒ Use your existing binary
- But: Best compatibility with Intel compiler and MPI
- Uses MPI library instrumentation
- Quick insight into
  - MPI
  - OpenMP
  - Memory access
  - Floating point
  - IO usage
- Text and HTML report



- Usage serial or OpenMP binary

```
module add compiler/intel/18.0
source /opt/bwhpc/common/devel/aps/2019/apsvars.sh
aps ${BINARY}
```

## Example

- APS: stream 
- APS HTML report: stream 
- APS: dgemm 
- APS HTML report: dgemm 

- Usage MPI binary

```
module add compiler/intel/18.0 \  
          mpi/impi/2018-intel-18.0  
source /opt/bwhpc/common/devel/aps/2019/apsvars.sh  
mpirun aps ${BINARY}
```

### Example

- APS: rank\_league [↗](#)
- APS HTML report: rank\_league [↗](#)

## Preparation

- Change to folder `HandsOn/Stream`
- Use script `./build.sh` to build stream benchmark
- Use `msub jobscript.apc.msub` to submit batch job
- Repeat these steps in folder `HandsOn/Dgemm` and `HandsOn/Rank_league`

## Questions

What are the limiting factors for benchmark

- stream?
- dgemm?
- rank\_league?



- Measures total program performance
- No recompilation needed  $\Rightarrow$  Use your existing binary
- Uses hardware performance *counters*
- Uses *sampling*
  - Low overhead
  - Only statistical results
- Performance groups simplify HW counters use
- Important performance groups

FLOPS\_AVX Packed AVX MFLOP/s  
MEM Main memory bandwidth  
NUMA Local and remote memory accesses

## ■ Usage

```
likwid-perfctr -a # Available performance groups
likwid-perfctr -H -group
    ${GROUP} # Group information
likwid-perfctr -group ${GROUP} -C ${CPU_LIST}
    ${BINARY} # Measure
```

## Example

- likwid-perfctr: Performance group NUMA on benchmark stream 
- likwid-perfctr: Performance group FLOPS\_AVX on benchmark dgemm 



## Preparation

- Get familiar with `likwid-perfctr`. Use
  - h to get help
  - a to list available performance groups
  - H to get performance group help (e.g. for group NUMA)
- Change to folder `HandsOn/Stream`
- Use script `./build.sh` to build stream benchmark
- Use `msub jobscript.perfctr.msub` to submit batch job

## Questions

- What is the difference between the two stream benchmark runs in `jobscript.perfctr.msub`?
- Where can you see the difference in the output of stream benchmark
- Where can you see the difference in the output of `likwid-perfctr`?

# Likwid Tools: `likwid-perfctr` Marker API

- Measure partial program performance
- Add likwid marker API to source code. Recompile.

`likwid_markerInit` Initialize likwid marker API



`likwid_markerThreadInit` Initialize each thread

`likwid_markerStartRegion` Start a measurement in named region

`likwid_markerStopRegion` Stop a measurement in named region

`likwid_markerClose` Close likwid marker API

## Example

- Likwid marker API: `stream` 
- Likwid marker API: `dgemm` 


## Preparation

- Compare stream source code in folders `HandsOn/Stream` and `HandsOn/Stream.likwid`
- Change to folder `HandsOn/Stream.likwid`
- Use scripts `./build.gnu.sh` and `./build.intel.sh` to build stream benchmark
- Use `msub jobscript.gnu.msub` and `msub jobscript.intel.msub` to submit batch jobs

## Questions

- Investigate region scale. Remember region scale should contain as many reads as write operations. Why is the read volume
  - twice as high as the write volume when using GNU compiler?
  - equal to write volume when using Intel compiler?



- Part of Linux kernel
- No recompilation needed  
⇒ Use your existing binary
- Uses hardware performance *counters*
- Uses *sampling*
  - Low overhead
  - Only statistical results
- Find *hot spots*  
(functions or code regions)
- Record *call graph*  
(with compiler flag `-g`)



### ■ Usage

```
perf list                # available HW counters
perf stat    ${BINARY}  # profile w. HW counters
perf record  ${BINARY}  # measurement -> perf.data
perf report                # Hot spot report
perf annotate              # Annotated assembler code
```

### Example

- perf: dgemm 
- perf: stream 


## Preparation

- Get familiar with `perf`
- Change to folder `HandsOn/Stream`
- Use scripts `./build.debug.sh` to build stream benchmark with debug symbols
- Use `msub jobscript.perf.msub` to submit batch job

## Questions

- What are the 4 hot spots of `stream`?
- Navigate to `tuned_STREAM_Triad`
  - What assembler instructions are used?
  - Do they use vector registers?

- No recompilation needed
  - ⇒ Use your existing binary
- Uses *sampling*
  - Low overhead
  - Only statistical results
- Uses MPI library instrumentation
  - Collect non-statistical data
  - *Communication pattern*
  - *Message sizes*
- Can use compiler instrumentation
  - Can cause significant overhead
  - Collect non-statistical data
  - *Call graph*



- Graphical tool shows
  - Event timeline
  - Quantitative timeline
  - Function profile
  - Message profile
- Usage

```
module add devel/itac/2018      # Prepare environment
mpirun -trace ${BINARY}        # Execute MPI program
traceanalyzer ${BINARY}.stf  # Analyze data
```

## Example:

- ITAC: MPI benchmark rank\_league 



## Preparation

- Change to folder `HandsOn/Rank_league`
- Use scripts `./build.itac.sh` to build `rank_league` benchmark
- Use `msub jobscript.itac.msub` to submit batch job
- Use `traceanalyzer rank_league.stf` to open trace file

## Questions

What is shown in

- Flat Profile?
- Load Balance?
- Call Tree?

What is shown in graphical tools

- Event timeline?
- Quantitative timeline?
- Function profile?
- Message profile?

## References: Benchmarks


 DGEMM benchmark from Sandia National Laboratories


<http://www.nersc.gov/research-and-development/apex/apex-benchmarks/dgemm/>

 Stream benchmark original version; John D. McCalpin


<https://www.cs.virginia.edu/stream/>

## References: Performance Tools

 Homepage: Application Performance Snapshot  
<https://software.intel.com/sites/products/snapshots/application-snapshot/>

 Homepage: Intel Trace Analyzer and Collector  
<https://software.intel.com/en-us/intel-trace-analyzer>

 Github-page: Likwid  
<https://github.com/RRZE-HPC/likwid>

 Homepage: Time  
<https://directory.fsf.org/wiki/Time>