CR SOURCES AND PROPAGATION MODELS

Pasquale Blasi

INAF/Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Firenze Gran Sasso Science Institute (GSSI/INFN), L'Aquila

Composition Workshop, Karlsruhe, September 21-23, 2015

PROLOGUE

This workshop is dedicated to discussions on the data and physics of cosmic ray composition between the knee and the ankle in the view of theoretical models for the transition from galactic to extragalactic origin of cosmic rays.

The reason we are here is that we can't make sense of some pieces of observations

… because observations see things that require theory to go beyond the obvious

… and because observations provide conflicting info, which raises the problem of systematic uncertainties

BUT ESPECIALLY, WE REALIZED THE STRONGLY INTERCONNECTED NATURE OF THE PROBLEM (YOU CAN'T DO UHECR WITHOUT UNDERSTANDING GALACTIC CR)

experiments is plotted, together From afar the spectrum looks subdominant contributions from like a power law

CR Spectrum

Fig. 1 Spectrum of cosmic rays and cosmic ra

at the Earth (courtesy Tom

Gaisser (1988). The all-particles of the state of the state

Scale free physics ?

Broken power laws more interesting (scale->physics)

After knee and ankle, first evidence of scales also in the spectra of individual elements

flux due to solar rate publication s obtained using ϵ ons of L1 to the hin the assigned assigned to the , the flux only the inner measured using stematic errors and the rigidity mportant cases. $f(V)$ where the ns of the inner MDR) are very **Rigidity [GV]** modulation will be the subject of a separate publication with $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{t}}}$ Figure 2(c) shows that the ratios of fluxes obtained using events which pass through different sections of L1 to the average flux are in good agreement and within the assigned systematic errors assigned to the erro tracker alignment. Lastly, as seen from Fig. 2(d), the flux $\mathcal{L} = \{ \mathcal{L} \mid \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L} \}$ obtained using the rigidity measured by only the inner **Rigidity [GV]** $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ of $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ of $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ are systematic errors the systematic errors $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ assigned from the unit $\frac{10}{3}$ and the rigidity $\frac{10}{3}$ and the rigidity $\frac{10}{3}$ resolution function for the cases of the cases $\sum_{\text{ATIC-2}}$ T4 $\left| \right|$ (b) λ Artic-2 \mathbf{U} 12 \mathbf{L} of the inner the inn

-1 sec -1 sr

-2 [m

~ R ×

Flux

1.7

GeV

-1 sec

sr 8

10

12

14

0

AMS-02 ATIC-2 BESS-Polar II CREAM PAMELA

(b)

³ ×**10**

2

4

6

8

10

2.7

t analyses were different study consistent with

tion functions of

spectra show a break @~200-300 GV The He spectrum is slightly harder than that of protons There is some indication that a similar break exists for heavier nuclei (CREAM) so very different **P** including statated in Ref. $[25]$ e AMS detector. come from (i) the trigger, contamination, (iii) the rigidity iv) the absolute ral sources to the arrive at the total $R = R$ \sqrt{r} $\left[\frac{1}{2}S\right]$ $(R \sqrt{\Delta r/s})$ s R_{0} eavier nuclei (CREAM) ⁽³⁾ **EXECUTE ANALYSES ARE RESULTED KINETIC ENERGY (E_K) [GeV] 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10**² **11 10**² **11 10**⁴ **2.7 K E** ×**2 Flux 0** \sim omaffinner dicht a brank FIG. 3 (color). (a) The AMS proton flux multiplied by $R^{2.7}$ and ${\tt HCKT}$, the motion as \mathbb{G} of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G} function \mathcal{G} of kinetic energy E_K as multiplied by $E_K^{2.7}$ compared with recent measurements [3+6]. For the AMS results $E_K = \sqrt{\tilde{R}^2 + M_p^2}$ $\overline{\tilde{n}^2 + \overline{n^2}}$ \bar{p} $\overline{}$ w is the point ϵ For as a function of right, φ and this as an extended prediction of $F^{2.7}$ compared with ante $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ For the AMS results $F = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ is some indication *(although less prominent than PAMELA reports)*, at a a sumular preak exusts spectru snow a vi performed on the same data sample by different study $\frac{1}{2}$ re He spectrum is slightly The is FIG 3 (color) (a) The AMS proton flux multiplied by $\tilde{R}^{2,7}$ a as a function of the rigidity at the top of the AMS detector. of kinetic energy E_K as multiplied by E_K^2 compared with recording measurements 3–6]. For the AMS results $E_k = \sqrt{\tilde{R}^2 + M_p^2 - I}$ There is some indication, I resolution function and unfolding, and (iv) the absolute rigidity scale. The contributions of individual sources the contributions of the contributions of the contribu systematic error are added in quadrature to arrive at the total systematic uncertainty. The Monte Carlo event samples

1 10 10² **10**³

M. Aguilar et al. (AMS Collaboration)

Aguilar et al. (AMS) 2015

AMS-02 ATIC-2 BESS-Polar CREAM PAMELA

(b)

 \times **10³**

Rigidity [GV]
Here are the state of the

AMS-02 confirmation

 5°

2.7

~ R × **Flux 2**

] 1.7

GeV

-1 ပ
ပါ
ပါ

10

12

14

4

 $\mathsf{Im}^{2}\operatorname{sr}^{1}\operatorname{s}^{1}\operatorname{GV}^{1.7}$ $\times \widetilde{\mathsf{R}}^{2.7}$ Flux

−Mp

FINALLY, HAPPY ENDING

Knees…

SOURCES OF GALACTIC COSMIC RAYS

- **Despite some efforts to work in different directions, SNR still remain the main** \bullet **candidate sources of Galactic CRs**
- **They may be of different types, esplode in different environments, have different** จ **energetics, but…**
- **They all lead to the formation of strong collisionless shocks** \circ
- **The main process of particle acceleration is diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) at such** \circ **shocks**
- **But… many loose ends… as for any good theory, its weaknesses are a proof if its** จ **testability**

SUPERNOVA BLAST WAVES

THE EXPANSION SPEED DROPS DURING THE SEDOV-TAYLOR PHASE BUT THE MACH NUMBER STAYS $>10-100$

STRONG COLLISIONLESS SHOCK WAVE

DIFFUSIVE SHOCK ACCELERATION Test Particle Approach

Diffusion of charged particles back and forth across the shock leads to

> Δ*E E* = 4 3 $(U_1 - U_2)$

POWER LAW SPECTRUM

THE SPECTRAL SLOPE ONLY DEPENDS ON SHOCK COMPRESSION ں
مح

INDEPENDENT OF THE DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT

FOR STRONG SHOCKS: E-2

THE EFFICIENCY REQUIRED PER SNR ~10%: TEST PARTICLES? FIRST NEED FOR A NON-LINEAR THEORY

TRANSPORT EQUATION AND DSA

 $f_0(p)$

$$
\propto p^{\frac{3r}{r-1}}
$$
\n
$$
r = \frac{u_1 - v_{A,1}}{u_2 + v_{A,2}} \approx \tilde{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M_A} \right)
$$
\nSCATTERING CENTERS

\n10 IF V_A2⁰

\nSECTION OF THE
\nSPECTRUM

X-ray filaments

Virtually all young SNRs show evidence of thin non-thermal X-ray filaments

They are the result of synchrotron emission of high energy electrons accelerated at the shock

$$
\Delta x \approx \sqrt{D(E_{max})\tau_{loss}(E_{max})} \approx 0.04 B_{100}^{-3/2} \text{ pc}
$$

SECOND NLED FOR A NON-LINEAR THEORY

Basic predictions of NLDSA

 COMPRESSION FACTOR BECOMES FUNCTION OF ENERGY

 SPECTRA ARE NOT PERFECT POWER LAWS (CONCAVE)

 GAS BEHIND THE SHOCK IS COOLER FOR EFFICIENT SHOCK ACCELERATION

 EFFICIENT GROWTH OF B-FIELD IF ACCELERATION EFFICIENT

Basic predictions of NLDSA

 COMPRESSION FACTOR BECOMES FUNCTION OF ENERGY

 SPECTRA ARE NOT PERFECT POWER LAWS (CONCAVE)

 GAS BEHIND THE SHOCK IS COOLER FOR EFFICIENT SHOCK ACCELERATION

 EFFICIENT GROWTH OF B-FIELD IF ACCELERATION EFFICIENT

Basic predictions of NLDSA

 COMPRESSION FACTOR BECOMES FUNCTION OF ENERGY

 SPECTRA ARE NOT PERFECT POWER LAWS (CONCAVE)

 GAS BEHIND THE SHOCK IS COOLER FOR EFFICIENT SHOCK ACCELERATION

 EFFICIENT GROWTH OF B-FIELD IF ACCELERATION EFFICIENT

Basics of magnetic field amplification *The ever-lasting quest for Emax*

Particle acceleration at shocks is basically a problem of electrodynamics, just very complex

 $J_{CR} = n_{CR} e v_{sh}$

 $n_{CR} + n_i = n_e$

The background plasma reacts to the presence of CR by creating a return current

It is this RETURN CURRENT that induces the plasma instabilities responsible for magnetic field amplification and regulates the MAXIMUM ENERGY

Bell & Schure 2013 Cardillo, Amato & PB 2015

Escaping particles Generating seed turbulence

Bell & Schure 2013 Cardillo, Amato & PB 2015

Bell & Schure 2013 Cardillo, Amato & PB 2015

Bell & Schure 2013 Cardillo, Amato & PB 2015

Escaping particles Generating seed turbulence

Bell & Schure 2013 Cardillo, Amato & PB 2015

Escaping particles Generating seed turbulence

CALCULATING THE SPECTRUM OF PARTICLES ESCAPING A SNR DURING THE LIFE OF THE REMNANT IS ONE OF THE BIGGEST CHALLENGES FACED BY STUDIES OF THE ORIGIN OF CR

The growth rate can be written as (Bell 2004)
\n
$$
max = k_{max}v_A
$$
 (Bell 2004)
\n
$$
max = k_{max}v_A
$$
 (Bell 2004)
\nbut the modes exist only is k_{max} -1/rL (they do not affect the current)
\n
$$
n_{CR}(>E)E\frac{v_s}{c} > \frac{B_0^2}{4\pi} = U_{mag}
$$
\nand
\nEnergy density of escaping CRs
\nand find element gets subject to a force, the scale of the amplified
\nfield increases
\n
$$
\rho \frac{dv}{dt} \approx J_{CR} \delta B(t) \rightarrow \frac{\delta B^2}{4\pi} \approx n_{CR} \frac{v_s}{c}
$$

IN OTHER WORSD THE FIELD SATURATES AT ROUGLY EQUIPARTITION BETWEEN MAGNETIC ENERGY AND ENERGY OF ESCAPING CR,TYPICALLY SEVERAL HUNDRED MICROGAUSS AFTER COMPRESSION

 4π

c

dt

Caprioli & Spitkovsky 2013

Caprioli & Spitkovsky 2013

IMPLICATIONS FOR MAXIMUM ENERGY Supernovae Type Ia

FOR A SN TYPE Ia EXPLODING IN THE ISM THE MAXIMUM ENERGY CAN BE ESTIMATED AS:

$$
E_M \cong \frac{2e}{10c} \xi_{CR} v_0^2 \sqrt{4\pi \rho R_0^2} = 130 \left(\frac{\xi_{CR}}{0.1}\right) \left(\frac{M_{ej}}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left(\frac{E_{SN}}{10^{51} \text{erg}}\right) \left(\frac{n_{ISM}}{\text{cm}^{-3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \text{ TeV}
$$

 \mathbf{a}

FOR TYPICAL VALUES OF THE PARAMETERS THE MAXIMUM ENERGY REACHABLE IS WELL BELOW THE KNEE

The case of Tycho

- Type Ia SN, exploded 1572 (age: 443 years)
- Very regular shape
- Strong evidence for X-ray filaments
- Radio—> e spectrum E-(2.2-2.3)
- Detected in gamma rays by Fermi-LAT and VERITAS

SPECTRUM AND MORPHOLOGY APPEAR 1 A. D. R. A. A. Portion of the South of the D. P. A. 1997 1.0 -13.0 -1 5 CR ACCELERATION 6 TO BE WELL DESCRIBED BY EFFICIENT $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

 OMC OC A CD *^R*%*Rsh* $H(N)$ CFT $C=0$ V THE MAXIMUM ENERGY IS IN THE $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X})]$ RANGE OF A FEW HUNDRED GeV

a downstream magnetic field estimate independent of the one de-

MAXIMUM ENERGY FOR A CORE COLLAPSE SN IN A RED SUPERGIANT WIND

CORE COLLAPSE SN OFTEN EXPLODE IN THE WIND OF THE GIANT PROGENITOR. THE GAS DENSITY IN THE WIND IS

$$
\rho(r) = \frac{\dot{M}}{4\pi r^2 v_{\rm W}}
$$

IN THE DENSE WIND THE SEDOV PHASE IS REACHED AT DISTANCE

 $R = M_{\rm ej} v_{\rm W}/M$ (About 30 years after explosion)

$$
E_M \simeq \frac{2e}{5c} \xi_{CR} v_0^2 \sqrt{4\pi \rho R_0^2} \approx 1 \left(\frac{\xi_{CR}}{0.1}\right) \left(\frac{M_{ej}}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{E_{SN}}{10^{51} \text{erg}}\right) \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{V_w}{10 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P eV
$$

SN EXPLOSION

RED GIANT

WIND

 The effective max energy is reached at the beginning of the ST phase

 The escape flux is non zero even during ejecta dominated—> no exp cutoff at Emax

SNe cannot be too rare otherwise too high efficiency to reach the knee

 Overall spectrum of galactic CRs should end around 1017 eV

Cardillo, Amato E PB 2015

COSMICACHIC IS COSMIC RAY PROPAGATION

Simple Transport Equation

Things can get really complex, but the essential Physics is caught by the simplest transport equation (for protons):

$$
-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[D\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right] = Q_0(p)\delta(z)
$$

For $z>0$ (or $<$ 0) one has:

$$
D\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = Constant \rightarrow f(z) = f_0 \left(1 - \frac{z}{H}\right)
$$

where we used the definition of a Halo [f(z=H)=0]

This simple approach contains most of the diffusion Physics that can be found in more complex approaches (one can even introduce advection, $D(z,p)$, ...)

First measurement of z-dependence

 \mathbb{R} ien \mathbb{R} compared three regions studies studies studies studies studies from LAT data in the emission of \mathbb{R} Using HVC one can measure the emissivity per horizontal widths of the rectangles indicate the *z* brackets of target IVCs and HVCs, i.e., the range between lower and upper limits on their altitudes [7]. The dark gray rectangles have vertical size corresponding to the atom as a function of z (proportional to f)

 $s.t.$ statistical and systematic uncertainties. The extension $f(x)$ is assigned to the range $f(x)$ Indications of a halo with H~4-6 kpc

are color-coded based on the maximum heights *z*max of the CR confinement halo in the models. …and here is where we got to be careful… what physical meaning should we attribute to H???

The halo size H

Assuming f(H)=0 reflects the requirement of lack of diffusion (infinite diffusion coefficient)

May be because B goes to zero, or because turbulence vanishes

Vanishing turbulence may reflect the lack of sources… but the only source up there is CRs themselves

What if there is no H at all? Or it is dependent on particle E?

CR blown winds with selfgeneration of waves

26

[Breitschwerdt et al. 1991; Ptuskin et al. 1997]

Wherever the force - ∇P_{CR} becomes larger that the gravitational force, plasma can be lifted into a wind

In turn - ∇P_{CR} is determined by diffusion which occurs on self-generated waves

The situation is such that there is no H (the wind extends to infinity) but at some distance advection takes over diffusion

CR blown winds with selfgeneration of waves

The hydrodynamical problem typically leads to uW+vA that grows linearly with z (in a NFW DM profile), hence a transition from diffusion to advection occurs when

$$
\frac{z^2}{D(p)} \simeq \frac{z}{u(z)} \to z_*(p) \propto p^{\delta/2} \qquad D(p) \sim p^{\delta}
$$

The typical solution that one gets is a simple generalisation of the trivial case:

$$
f_0(p) = \frac{Q(p)}{2A_{disc}} \frac{H}{D(p)} \sim E^{-\gamma - \delta} \qquad f_0(p) = \frac{Q(p)}{2A_{disc}} \frac{z_*(p)}{D(p)} \sim E^{-\gamma - \delta/2}
$$

STANNARD CASE CR-INDUCED WIND WITH SELF-GENERALION

DIFFERENT SCALINGS AND NO REAL BOUNDARY H AT FINITE DISTANCE

Diffusion as a physical process

Although we think of D(E) as a coefficient that we can tune to fit the data, it actually contains most of the physics we are trying to describe

$$
f(p,z) \longleftarrow D(p,z)
$$

The gradient in f induces waves generation:

$$
\Gamma_{CR}(k) = \frac{16\pi^2}{3} \frac{v_A}{B^2 \mathcal{F}} \left[p^4 v(p) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right]_{k = k_{res}}
$$

which get damped (ion-neutral, non-linear Landau, …). The balancing between the two leads to the determination of D

WHEN REQUIRING p²f(p)~p^{-2.7} one gets for free D(p)~p^{0.7}

Fig. 1. Spectrum of protons measured by Voyager (blue empty cir-**PB, Amato & Serpico 2012** cles), AMS-02 (black filled circles) (Aguilar et al. 2015), PAMELA **Aloisio & PB 2014** (green empty squares) (Adriani 2011) and CREAM (blue filled squares) **Aloisio, PB & Serpico 2015** Fig. 3. Spectrum of CREAM (Spectrum of CREAM (blue squares), and CREAM (blue squares), and CREAM (blue squares),
The cream of CREAM (blue squares), and CREAM (blue squares), and CREAM (blue squares), and CREAM (blue squar

R. Aloisio, P. Blasi and P. D. Serpico : Non-linear cosmic ray Galactic transport in the light of AMS-02 and Voyager data *(RN)* are included in the calculations. As discussed in much previous literature, this is very important to compute properly the diffusion coefficient and thus for a meaningful comparison with the flux spectra and secondary to primary ratios, notably B/C. The \mathcal{V} (Yoon et al. 2011), compared with the prediction of our calculation of \mathcal{V} \mathcal{L} and solid line is the flux at the flux at the flux at the flux at the Earth after the correction due to \mathcal{L} solar modulation, which the dashed line is the spectrum in the spectrum in the spectrum in the ISM. self-generated waves rather than diffusion. This reflects into a weak energy dependence of the propagated spectra that is exactly what Voyager measured (see also (Potgieter 2013). 4) At the see also (Potgieter 2013) (see also (Potgiet PAMELA (green empty squares), and according to preliminary measures), and according to preliminary measures of $s = \frac{1}{2}$ (black circles), compared with the prediction of \mathbb{R} Spectral Breaks

Aloisio, PB & Serpico 2015
PAMELA and CREAM, as well as preliminary data by AMS-

PAMELA and AMS-02 data are well described by a circles), Pamela (green empty squares) and CREAM (blue filled blue filled blue filled blue filled blue filled combination of self-generated and pre-existing waves

Voyager data are automatically fitted with no additional 3. Results GeV? breaks… advection with self-generated waves at E<10 ϵ can are accommonly measurements ϵ aks... auvection with seti-generated waves at E<TU
... our model, while the red/top line has been obtained by adding a source α source α

AMS-02 B/C shows an excess at E>100GeV, compatible with light elements, protons and helium nuclei. A spectral break was s grammage molde bources. **the grammage inside sources:** grammage inside sources:
S

$$
X_{\text{SNR}} \approx 1.4 r_s m_p n_{\text{ISM}} c T_{\text{SNR}} \approx 0.17 \,\text{g cm}^{-2} \frac{n_{\text{ISM}}}{\text{cm}^{-3}} \frac{T_{\text{SNR}}}{2 \times 10^4 \text{yr}}
$$

spectra and later confirmed by AMS-02, although at the time

CONCLUSIONS ON GALACTIC CR

- SNR of type II exploding in red giant winds can reach the knee, type Ia are not…
- At Emax no exponential cutoff but change of slope
- No indication (e.g. gamma) that other objects can reach higher energies
- The knee is most easily explained in terms of Emax
- But if E_{max}>10¹⁷ eV, the knee could signal a change in transport (Ginzburg 1963, De Marco&PB2007, Giacinti et al. 2014, 2015)

 $\overline{D(E)} \sim E^{\delta}$ $r_L(E) < L_c$ $D(E) \sim E^2$ *r*_L(*E*) *> L*_{*c*} For Lc~10 pc the transition energy is ~ knee

End of Galactic CRs and transition

- If the knee flags the sources running out of steam, then GCRs should end around 0.1 EeV with a heavy composition
- If the knee is due to propagation, the transition has very similar characteristics to the previous case (transition over at 2 EeV) BUT very high E_{max} and small L_c required
- Transition could be at the ankle, but very severe requirements on the sources and not easy to accommodate Auger
- IN ANY CASE THE TRANSITION IS COMPLEX: IT RETAINS INFO ON BOTH THE WAY GALACTIC CR SPECTRUM ENDS AND EGCR PROPAGATE

THE DIP

THE BALANCE BETWEEN BETHE-HEITLER PAIR PRODUCTION AND EXPANSION OF THE UNIVERSE NATURALLY CREATES A DIP IN THE CR SPECTRUM

THE DIP IS VISIBLE ONLY IF COMPOSITION IS LIGHT (<15% He)

LOSSES OF NUCLEI

Aloisio et al. 2014

Aloisio, Berezinsky & PB 2014

VERY HARD INJECTION SPECTRA REQUIRED IF SOURCES GENERATE NUCLEI (Allard 2011, Taylor 2014, Aloisio et al. 2014)

…AND LOW VALUE OF EMAX~5 1018 eV…

QUITE A CHANGE OF PARADIGM

Additional Galactic Component

Aloisio, Berezinsky & PB 2014

IF THE REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMPONENT IS GALACTIC IN ORIGIN, IT HAS TO BE LIGHT. BUT THIS IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH THE ANISOTROPY MEASURED BY AUGER AT 1018 eV

Additional Extragalactic Component

THE REQUIRED ADDITIONAL LIGHT COMPONENT MUST HAVE A STEEP SPECTRUM AND A CUTOFF AT "10¹⁹ eV

Additional Extragalactic Component and KASCADE-Grande

Aloisio, Berezinsky & PB 2014

An attempt to go beyond phenomenology

- Existence of peculiar sources? e.g. pulsars can generate very hard spectra of mixed nuclei (Kotera et al. 2015)
- Sources with peculiar (negative) cosmological evolution (Taylor et al. 2015)
- Injection spectra already modified by losses INSIDE the sources (Globus et al. 2015, Unger et al. 2015)
- Magnetic trapping near sources, possibly self-generated by UHECRs themselves (PB et al. 2015) or not (Aloisio+, 2011; Mollerach & Roulet 2013)
- Or may be data do not make sense…

A physical model

Globus et al. and Unger et al. (2015) propose a similar idea: spectra of nuclei appear hard because at low energies photo disintegration inside sources has been at work ²

CR self-confinement

 \mathbb{B}_{O}

JCR

Source

The electric current due to escaping CR leads to excitation of a non-resonant instability [PB+, 2015, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 121101]

Saturation of the instability —> CR selfconfined around the source if $E< E²$ 10⁷ GeV L₄₄^{2/3} within a distance r_{max} 3.8 Mpc L44^{1/6}

THESE CR DO NOT REACH THE EARTH AND MORE SO IF THE SOURCE IS BRIGHT

- GCR start showing interesting features (in addition to the knee) —> Physics?
- Acceleration in SNRs <—> recent understanding… PeV only in special conditions and cutoff not exponential
- At present it is not easy to envision a way to reach >>PeV energies in SNRs (while retaining the same spectrum)
- Hence, GCR should end around 1017 eV with an Fe dominated chemical composition

Auger data force to require Mixed composition Hard spectra Emax^{~5} EeV Extra light-component with steep spectrum These could be manifestations of either unusual sources (pulsars) or losses in sources

Transition region is complex with important Galactic and extragalactic contributions

Additional slides

SNRs close to Molecular Clouds

γ-ray emission is hadronic Cases of W44 and IC443 very interesting Middle aged SNRs - Not much acceleration expected But lots of target material (pp)

Propagation SNR —> cloud (W28)

The case of W44

New calculation of CR reacceleration and compression using Voyager+AMS-02 CR data for H and He (Cardillo et al. 2015)

Both radio and gamma ray data appear to be perfectly well fitted if Galactic CRs are reaccelerated and compressed (Blandford & Cowie 1982)… The same conclusion previously reached by Uchiyama et al. 2010 and Lee et al. 2015, though with CR spectrum not normalised to Voyager and with no He + ad hoc steepening

SBC COMPATE INDEX measurements for published index measurements for published in Cherenkov Telescopes (IACT). The line corresponds to equal index values. The ticks represent Puzzling spectra?

- \bullet There are indications of spectra steeper than E^2 especially in older SNRs
- Steeper spectra are definitely a hint of hadronic origin, but not easy to reconcile with DSA
- **S** They are even more at odds with NLDSA
- Very interesting the case of Tycho for which slope~2.3 (see above)

SBC COMPATE INDEX measurements for published index measurements for published in Cherenkov Telescopes (IACT). The line corresponds to equal index values. The ticks represent Puzzling spectra?

- \bullet There are indications of spectra steeper than E^* especially in older SNRs
- **Steeper** spectra are definitely a hint of hadronic origin, but not easy to reconcile with DSA
- **S** They are even more at odds with NLDSA
- Very interesting the case of Tycho for which slope~2.3 (see above)

Puzzling spectra?

- \checkmark Steeper spectra might be due to $\begin{bmatrix} 2.6 \end{bmatrix}$ Caprioli 2011 velocity of scattering centers (model dep)[Caprioli 2011]
- They also may be due to the presence of neutral hydrogen [PB + 2012]
- Again, be aware of morphology: even in type Ia there may be more neutrals on one side…
- \checkmark On the other hand harder spectra are incompatible with anisotropy
- v But recall that spectra of escaping CRs are different from inside…

 \mathbf{PB} **PB & Amato 2012** onato 70

Knee as a propagation effect

IT REQUIRES VERY HIGH EMAX AND RARE SOURCES (HIGH EFFICIENCY)

STANDARD DIFFUSION

 $n_{CR}(E)$

PROPAGATION KNEE

$E-\gamma-2$

Ballistic E-^γ

 $r_L(E) < L_c$ $r_L(E) > L_c$

E-γ-^δ

48

E